The G-20 Data Gaps Initiative: Overview and the Way Forward

Presented by Alfredo M. Leone Deputy Director Statistics Department International Monetary Fund

The G-20 Data Gaps Initiative G-20 Conference for Senior Officials IMF Headquarters, Washington, D.C. March 30-31, 2011



The views expressed herein are those of the author and should not be attributed to the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management

Plan of the Presentation

- L Why are we here?
- Where are we now on the road to address data gaps?
- **III.** Where should we go next?

1. A crisis happened with severe manifestations:

- > Notably severe in terms of lost output and high unemployment.
- > Affected all the industrialized countries, and a large number of emerging and developing economies
- > Had a high speed of propagation worldwide revealing the interconnections across economies and markets.
- > Involved global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs), with operations spreading across many instruments, markets, and sectors worldwide.

2. The crisis has data dimensions, it highlighted areas needing improvement as well as data gaps

- > Highlighted critical importance of relevant statistics that are timely, consistent, and comparable across countries.
- Revealed additional statistical needs for proper financial stability analysis:
 - > Identifying leverage and tail risks
 - Understanding interconnectedness:
 - > Across sectors within an economy
 - Cross borders
 - > Among G-SIFIs
- Identified room for improvement in the communication of official statistics.

3. There is an international response

- Establishment of the Inter-Agency Group on Economic and Financial Statistics, IAG (2008)
- **BIS, ECB, Eurostat, IMF (Chair), OECD, UN, WB**
- Coordinate work on data gaps and strengthen data collection
- Established Principal Global Indicators (PGI) Website: http://www.principalglobalindicators.org/default.aspx
- > G-20 request to the IMF and the Financial Stability Board (FSB) to explore gaps and provide proposals to address them to the G-20 Ministers of Finance and Central Bank Governors.
- Reports provided in November 2009 and June 2010; endorsed by the G-20 Ministers of Finance and Central Bank Governors and the IMFC.
- Data gaps identified and work plans and timetables endorsed by the G-20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors.
- Additional report requested by June 2011.

FSB

4. There is a consultation process including:

- > IMF/FSB G-20 Senior Officials Conferences:
 - July 2009 and, March 2011 (you are here) Washington, D.C., USA
 - > April 2010, Basel, Switzerland
- > IMF staff consultations with individual G-20 economies:
 - Visits to and consultations with officials at Central Banks, Ministries of Finance, and National Statistics Institutes
- These consultations help to shape the IMF-FSB report to the G-20 Ministers of Finance and Central Bank Governors

II. Where are we on the road to address data gaps?

- Gaps were identified, 20 recommendations to address them provided
- Working plans/timetables were outlined
- Work is progressing in all 20 recommendations (you will be updated during this conference)

...and the gaps were...

DATA GAPS

There Exist Conceptual/ Statistical Frameworks and Ongoing Collection Conceptual Statistical Framework Needs Further Development

Build-up of Risk in the Financial Sector

2 Financial Soundness
Indicators (FSIs)
#5 Credit Default Swaps
#7 Securities

3 Tail Risk in the Financial System #4 Aggregate Leverage and Maturity Mismatches; #6 Structured Products

Cross-border Financial Linkages

10, #11, #12 Coordinated Portfolio Investment Survey, International Banking Statistics, International Investment Positions

#8 and # 9 Global Network
Connections and Systemically
Important Global Institutions
13 and #14 Financial and
Nonfinancial Corporations' Cross
Border Exposures

Vulnerability of Domestic Economies to Shocks

Accounts
17 Government Finance
Statistics
18 Public Sector Debt
#19 Real Estate Prices

#15 Institutional Sector

#16 Distributional Information

Improving Communication

#20 Principal Global

II. Where are we on the road to address data gaps?

In addition, the IMF's Data Standards were enhanced:

- IMF Executive Board approved enhancements to the IMF Special Data Dissemination Standard:
 - Seven Financial Soundness Indicators (encouraged)
 - Quarterly International Investment Position with one quarter lag (required after 4-year transition period)
 - > External debt on a remaining maturity basis (encouraged)

1. Setting immediate objectives (2011-12)

- We need to move to further improving actual data collection and dissemination
 - Make better use of existing data
 - > Enhance existing frameworks for data collection and dissemination and harmonize data reporting templates (some proposals to be presented at this conference)
 - Further enhance the PGI
- We need to coordinate data work with upcoming proposals and parallel exercises
 - Indicators being defined for the G-20 Mutual Assessment Process
 - > Upcoming enhancements to the IMF's Data Standards

- 2. Setting medium-term objectives (2012-14)
- Complete enhancements to existing statistical frameworks and data collection/dissemination
 - Make use of the implementation of recently updated methodologies (SNA2008, BPM6)
- Finalize development of new statistical frameworks and start collection and dissemination of new data

3. Be aware of constraints

- Work needs to be done (it will be bad if a new crisis highlights the same data gaps we are addressing), but there are constraints (budgets, staff, IT, skill mix):
 - Set priorities:
 - Cross-border interconnections (whom-to-whom data)
 - General government operations and positions data
 - Sectoral interconnections data
 - Leverage, maturity mismatches and shadow banking
- Coordinate better:
 - Among international institutions (maintain coordination in the IAG)
 - > Among agencies within countries

4. Be aware of risks

- Momentum could be lost in addressing data gaps
 - Continue consultative approach with G-20 economies to monitor implementation progress and discuss challenges
 - Continue periodic reporting to G-20 Ministers of Finance and Central Bank Governors
 - Maintain close coordination among international institutions

IV. Concluding Remarks

- Considerable progress has been made in addressing data gaps:
 - **▶** G-20 economies are already addressing data gaps
 - Individual IAG agencies allocated to lead specific recommendations.
 - Communication of official statistics is improving (the PGI).
- > Data Dissemination Standards (GDDS and SDDS) have been strengthened (and further enhancements are under consideration).
- But challenges remain ahead:
 - Implementation plans and timetables will need to be firmed up
 - Development of new data frameworks will need to be completed
 - Adequate resources need to be identified and allocated to statistical work
 - Coordination and collaboration—not only among IAG agencies, but also with and within national statistical agencies will need to be strengthened.
- Let's continue this important work, it is necessary to better understand a global world and contribute to better policy making.