Meeting of the IMF Government Finance Statistics Advisory Committee Washington, D.C.

## New Fiscal Transparency Code and Evaluations

#### Prepared by Richard Hughes



DISCLAIMER: The views expressed herein are those of the author and should not be attributed to the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management.



# New Fiscal Transparency Code and Evaluations

**Richard Hughes** Fiscal Affairs Department

IMF Government Financial Statistics Advisory Committee 9-10 March 2015





- **II. New Fiscal Transparency Code**
- **III. New Fiscal Transparency Evaluations**
- **IV. Next Steps**





- A concerted effort to improve fiscal transparency since the late 1990s
  - Asian crisis highlighted weakness in public and private financial reporting
  - Also underscored the risks associated with undisclosed linkages between the two
- New fiscal reporting standards were developed
  - General: IMF's Code & Manual on Fiscal Transparency
  - **Budgeting:** OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency
  - **Statistics:** EU's ESA 95, IMF's GFSM 2001, & UN's SNA 08
  - Accounting: IFAC's International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS)
- New tools for monitoring compliance with standards were introduced
  - Multilateral: Fiscal and Data ROSCs, GDDS/SDDS, & PEFA
  - **Regional:** Eurostat, WAEMU & CEMAC harmonization of fiscal reporting
  - Civil Society: Open Budget Survey and Index, GIFT Principles

## I. Background and Context:




- Code & ROSC evaluate clarity of reporting procedures not quality of reports
  - Code's 4 "Pillars" reinforce focus on formal laws, institutions, and processes
    - i. Clarity of Roles and Responsibility
    - ii. Open Budget Processes
    - iii. Public Availability of Information
    - iv. Assurances of integrity
  - ROSCs pay too little attention to the content of fiscal reports themselves
- Code & ROSC adopt a "one-size-fits-all" approach to evaluating countries
  - Do not take into account different levels of institutional capacity
  - Do not provide milestones to full compliance with international standards
  - Make it difficult to benchmark against comparator countries
- ROSC assessments tended to be exhaustive rather than risk-based
  - Place equal weight on all elements of the Code
  - Difficult to judge relative seriousness of different fiscal reporting gaps
  - Include a large number of unprioritized recommendations

#### **II. New Fiscal Transparency Code** a. Architecture of the New Code



#### Four Pillars of the New Code



# II. New Fiscal Transparency Code:b. Differences between 2007 and 2014 Codes



Objective	2007 Code	2014 Code	
Focus on outputs rather than processes	30 of 45 principles were procedural in nature	31 of 36 principles focus on quality or content of fiscal information	
Take account of different levels of country capacity	"Code of Good Practices"	Basic, Good, and Advanced Practice	
Greater emphasis on fiscal risk disclosure and management	1 principle on fiscal risk 5 others risk-related	12 principles focused on fiscal risk	
Align with recent advances in standards & practices	Institutions: General Government Stocks: Financial Balance Sheet Frequency: Quarterly Classification: GFSM 2001 Accounting: GAAP Budgeting: N/A	Institutions: Public Sector Stocks: Full Balance Sheet Frequency: Monthly Classification: GFSM 2014 Accounting: IPSAS Budgeting: PEFA & OECD	

## **II. New Fiscal Transparency Code:** c. More Graduated Set of Practices



#	DIMENSION		PRACTICES		
# DIMENSION		PRINCIPLE	BASIC	GOOD	ADVANCED
I	FISCAL REPORTING	Fiscal reports should provide a comprehensive, relevant, timely, and reliable overview of the government's financial position and performance			
1.1	Coverage	Fiscal reports should provide a comprehensive overview of the fiscal activities of the public sector and its sub-sectors according to international standards			
1.1.1	Coverage of Institutions	Fiscal reports cover all entities engaged in public activity according to international standards.	Fiscal reports consolidate all central government entities.	Fiscal reports consolidate all general government entities and report on each subsector.	Fiscal reports consolidate all public sector entities and report on each subsector.
1.1.3	Coverage of Stocks	Fiscal reports include a balance sheet of public assets, liabilities, and net worth.	Fiscal reports cover all cash, deposits, and debt	Fiscal reports cover all financial assets and liabilities.	Fiscal reports cover all financial and non-financial assets and liabilities, and net worth.
1.1.2	Coverage of Flows	Fiscal reports cover all public revenues, expenditures, and financing.	Fiscal reports cover cash revenues, expenditures and financing.	Fiscal reports cover cash flows and accrued revenues expenditures, and financing.	Fiscal reports cover cash flows ,accrued revenues, expenditures, and financing, and other economic flows.

## **III. New Fiscal Transparency Evaluation:** a. Differences with Fiscal ROSC



Reform Objective	Fiscal ROSC	Fiscal Transparency Evaluation	
More analysis of coverage and reliability of fiscal data	Focus on assessing reporting procedures	Quantitative fiscal transparency indicators	
More accessible summary of strengths and weaknesses	Long narrative accounts of strengths and weaknesses	Summary Heatmaps highlight reform priorities	
Identify concrete steps to address weaknesses	Unprioritized list of recommendations	Sequenced 5-Year Action Plan	
More scalable product	Comprehensive, one- size-fits-all assessment	Modular evaluations of individual Code Pillars	

## **III. New Fiscal Transparency Evaluation** b. Piloting the New Evaluation





#### BACKGROUND


- 10 countries volunteered
- Wide range of income levels
  - 3 advanced economies
  - 5 emerging markets
  - 3 low income countries

#### Variety of regions


- 5 from Europe
- 2 from Africa
- 2 from Latin American
- 1 from Asia-Pacific
- 3 iterations of the Code tested
- 5 FTE reports published so far
  - Bolivia, Costa Rica, Ireland, Russia, and Portugal












## **III. New Fiscal Transparency Evaluation:** c. Initial Findings: Fiscal Reporting





#### **Russia: Reporting of Assets and Liabilities**




10

## **III. New Fiscal Transparency Evaluation:** c. Initial Findings: Fiscal Forecasting and Budgeting



#### Budgets are not always a reliable guide to future revenues and spending




#### **Bolivia: Year-ahead Expenditure Forecast Errors**

## III. New Fiscal Transparency Evaluation: c. Initial Findings: Fiscal Risk Management



#### Fiscal risks can come from a variety of sources

Portugal: Sources of Increase in General Government Debt



(Percent of GDP)



#### **Russia: Summary Assessment of Fiscal Reporting**

	PRINCIPLE ASSESSMENT		IMPORTANCE	REC
1.1	Coverage of Institutions	<b>Good:</b> Fiscal reports consolidate all general government units <b>High:</b> Public corporations with expenditure of 28% of GDP in 2012 outside consolidated fiscal reports		1
1.2	Coverage of Stocks	<b>Good:</b> Fiscal reports cover all conventional financial and non-financial and pensions liabilities of 285% of GDF and pensions liabilities of 285% of GDF not included in balance sheets.		2,3
1.3	Coverage of Flows	<b>Good:</b> Fiscal reports cover cash and accrued revenues and expenditures <b>Medium:</b> Non-recognized non-recoverable claims of 0.4% of GDP reduce reliability of the fiscal balances		3
1.4	Tax Expenditures	Basic: There is annual disclosure of revenue loss due to some tax reliefs subsidiesMedium: Estimated 1-2% of GDP in annual revenue foregone due to tax expenditures.		4
2.1	Frequency of In-year Fiscal Reports	Advanced: Cash-based budget execution reports are published on a monthly basis Low: Monthly fiscal reports are published within 30 days		
2.2	Timeliness of Annual Financial Statements	Advanced: Annual financial statements are published in a timely mannerLow: Annual reports are published within 5 months of the end of the financial year		
3.1	Classification	Classification Good: Fiscal reports include an administrative, economic and functional, classifications comparable with international standards Medium: Inconsistent classifications lead to different events of the fiscal balances		
3.2				



#### Ireland: Fiscal Transparency Action Plan

Action	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
1. Expand Institutional Co	1. Expand Institutional Coverage of Budgets, Statistics, and Accounts					
a. Present all gross revenues and expenditures of central government entities in budget documentation		Incorporate NPRF into budget documentation	Incorporate Non- Commercial Semi- State Bodies into budget documentation	Incorporate all central government entities in budget documentation	Integrate non- commercial semi- state bodies into departmental votes	
b. Combine Finance and Appropriation Accounts into a consolidated Central Government Financial Statement	Combine the information in the notes to the Appropriation Accounts to produce a summary report	Combine Finance and Appropriation Accounts into a partial Central Government Financial Statement based on existing accounting policies	Incorporate SIF and NPRF into partial Central Government Financial Statement	Incorporate Non- Commercial Semi- State Bodies into consolidated provisional Central Government Financial Statement	Prepare comprehensive consolidated Central Government Financial Statement for audit by C&AG	
c. Provide an overview of the gross revenues and expenditures of the general government and its subsectors	Reconcile gross revenues and expenditures of Exchequer and general government in budget	Provide summary of gross revenues and expenditures of central government in budget	Provide summary of gross revenues and expenditures of central, local, and general government in budget	Publish quarterly statistics on gross revenues and expenditures of central, local, and general government sectors	Publish monthly statistics on gross revenues and expenditures of central, local, and general government sectors	

## VI. Next Steps:



DATE	ACTION		
October 2014	Consultation on Pillar IV on Natural Resource Management		
Spring 2015	Consultation on Fiscal Transparency Manual Vol . 1 (Pillars I-III)		
Summer 2015	Finalization of Pillar IV on Natural Resource Management		
Winter 2015	Consultation on Fiscal Transparency Manual Vol 2 (Pillar IV)		
Spring 2016	Finalization of Fiscal Transparency Code (Pillars I-IV) & Manuals (Vols. 1 & 2)		
Ongoing	Fiscal Transparency Evaluations (including pilots of Pillar IV)		