



Banking reform in Britain and Europe

John Vickers

All Souls College, Oxford University

Paper presented at the Rethinking Macro Policy II: First Steps and Early Lessons Conference
Hosted by the International Monetary Fund
Washington, DC—April 16–17, 2013

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

Banking reform in Britain and Europe

John Vickers

All Souls College, Oxford University

IMF Rethinking Macro Policy Conference

17 April 2013

US: from Glass-Steagall to Volcker

- Section 20 of the 1933 Banking Act prohibited affiliation between bank and companies “engaged principally” in securities business
- Eroded over time by regulatory permissiveness and market developments
- Repealed in 1999
- Restrictions remain on dealings between banks and their non-bank affiliates – notably section 23 of the Federal Reserve Act
- Dodd-Frank legislation of 2010 includes [a version of] the Volcker Rule prohibition on proprietary trading by banks
- Implementation difficulties

UK: ICB → Banking Reform Bill 2013

- Ring-fencing retail/commercial banking to achieve
 - Insulation from kinds of global shock
 - Resolvability and supervisability
 - Domestic resilience + international competitiveness with higher-than-Basel capital standards in retail banking
 - Sound long-run framework for bank lending to real economy
 - Getting taxpayer off the hook
- Ring-fence design
- Ring-fencing one element of package of recommendations on loss-absorbency and competition too

ICB ring-fence design

Core

- Deposits and overdrafts to individuals and SMEs

Permitted

- Deposits and payments for any EEA customer
- Non-financial lending, trade and project finance and advice to EEA customers

Excluded/prohibited

- Non-EEA services
- Most trading and underwriting of derivatives and debt, asset-backed or equity securities
- Lending to financial companies

Structural alternatives and additions

- Why not total separation, like Glass-Steagall?
- Electrification – the reserve power proposed by the PCBS
- Why not Volcker instead?
- What about Volcker as well?

Loss-absorbency recommendations

- Higher (than Basel) capital levels for major UK retail banks
 - With accordingly tighter leverage cap [not accepted by Govt]
 - Primary loss-absorbency capacity requirements beyond equity
 - Bail-in powers
 - Depositor preference
-
- Arguments over whether higher capital requirements are costly to the economy (i) in the long run, (ii) in the short run, and (iii) with respect to 'UK competitiveness'

EU: Liikanen → ??

- High-level EU expert group reported in October 2012
- Separate trading from deposit bank
- Plus powers to require further separation if needed for resolvability
- Banks should build up a sufficient layer of bail-inable debt
- Need for more robust risk weights and corporate governance reforms

- European Commission deliberating

Liikanen and UK in harmony?

- Remarkably similar on structural reform – strong but flexible separation; don't try to sub-divide trading; structured universal banking, not full split
- On retail 'versus' trading separation, note that fence around the deer park to protect them from the lions = fence to keep the lions away from the deer
- Difference on securities underwriting, which on economic logic should be on trading side
- UK goes further than (baseline) Liikanen in some ways, but Liikanen proposes powers to require wider separation if needed
- Beware one-size-fits-all and note features of UK banking
- (Whether Liikanen and France are in harmony is another question)

Eurozone banking union needs banking reform

- A banking union with well-capitalised and safely structured banks has much more prospect of economic and political success than one without
- Otherwise banking union could mutualise, and thereby risk enlarging, the implicit government guarantee to banks, contrary to the shared international objective of curtailing it
- Banking reform is needed whether or not there is banking union, but banking union needs banking reform.

The unfinished reform agenda

“To start, we need concrete progress with the too-important-to-fail conundrum. We need a global level discussion of the pros and cons of direct restrictions on business models ...”

Christine Lagarde

Toronto, 25 October 2012