

Task Teams: Advancing the Research Agenda

APRIL 25, 2024

David Bailey
IMF, Government Finance Division

GFSAC/04/24/14

Contents

- Task Teams
 - > Overview
 - Governance
 - ➤ Membership
- Research Topics by Task Team
- Questions for discussion

Task Teams

Task Teams: Overview

- Research agenda requires teams of experts to research each topic and prepare recommendations for GFSM update
- Update to SNA and BPM has effectively used task teams to develop guidance and recommendations
- Task teams have been the vehicle for:
 - drafting guidance notes
 - preparing options for new guidance
 - discussing and agreeing recommendations
- Proposed that the GFSM update adopts a similar approach

Task Teams: Governance - Role of Chair

- Chairs of task teams:
 - appointed by the IMF, based on experience and expertise
 - may be selected from the IMF, GFSAC, international / regional organizations, country authorities, independent experts
 - participate in selection of task team members
 - report regularly to the GFSAC on task team activities
 - establish subgroups for each research topic
 - set and monitor timelines for advancing each research topic
 - chair task team meetings and oversee consultations

Task Teams: Governance - Role of Secretariat

- Secretariat of task teams:
 - to be provided by the IMF
 - schedule task team meetings (as required by the Chair)
 - prepare draft minutes of task team meetings
 - administer consultations within task teams

working language of task teams to be English

Task Teams: Governance - Role of subgroups

- Subgroups of task teams:
 - technical team to prepare new guidance and recommendations on a specific research topic
 - subgroup members are generally also part of wider task team
 - although where necessary topic experts may be selected by task team
 Chair to participate in subgroups only
 - prepare guidance notes following standard structure
 - advance research topics in accordance with timeline set by the task team Chair
 - > present draft guidance notes and updates to wider task team / GFSAC
 - may choose their preferred method(s) of collaborative working

Task Teams: Membership

- Task teams to be large enough to advance all research topics but small enough to allow for effective decision making
- Task team membership to seek a balance between IOs, country authorities (users and compilers) and independent experts
- Task team membership to seek a geographical balance
- Task team membership may include experts from other macroeconomic statistical domains, accountancy profession, and academia
- Proposed to limit task teams to 20 members, but some flexibility may be necessary as number of research topics will vary by task team
- Members of task teams will not be remunerated in any way
- Does GFSAC agree with the proposed governance and membership of task teams?

Task Teams: Terms of reference

- Draft Terms of Reference are included in document on process and timeline
 - includes most of the above proposals on governance and membership
 - also mentions that task teams should strive to reach a consensus on recommendations and if not possible then the different views should be presented in the guidance note – likely a need to expand to include a dispute resolution mechanism (as in SNA/BPM task teams)

Draft standard structure for guidance notes also included

Research Topics by Task Team

Research Topics by Task Team

- Proposed Four Task Teams
 - 1. GFS compilation issues
 - Debt and other monetary and financial topics (including experts from MFS update)
 - Environmental topics (including experts from SEEA-CF update)
 - 4. Fiscal analysis and GFS communication topics

Research Topics by Task Team: Detail

| GFS compilation issues | Debt and financial topics | Environmental topics | Analysis & Communication |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 2.1 Government vs. Nonfinancial PCs | 2.2 Government vs. Financial PCs | 2.14 Accounting for natural resources | 2.23 GFS metadata framework |
| 2.3. Indigenous / one-party states | 2.4 Transactions with SWFs | 2.15 Environmental classifications | 2.24 Communicating GFS |
| 2.5 Treatment of zakat | 2.6 Debt valuation issues | 2.16 Climate-impacting subsidies | 2.26 GFS vs. IPSAS |
| 2.12 Operating and financial leases | 2.7 Debt assumption | 2.25 Flows related to natural resources | 2.27 Tax expenditures |
| 2.13 Treatment of PPPs | 2.8 Valuation / recognition of loans | | 2.28 Retained earnings of PCs |
| 2.17 Government data assets | 2.9 Recording of provisions | | 2.29 GFS for SOEs |
| 2.18 Social security schemes | 2.10 Stock / flows with IMF | | 2.30 GFS within fiscal analysis |
| 2.19 Assumption of pensions | 2.11 Central Bank quasi-fiscal operations | | |
| 2.20 Capital transfers | | | |
| 2.21 Capital injections | | | |
| 2.22 Subscriptions to IOs | | | |

^{*} Indicative lists based on current version of the research agenda

Questions for discussion

Questions for Discussion: Task Team Governance

- 1. Do GFSAC members agree with the proposed:
 - a. governance of task teams?
 - b. membership of task teams (and their) subgroups?
 - c. draft terms of reference?
 - d. need for a dispute resolution mechanism?
 - e. draft standard structure for guidance notes?

Questions for Discussion: Research Agenda

- 2. Would GFSAC members like to propose other options for banding together research topics by task team?
- 3. Do GFSAC members have views on:
 - a. the optimal number of task teams?
 - b. size of research agenda covered by each task team?
 - c. what should be the primary consideration when establishing task teams? (e.g. thematic area, synergies with other updates)
- 4. Would GFSAC members like to volunteer to work within specific task teams?