# Firm-Level Evidence on International Stock Market Comovement

Robin Brooks and Marco Del Negro

## **IMF Working Paper**

## Research Department

# Firm-Level Evidence on International Stock Market Comovement

Prepared by Robin Brooks and Marco Del Negro<sup>1</sup>

Authorized for distribution by Ashoka Mody

March 2003

## Abstract

The views expressed in this Working Paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent those of the IMF or IMF policy. Working Papers describe research in progress by the author(s) and are published to elicit comments and to further debate.

We explore the link between international stock market comovement and the degree to which firms operate globally. Using stock returns and balance sheet data for companies in 20 countries, we estimate a factor model that decomposes stock returns into global, country-specific and industry-specific shocks. We find a large and highly significant link: on average, a firm raising its international sales by 10 percent raises the exposure of its stock return to global shocks by 2 percent and reduces its exposure to country-specific shocks by 1.5 percent. This link has grown stronger since the mid-1980s.

JEL Classification Numbers: G11, G15

Keywords: Diversification; risk; international financial markets; industrial structure

Authors' E-Mail Addresses: rbrooks@IMF.org; marco.delnegro@atl.frb.org

Research Department, International Monetary Fund, and Research Department, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. The paper was prepared for the IMF conference on "Global Linkages." We thank our discussant, Kathryn Dominguez, and other participants for many helpful comments. We are also grateful to John Campbell, Stefano Cavaglia, Marcelle Chauvet, Kristin Forbes, Ashoka Mody, Geert Rouwenhorst, Dan Waggoner, participants in the 2003 AEA annual meetings session on "Global Linkages" and the Atlanta Fed Finance Brown Bag for their suggestions, Menzie Chinn for sharing his capital account liberalization measure with us, Iskander Karibzhanov for translating some of our code into C, and Young Kim for excellent research assistance. Finally, we thank Sheila Kinsella for preparing this document.

	Contents Page
I.	Introduction3
II.	The Model5
III.	The Data
IV.	The Results
	A. The Cross-Sectional Link
V.	Conclusion
Арре	endix I Robustness Tests
Text	Tables
1.	Variance Decompositions for International Stock Returns and Sales Growth by Different Measures of Firm-Level Diversification Across Countries
2.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification
3.	Variance Decompositions of International Stock Returns Over Time
4.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on the International Sales Ratio and the Respective Sales Betas Over Time
5.	Explaining the Changing Importance of Country Factors in International Stock
	Returns 22
Appe	endix Tables
1.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Full Sample
2.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: First Subsample
3.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Second Subsample
4.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures
5.	of International Diversification: Third Subsample
J.	Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Fourth Subsample
Refe	rences

## I. Introduction

There is mounting evidence that firms around the world are becoming more global. For example, the *World Investment Report* (WIR) by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) reports that the global stock of foreign direct investment (FDI) has gone from 5 percent to 16 percent of world GDP in the last two decades, while international production has increased from about 5 percent to 10 percent of world output over the same period. Indeed, the pace at which companies have been diversifying internationally accelerated in the late 1990s, when the value of cross-border mergers and acquisitions (M&A) rose sharply. According to the WIR, cross-border M&A as a share of world GDP rose from around 0.5 percent in 1994 to around 2.4 percent in 1999. With this surge in the globalization of businesses, one of the most pronounced empirical regularities in international finance—the low degree of comovement across national stock markets—has broken down. For example, the correlation coefficient of U.S. stock returns with equity returns in other developed markets has risen from a relatively stable level of around 0.4 from the mid-1980s through the mid-1990s to close to 0.9 more recently.<sup>2</sup>

Against this background, we investigate the empirical link between international stock market comovement and the degree to which firms operate internationally. We collect stock returns and balance sheet data for 1,239 firms in 20 developed and emerging markets from 1985 to 2002 and estimate a factor model that decomposes international stock returns into global, country-specific and industry-specific factors. The model differs in an important respect from the prevailing approach in the international portfolio diversification literature. Earlier work, such as Heston and Rouwenhorst (1994) and Griffin and Karolyi (1998), assumes that country and industry shocks affect all stocks within a given country or industry in the same way. In contrast, our model estimates separate exposures to the global, country-specific and industry-specific shocks for each stock in our sample.<sup>3</sup> We use these firm-level exposures, called betas below, to investigate the link between stock market comovement and the degree to which firms are international. Is it the case, for example, that global sources of return variation are more important for stocks where the underlying company is highly international? Are country-specific shocks less important for such stocks? And if there is such a link, is it quantitatively important?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To compute these correlation coefficients, we use U.S. dollar-denominated monthly returns from the DataStream Global Equity index. The developed markets index, excluding the United States, comprises Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Spain, Portugal, Singapore, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This model was developed in Brooks and Del Negro (2002c), which shows how it can be estimated for large cross sections and find that the firm-level exposures provide useful information for international diversification strategies. They also test the restriction, implicit in earlier papers, that country and industry shocks affect all stocks within a given country or industry in the same way, and find that it is strongly rejected by the data.

Before we turn to our results, a more basic question arises: how to measure the degree to which firms operate internationally? The existing literature in this area—consisting of Cavaglia, Cho, and Singer (2001), Diermeier and Solnik (2001); and Lombard, Roulet, and Solnik (1999)—relies primarily on the percentage of sales from firms' operations in foreign countries as a measure. We broaden our focus to include the percentage of assets associated with companies' foreign operations and the fraction of operating income generated by their operations abroad. But all of these measures have an important shortcoming, as they are likely measured with substantial error. In addition, sometimes these measures fail to capture the importance of exports as a channel through which firms operate internationally. To address this deficiency, we estimate our factor model for the annual growth rates of total sales for the firms in our sample. The resulting factor exposures, called "sales betas" below, capture the degree of international exposure both through exports and through sales from operations abroad. Our sales betas thus address a key measurement problem in the existing literature.

Our results suggest that global shocks are a more important source of return variation for stocks whose underlying company is globally diversified, according to our various measures of firmlevel globalization. We also find that country-specific shocks are less important for such stocks. Most important, we find that this link is strong and highly statistically significant. For example, a company that raises the international component of its sales by 10 percent raises the exposure of its stock return to the global shock by 2 percent and reduces its exposure to country-specific shocks by 1.5 percent. A similar economically and statistically significant relationship exists for our other measures of firm-level globalization, notably our sales betas.

We next investigate whether the importance of this link has changed over our sample period. To this end, we estimate a more general specification of the model, in which we allow the variances of the global, country-specific and industry-specific factors to change over time. We find that the positive link between firms' exposure to the global stock market factor and the international component of their sales has more than doubled in magnitude from the late 1980s to the late 1990s. Over the same period, the link between firms' stock market country betas and their international sales ratio has gone from positive to negative. These changes are driven by a large rise in the importance of the global factor and a decline in the importance of country-specific shocks. When we investigate the driving forces behind these changes, we find that the declining importance of country factors is more pronounced for countries in which companies are highly international, according to their international sales. We also find that the decline is more pronounced for more financially open countries and countries with fewer capital account restrictions, although the association here is weaker than for our firm-level variable. Because we lack a similar cross-country dimension for the global factor, there are insufficient observations to relate its evolution over time to firm-level and macroeconomic measures of openness.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Forbes and Chinn (2003) find a positive link across countries between comovement in stock returns and bilateral trade linkages and that the strength of this link has increased in recent years.

We extend the literature in several ways. First, as noted above, we improve on measuring the extent to which firms operate globally. Second, our factor model yields estimates of the stock market betas that are more precise, both from conceptual and statistical perspectives, than those in earlier papers. Our model—unlike that in Diermeier and Solnik (2001)—extracts global, country-specific and industry-specific factors that are orthogonal on each other. This means that our stock market betas have unambiguous interpretations, namely that they capture global, country-specific or industry-specific exposures. Cavaglia and others (2001) use an empirical model very similar to ours, but their betas are estimated using the iterative approach of Marsh and Pfleiderer (1997), while we use maximum likelihood estimation. The latter estimates are consistent and asymptotically efficient while, to our knowledge, there is no evidence that their estimator enjoys such properties. Third, the existing literature has recognized the possibility of measurement error in firm-level measures of globalization, such as international sales. We are. to our knowledge, the first to address this problem. Following Fama and MacBeth (1973), we examine the link between the stock market betas and our firm-level diversification measures using sorted portfolios. This increases our estimate of this link by an order of magnitude, relative to our estimate using the same data for individual firms. We see this as a strong indication that measurement error in the regressors is a quantitatively important problem and has likely biased downward similar estimates in the existing literature. Fourth and finally, we are the first to find that the magnitude and significance of the link between stock market comovement and the degree to which firms are international has increased substantially over time. The existing literature fails to find any systematic change over time.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses our empirical approach, while Section III reviews our data. Section IV presents the results. Section V concludes.

#### II. THE MODEL

This section briefly outlines the factor model used to extract firms' betas with respect to the global, country- and industry-specific shocks. The model is more extensively described in Brooks and Del Negro (2002c). Let us denote by  $R_{nt}$  the excess return on stock n in period t over the riskless rate, where n goes from 1 to N and t goes from 1 to T. We index countries with the letter c (c = 1,...,C) and industries with the letter i (i = 1,...,I). The model is described by the following equation:

$$R_{nt} = \mu_i + \beta_n^G f_t^g + \sum_{c=1}^C \beta_{nc}^C f_t^c + \sum_{i=1}^I \beta_{ni}^I f_t^i + \varepsilon_{nt}$$
 (1)

where  $f_t^g$ ,  $f_t^c$  and  $f_t^i$  denote the global factor, the country-specific factor c and the industry-specific factor i, respectively, and  $\varepsilon_{nt}$  represents the idiosyncratic shock to the return on stock n,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In contrast, Diermeier and Solnik (2001) note that their "domestic factor is to some extent correlated with international factors." This means that their domestic stock market betas capture both domestic and international exposure, leaving their results hard to interpret.

all in period t. The factors are unobservables, as in the latent factor models employed for instance in the APT literature. A key innovation of this model relative to that literature, however, is that here the factors are "identified." The identification arises from the fact that we impose a very natural set of zero restriction on the betas: We restrict  $\beta^{C}_{nc}$  and  $\beta^{I}_{ni}$  to zero if stock n does not belong to country c or industry i. For example, if stock n is a U.S. chemical company, we restrict the loadings of stock n on any country factor other than that for the U.S. and on any industry factor other than the chemical industry factor to be zero. In absence of these zero restrictions, the factors could be rotated arbitrarily and thus could not be identified separately. In our model, the zero restrictions pin down the rotation matrix and give an economic interpretation to the factors, allowing us to characterize them as global, country-or industry-specific factors.

Brooks and Del Negro (2002c) show that the Lehman and Modest (1985) EM algorithm can be used to obtain maximum likelihood estimates of the betas in model (1) and that this approach is computationally feasible even for large cross-sections.<sup>6</sup> In order to estimate (1) via maximum likelihood, we need to make distributional assumptions however. Specifically, we assume—as in much of the APT literature that uses maximum likelihood estimation—that (i) both the factors and the idiosyncratic shocks are normally distributed *i.i.d.* random variables, uncorrelated with each other:

$$f_t^g, f_t^c, f_t^i \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text{ all } g, c, i$$
 (2.1)

$$\varepsilon_{nt} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma_n^2) \text{ all } n$$
 (2.2)

$$E_{t-1} \left[ f_t^k f_t^m \right] = 0 \text{ for } k \neq m, \ E_{t-1} \left[ f_t^k \varepsilon_{nt} \right] = 0 \text{ all } k, n$$
 (2.3)

for all t, where the assumption of a unit variance is purely a normalization assumption, and (ii) the idiosyncratic shocks are cross-sectionally uncorrelated:

$$E_{t-1}[\varepsilon_{nt}\varepsilon_{mt}] = 0 (3)$$

for all t, n, and m. In the remainder of the paper we will show results that are based on variance decomposition of returns for individual stocks. These are obtained as follows. From equation (1) it follows that the variance of excess returns for stock n can be decomposed as the sum of the variances attributed to global, country, and industry shocks and the idiosyncratic component:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Convergence is reached whenever the mean squared gradient is less than 10<sup>-4</sup>. Lehman and Modest (1985) adopt a slightly tighter criterion, namely that the sum of the squared gradients is less than 10<sup>-4</sup>. Given that the EM algorithm is notoriously slow to converge close to the summit of the likelihood and that our results do not change as long as the mean squared gradient is less than 10<sup>-2</sup>, we adopt a slightly looser convergence criterion.

$$Var(R_{nt}) = \left(\beta_n^G\right)^2 + \left(\beta_{nc}^C\right)^2 + \left(\beta_{ni}^I\right)^2 + \sigma_n^2 \tag{4}$$

where c and i denote the country and the industry that stock n belongs to. This variance decomposition is exact—in the sense that the impact of country shock can be perfectly separated from that of an industry shock—because it makes use of assumption (2.3). Of course, even if the factors are ex ante orthogonal, ex post they may not be. However, we find that the average ex post correlation coefficient between the global, country, and industry factors is virtually zero.

## III. THE DATA

We use data constructed by Brooks and Del Negro (2002a). Their data cover monthly total U.S. dollar-denominated stock returns from January 1985 to February 2002 for 9,679 companies. They cover all constituent firms in the Datastream country indices for 42 developed and emerging markets as of March 2002 and augment this list with active and inactive stocks for each market from Worldscope. Each stock belongs to one of 39 Level 4 Datastream Global Equity industries, a set of industry assignments that has been used most recently by Griffin and Stulz (2001). Table 1 in Brooks and Del Negro (2002a) lists these industries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Brooks and Del Negro (2002a) investigate the recent rise in the importance of global industry effects in international stock returns and find that it is driven by a small set of industries at the heart of the recent stock market bubble. Their approach follows Heston and Rouwenhorst (1994) and Griffin and Karolyi (1998) in assuming that firms within a given country or industry have the same exposure to country or industry shocks. Brooks and Del Negro (2002b) extend this work to investigate the evolution of country-specific shocks over time by region. They find that only for Europe has the importance of such shocks declined since the mid-1980s and that this decline appears linked to the lifting of capital account restrictions and the introduction of EMU.

We follow much of the literature in using U.S. dollar-denominated returns. L'Her et al. (2002) and Griffin and Karolyi (1998) most recently use this approach for samples that, like ours, span many countries. Using U.S. dollar-denominated returns has the effect of lumping nominal currency influences into country-specific shocks in international stock returns. Brooks and Del Negro (2002a) investigate the magnitude of this bias by redoing their estimations using returns denominated in local currencies and find it to be negligible. This matches Hentschel and Long (2002), Griffin and Stulz (2001) and Heston and Rouwenhorst (1994) who find that exchange rates play only a minor role in explaining international return variation. One explanation for the absence of such a link could be that firms hedge exchange rate risk, consistent with Dominguez and Tesar (2001) who report that exchange rate exposure in stock returns is actually lower for firms with substantial international trade.

Our sample represents a subset of that in Brooks and Del Negro (2002a) because we use data only for those firms for which a continuous series for U.S. dollar-denominated total sales at fiscal year-end is available from Worldscope. 9 The cross-section of firms for which stock returns and total U.S. dollar sales data are continuously available from January 1985 to February 2002 amounts to 1,239 companies in 20 developed and emerging markets. This sample is balanced over time—there are no changes in composition driving any of our results. The country composition of this sample, and the number of firms in each market, are: Australia (26), Austria (4), Belgium (6), Canada (57), Denmark (9), France (14), Germany (25), Hong Kong (21), Ireland (10), Italy (8), Japan (467), Malaysia (8), the Netherlands (8), Norway (5), Singapore (14), South Africa (13), Sweden (11), Switzerland (7), the U.K. (150) and the U.S. (376). Our data set includes firms in 34 (out of 39) Level 4 industries. Following Griffin and Karolyi (1998) and Griffin and Stulz (2001), we also distinguish between traded and nontraded goods industries. In this dimension, our dataset has 611 traded and 628 non-traded goods firms. 11 Our data coverage compares favorably to that in other papers that use firm-level international stock returns. For example, Heston and Rouwenhorst (1994) examine data on 829 stocks in 12 European countries. Griffin and Karolyi (1998) collect data on 2,400 firms in 25 developed and emerging markets.

We follow standard practice in the literature—see Ferson and Harvey (1994), Dumas and Solnik (1995), Heston et al. (1995) and De Santis and Gerard (1997)—in estimating our factor model over excess U.S. dollar-denominated stock returns, which we compute by subtracting the monthly total return for a 3-month U.S. Treasury Bill from the individual stock returns. Over the full sample, the monthly U.S. dollar-denominated excess return averages 0.3 percent per month, while the average variance across stocks is 114.34 percent-squared. The average annual growth rate for total sales across all the firms in our sample amounts to 8.06 percent. The average variance across firms of the growth rate of annual sales is 477.41 percent-squared. <sup>12</sup>

<sup>9</sup> The Worldscope variable we use for total sales is called *SalesUSD*, which is the net sales or revenues of a company converted to U.S. dollars using the fiscal year end exchange rate, according to the Worldscope data definitions guide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In addition, when a factor (either country and industry) contains only one or two companies, we eliminate the factor and the corresponding firms from the analysis. This is because we cannot in this case identify the idiosyncratic component separately from the country or industry factor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Following Griffin and Karolyi (1998) and Griffin and Stulz (2001), we treat the following industries as tradable goods sectors: AUTMB, OILGS, FSTPA, PHARM, CHMCL, INFOH, ELTNC, SFTCS, HHOLD, MNING, STLOM, TOBAC, FOODS, ENGEN, PERSH. See Table 1 in Brooks and Del Negro (2002a) for an explanation of these abbreviations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> We compute monthly total returns for the 3-month Treasury Bill using the Merrill Lynch 3-month Treasury Bill Index. The 3-month US Treasury Bill Index is comprised of a single issue purchased at the beginning of the month and held for a full month. At the end of the month, that

Finally, we collect annual Worldscope data from 1985 to 2001 for each firm on the share of total sales generated abroad, the fraction of total assets held overseas and the fraction of total income generated abroad. 1,170 firms in our sample have data on the international component of total sales at some point over our sample. This number is 1,071 for international assets and 1,059 for international income. Firms in traded goods industries are on average more open, according to these balance sheet variables, than firms in non-traded goods industries. The international sales ratio for traded goods firms averages 28.49 percent over our sample, while it is 16.00 percent for non-traded goods firms. The corresponding ratios for international assets are 19.30 percent and 10.81 percent, and 22.75 percent and 13.57 percent for international income.

## IV. THE RESULTS

This section reports the estimation results for model (1) with one global factor, 20 country factors (one for each country) and 34 industry factors (one for each industry). It has two subsections. Section 4.1 quantifies the empirical link between international stock market comovement and the degree to which firms operate internationally. We call this the cross-sectional link because it holds across firms for the full sample period. Section 4.2 then asks whether this cross-sectional link has changed over our sample period, using a more general specification in which we allow the factor variances to change over time.

## A. The Cross-Sectional Link

This section explores the importance of the link between international stock market comovement and firm-level diversification across countries. Our basic strategy is to relate the estimated stock market betas for each firm to different measures of the extent to which firms are international. The first measure we consider is whether a firm belongs to a traded or non-traded

issue is sold and rolled into a newly selected issue. The issue selected at each month-end rebalancing is the outstanding Treasury Bill that matures closest to, but not beyond 3 months from the re-balancing date. To qualify for selection, an issue must have settled on or before the rebalancing (month-end) date. While the index will often hold the Treasury Bill issued at the most recent or prior 3-month auction, it is also possible for a seasoned 6-month or 1-Year Bill to be selected.

<sup>13</sup> The Worldscope variable that measures the percentage of international sales in total sales is called *ForeignSalesPctSales*. This variable captures sales generated by operations in foreign countries and therefore omits export sales. The Worldscope variable for the share of international assets in total assets is *ForeignAssetsPctTotalAssets*. This variable captures total or identifiable assets of foreign operations before adjustments and eliminations. Finally, the Worldscope variable for the international income share is *ForeignIncomePctTotalIncome*, which measures the importance of international operating income in total income. International operating income represents operating income generated from operations in foreign countries before adjustments and eliminations.

goods industry, in recognition of the fact that some industries are more global than others. This notion is tested explicitly in Griffin and Karolyi (1998) who find that global industry effects are more important relative to country effects for traded than for non-traded goods industries. But there are limitations to this industry-level analysis. First, though firms may nominally belong to a traded goods industry, their true exposure to stock market shocks may be different. Think of Spanish banks, nominally part of a non-traded goods sector, that are heavily exposed to the crisis in Argentina. Second, there may be heterogeneity across sectors in the exposure to global shocks—some traded goods industries may be more global than others. Third, there may be substantial heterogeneity within countries and industries in the exposure of firms to shocks.

Following Cavaglia et al. (2001), Lombard, Roulet, and Solnik (1999) and Diermeier and Solnik (2001), we consider a second measure, namely balance sheet data on the global exposure of firms through the international component of their sales, income, or assets (the above-mentioned authors consider primarily international sales). One advantage of this approach is that it exploits firm-level information and hence takes firm-level heterogeneity into account. The main disadvantage is that these variables may be measured with error, as noted by Diermeier and Solnik (2001). In addition, these variables sometimes do not capture firms' exposure to global shocks through exports.

An important added value of this paper is that it provides a third approach to measuring the extent to which firms operate internationally. We estimate the factor model described in section 2 for the annual U.S. dollar-denominated growth rates of total sales for our panel of firms. This gives us the exposure to global, country- and industry-specific shocks in annual sales growth for each firm in our data. These "sales betas" are measured in U.S. dollars to be consistent with our stock market betas. <sup>14</sup> We expect to find that the global shock is more important, and the country-specific shock less important, for more international firms. The advantage of these sales betas is that they capture international exposure not reflected in international sales, which only reflects sales by foreign affiliates and thus ignores export sales, an additional channel through which firms may be exposed to global shocks. The downside of the sales betas is twofold: (i) the underlying model to estimate them may not be correct <sup>15</sup>; and (ii) even if the model is correct, the estimated betas will have sampling error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The intuition behind these sales betas is straightforward U.S. dollar sales growth for a Brazilian multinational, for example, will be less affected by a devaluation of the Brazilian real, a country-specific shock, than the U.S. dollar-denominated sales growth of a Brazilian firm that operates only domestically.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> As in the stock market model we assume that all the shocks are *iid* over time. While this assumption is more unpalatable when applied to the sales growth rates than for the stock returns data, we find that the one-lag correlation for the sales growth data is on average very low, about 0.1. In addition, given that T=16 for the annual sales data, more complicated models with serially correlated factors would be very hard to estimate precisely.

Our task now is to determine whether there is a relationship between firms' stock market betas and the degree to which firms are international, as measured by these three approaches. We expect that firms that are more international on the real side have, ceteris paribus, a higher stock market exposure to global shocks and a lower exposure to country-specific shocks. Of course, there are other determinants of stock market exposure, most importantly the pricing kernel at which dividends are discounted. In principle, a domestic firm whose stock is traded in an open stock market (where the marginal investor is international) may be more exposed to global shocks, and less exposed to country-specific shocks, than an international firm whose stock is traded in a closed market. We directly address this possibility toward the end of this section.

First, we look for a qualitative link between the stock market and sales growth betas and the balance sheet variables that measure the international component in sales, assets and income. We sort the sample according to our accounting measures and compare the average variance decomposition for the top quartile of our sample (the most international) with that for the bottom quartile (the least international firms). Table 1 shows that, both for stock returns and sales growth, the global factor is more important and the country factor less important for firms in the top quartile based on international sales, asset and income ratios. Comovement in both real and financial variables is therefore greater for firms that operate globally than for firms that do not. Next we group firms by whether they belong to traded or non-traded goods industries. Here, the qualitative link goes the right way for stock returns but not for sales growth. Finally, we rank firms by their global and country sales betas. For the former, we find that the global factor is on average more important in explaining international return variation for the top quartile (high sales betas) than for the average stock, but that this holds even more so for the bottom quartile (low sales betas). Similarly, we find that country-specific shocks are more important on average for the top quartile than for the bottom quartile. These results go against our intuition and point to some inaccuracy in the measurement of the global sales betas. In contrast, the variance decompositions for sales growth are more in line with our expectations. Turning to the variance decompositions based on our country sales betas, we find that the results are as expected. Firms with high (low) real-side exposure to country shocks have a lower (higher) than average exposure to the global factor and a higher (lower) than average exposure to country shocks. This is true both for the stock market and the sales betas.

Table 1 thus establishes a qualitative link between firm-level integration and international stock market comovement. But how important is this link quantitatively? In order to investigate this issue, we regress the stock market betas (in percent) on an array of measures of real side exposure: the international sales, asset and income ratios, the respective sales betas and a dummy variable equal to one if a firm belongs to a traded goods sector and zero otherwise. We focus only on the global and country stock market betas on the grounds that: (i) from Table 1 there is no apparent link between industry betas and firm-level measures of globalization; (ii) it is not clear from theory that any such link should a priori exist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> For each firm we use the full sample average over time for the international sales, asset and income ratios, whenever these variables are available.

All of these regressors, for the reasons discussed before, likely contain measurement error, which leads to a downward bias in the coefficients. In order address this problem, we adopt an approach similar to Fama and MacBeth (1973). We: (i) sort firms according to the dependent variable, (ii) construct N portfolios containing n/N firms (where n is the total number of firms in the sample), (iii) use as data the N within-portfolio averages for the dependent and explanatory variables. If measurement error in the regressors is not too correlated within each portfolio, this averaging should reduce the bias due to the law of large numbers.

Table 2 presents the results of bivariate portfolio-level regressions of the stock market betas (in percent) on each of the regressors (and a constant). The t-ratios are computed using robust standard errors (White 1980) and reported in parentheses. The coefficients largely have the expected sign: an increase in the extent to which firms operate globally raises their exposure to global stock market shocks and reduces their exposure to country-specific shocks. An increase in the real-side exposure of firms to global and country shocks—as measured by our sales betas—is associated with an increase in stock market exposure to global and country shocks. More surprising, our estimates are highly significant, whereas those in Cavaglia, Cho, and Singer (2001), the most similar paper in terms of methodology, are mostly insignificant. Most important, though, the effects are economically large, again in contrast to Cavaglia, Cho, and Singer (2001): a 10 percent increase in the international sales ratio increases firms' exposure to global stock market shocks by 2 percent and reduces their exposure to country-specific shocks by 1.5 percent. If for individual stocks a change in the exposure of 2 percent may not seem large (the average stock in the sample has a standard deviation of about 10 percent), for portfolios these numbers are considerable: the equally-weighted world market portfolio has an in-sample standard deviation of 4.6 percent. Our results are therefore important for portfolio managers. The respective sales betas (also measured in percent) have a <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> to one percent impact on the stock market betas. Taking into account that the sales betas are measured on an annual basis and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> An important difference with Fama and MacBeth is that they sort firms according to their *independent* variable. Therefore, the betas in their sorted portfolio are still measured with error. For this reason, they sort firms by the betas estimated in a previous sub-period—assuming that the measurement error in the two sub-periods is independent. In contrast, we sort firms according to the *dependent* variable and hence do not encounter the same problem: our dependent variable is still likely to be measured with error, but this does not bias our estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Of course, the sorting is done according to the dependent variable *only*. We use N=20 portfolios for the bivariate regressions. There is a trade-off between bias and degrees of freedom in the regressions. The higher is N, the higher the degrees of freedom, but the higher also the bias because averaging occurs among n/N firms. Increasing N to 30 reduces the coefficients somewhat, but not sizably. The number of portfolios is 40 for the regressions with more than 2 variables (shown in the appendix), given that more degrees of freedom are needed when there are more regressors. The multivariate regression results are virtually unchanged for N=30.

the stock market betas on a monthly basis, one should multiply this number by  $\sqrt{12} \approx 3.5$ . Again, the impact of real-side exposure on stock market exposure is therefore estimated to be large.

Why do our results differ from the existing literature? First, we use portfolios to reduce measurement error in our variables. Second, our measure of firms' exposures to stock market shocks is different. Diermeier and Solnik (2001) do not account for country- or industry-specific shocks, nor are their factors orthogonal. And though Cavaglia et al. (2001) use an empirical model very similar to ours, their betas are estimated using the iterative approach of Marsh and Pfleiderer (1997), while we use maximum likelihood methods. The latter estimator is consistent and asymptotically efficient while, to our knowledge, there is no evidence that their estimator enjoys such properties.

We also run firm-level cross-sectional regressions, without the within-portfolio averaging (the results are shown in the appendix). It is reassuring to observe that none of the coefficients changes sign under this alternative procedure. In addition, most of the coefficients that are significant at the five percent level using the portfolio-level regressions are also significant at the five percent level at the firm level. As expected, the difference between the two procedures lies in the size of the coefficient. Within-portfolio averaging generally increases the coefficients by one order of magnitude, suggesting that bias in the firm-level regressions is considerable.

Finally, we check the robustness of our results to alternative specifications. In particular, we demean within each country both the dependent and the independent variables. As discussed above, cross-country differences in the stock market exposures of firms could be due to the fact that the marginal investor—and hence the pricing kernel—may be different across countries. By demeaning the stock market betas by country we remove these cross-country differences and exploit only within-country information. The results based on the demeaned regressions (also in the appendix) suggest that our results are robust. The sign of the coefficients is unchanged, most of the coefficients are still significant, and the magnitude is if anything larger in the demeaned regressions. The only exception is the regression of the country stock market betas on the accounting variables: the coefficients have the expected negative sign but are smaller than in Table 2 and no longer significant in the portfolio regressions (the coefficients are still significant in the regressions without averaging however). In interpreting these results one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Since the model assumes *i.i.d.* shocks, the annual variance is 12 times the monthly variance. Hence the annualized stock market betas are roughly  $\sqrt{12}$  times the monthly betas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Marsh and Pfleiderer (1997) propose an iterative approach, which involves i) estimating the  $\beta$ 's by OLS given the factors and ii) estimating the factors by OLS given the  $\beta$ 's. They propose this approach on the ground that "with the large cross-section of stocks...we know of no feasible way to estimate the restricted factor model by maximum likelihood methods" (p. 9). A value-added of Brooks and Del Negro (2002c) is that they provide such a method.

should bear in mind that our sample covers mostly developed markets that had liberalized their capital accounts by the start of our sample period. Moreover, the demeaning prevents us from using relevant cross-country information: in some countries firms are more international than in others.

## B. The Cross-Sectional Link over Time

So far we have investigated the link between financial and real integration in a cross-sectional sense. We have asked if the global factor in international stock returns is on average more important, and the country factor less important, for firms that are more international. There is evidence, however, that the relative importance of global, country and industry shocks in international stock returns may be changing, as L'Her et al. (2002) argue. In this section we modify the model to accommodate this evidence. We then ask if the cross-sectional link between international stock market comovement and firm-level international diversification found in the previous section is robust, and how it has evolved over time.

To this end, we estimate a more general specification of the model in Section 2, one that allows for the importance of the global, country and industry factors to vary across exogenously prespecified sub-periods of the data. In our baseline specification, the factors in every period are drawn from the same distribution, as described in equation (2.1). Now we allow for these distributions to evolve over time. Assumption (2.1) is therefore replaced with:

$$f_i^g \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \xi_l^g), f_i^c \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \xi_l^c), f_i^i \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \xi_l^i) \text{ for } t_{l-1} + 1 \le t \le t_l \text{ and } l=1,..,L$$
 (5)

where  $t_0$ =1 and  $t_L$ =T. Assumption (4) says that our sample period is divided into L periods, each starting at time  $t_{l-1}$ +1 and ending at time  $t_l$ , In each period, we let the variance and therefore the importance of our factors change. For normalization purposes, we still constrain the variance in the first sub-period to be one for all factors. Hence  $\xi_l$  can be interpreted as the variance of the global factor relative to its variance in the first period. The variance of excess returns for stock n in period l can therefore be decomposed as follows:

$$Var(R_{ni}) = (\beta_n^G)^2 \xi_i^g + (\beta_{nc}^C)^2 \xi_i^c + (\beta_{ni}^I)^2 \xi_i^i + \sigma_n^2$$
(6)

for  $t_{l-1} + 1 \le t \le t_l$ . As the  $\xi$ s change over time, the relative importance of the global, country-and industry-specific shocks in explaining variation in stock returns can also change.

Before examing our results, a discussion of our modelling choices is in order. The model we estimate is a componise between the baseline model described in section 2 and a model where the  $\beta$ s—the factor exposures—change independently across firms and over time. The latter model is attractive because it would allow us to analyze the link between the evolution of the  $\beta$ s and the evolution of the international sales ratio at the firm level. However, since the cross-section (N=1239) and hence the number of estimated parameters (4×N) is large, it would be hard to estimate these  $\beta$ s with any precision. Hence we opt for a more parsimonious

representation, where the number of additional parameters to be estimated relative to the baseline model is only K (the number of factors)  $\times$  L-1 (the number of periods-1). Second, the choice as to the number and timing of sub-periods is somewhat arbitrary. We therefore allow for two through eight equally-spaced sub-periods and systematically test for the increase in explanatory power relative to our baseline model with fixed factor variances. Our results below are qualitatively robust across specifications. However, since the model with four sub-periods has the highest BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion), we present the results for that specification only.

Table 3 shows the variance decompositions over time for international stock returns, based on the model with four sub-periods. As in Table 1, we show the variance decomposition for the average across all firms, for firms in the top quartile according to the international sales ratio (the most international firms) and for firms in the bottom quartile (the least international firms). Let us first focus on the variance decompositions for the full sample. The results suggest that the importance of the global factor has grown from 4.26 percent in the first sub-period to 16.49 percent in the last sub-period. However, this rise is confined almost entirely to the last sub-period. Over the four sub-periods, the global factor actually describes a U-shape, decreasing between the first and the second period and then rising sharply at the end of our sample. The importance of the industry factors has been approximately constant over time. The country shocks are the most important source of return variation in all four periods, although their importance has declined relative to that of the global factor in the last period. Is this pattern the same across all firms? The answer from the comparison of the variance decompositions for high and low international sales firms is no. Note that in the first sub-period, country shocks are more important for high international sales firms than for low international sales firms. This pattern is reversed in all subsequent periods. In the last period, country-specific shocks are less important for high than for low international sales firms. Notably, for high international sales firms, the global shock is the most important source of return variation in the last period.

Table 4 takes a different look at the same phenomenon. It explores the evolution over time of the cross-sectional link between stock market comovement and firm-level international diversification. Note that in each period the exposure of firm n to world, country- and industryspecific shocks is given by the expressions  $\beta_n^g \xi_l^g$ ,  $\beta_n^c \xi_l^c$ , and  $\beta_n^i \xi_l^i$ . We regress these exposures on within-period measures of firm-level integration, such as the international sales ratio and the sales betas, using within-portfolio averages to reduce the impact of measurement error on our estimates. For each sub-period, Table 4 presents the estimated slope coefficient on the within-period average international sales ratio. We use White (1980) robust standard errors. denotes significance at the 5 percent level and \* denotes significance at the 10 percent level. Table 4 suggests that the cross-sectional link between the global stock market betas and the international sales ratio has increased by a factor of 2.3 from the first to the last sub-period. Meanwhile, the coefficient on the international sales ratio in the country beta regressions has switched from 0.181 in the first sub-period (consistent with the greater importance of the country factor for highly international firms than for the average firm in Table 3) to -0.191 in the last sub-period. The coefficients in the regressions of the stock market betas on the respective sales betas always have the expected positive sign: an increase (decrease) in real-side exposure to global (country) shocks maps into an increase (decrease) in stock market exposure.

It is important to bear in mind that our model does not allow for time-varying exposures at the firm level, but only for a change in the variances of the factors. It is apparent for instance that the change in the coefficients for the regressions featuring the global stock market betas on the left hand side is merely a reflection of the fact that the importance of the global shocks,  $\xi_i^g$ , has changed over time. The change in the coefficients in the country beta regressions is not as mechanical. In this case compositional effects play an important role. The results suggest that country shocks have fallen in importance more for countries where firms are more international.

We now focus on trying to explain the changing country factor variances over time. The crosscountry dimension of the data allows us to use regression analysis to link the evolution of these factor variances to country averages of our firm-level international sales variable and to macroeconomic data on capital account and trade openness (because we lack the same crosssectional dimension for the global factor, we do not perform similar analysis for it). Is it the case, for example, that the importance of country-specific stock market shocks has declined more in countries where firms are on average more international? Or is it the case that macroeconomic measures of openness are more successful in explaining the evolution of the country factor variances? Table 5 presents bivariate cross-sectional regressions for each period (except the first period when the country factor variances are normalized to one) of the country factor variance parameters on the full sample averages for the following variables: the countrylevel averages for the international sales ratio, the country-level averages for the global and country sales betas, the capital account openness measure (CA Open) of Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2001) who compute the ratio of foreign assets and liabilities to GDP annually for each country in our sample, the Chinn and Ito (2002) measure of capital account restrictions (CA Restrict) that is based on the lMF's annual measure of capital account restrictions that takes a value of one if restrictions exist and zero otherwise, and the annual ratio of trade to GDP for each country in our sample from the World Bank's World Development Indicators. Table 5 shows that firm-level diversification across countries is on average negatively associated with the evolution of the country factor variances. This suggests that the more international is a country's average firm, as measured by the international sales ratio, the higher the decline in the importance of its country-specific stock market factor over time. More important, this link has become progressively stronger and more significant over time. This suggests that the rise in the importance of the cross-sectional link between international stock market comovement and firm-level trade integration is not entirely spurious, at least as far as the changing importance of the country factors is concerned. Finally, it does not appear that macroeconomic measures of openness rival our firm-level international sales measure in explaining the evolution of the country factors over time. The capital account openness measure of Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2001) comes closest, but here is seems that the relationship has weakened over time.

## V. CONCLUSION

We investigate the empirical link between international stock market comovement and the degree to which firms operate internationally. Using stock returns and balance sheet data for companies in 20 countries, we measure the betas of stock returns with respect to global, country-specific and industry-specific shocks. In contrast to earlier papers, we find a strong and

highly significant link between these betas and firm-level variables that measure international diversification. For example, a firm raising its international sales by 10 percent raises the exposure of its stock return to global shocks by 2 percent and reduces its exposure to the country shocks by 1.5 percent.

We also estimate a more general version of our model, in which we allow the variances of the global, country-specific and industry-specific factors to vary over time. Using this specification, we find that the link between international stock market comovement and the degree to which firms operate internationally has grown substantially since the mid-1980s.

Table 1. Variance Decompositions for International Stock Returns and Sales Growth by Different Measures of Firm-Level Diversification Across Countries (In percent)

Panel /	A. Stock Ma	rket Betas		Par	nel B. Sales	Betas	
	All Firms	<b>i</b>			All Firms		
	Global	Country	Industry	·	Global	Country	Industry
All Firms	6.92	32.24	7.01	All Firms	10.42	16.58	13.52
Sorted by	Internationa	l Sales Rati	os	Sorted by	Internationa	Sales Rati	os
	Global	Country	Industry		Global	Country	Industr
Top Quartile	11.32	25.59	6.70	Top Quartile	13.20	14.60	16.07
Bottom Quartile	3.91	34.34	7.15	Bottom Quartile	11.17	17.15	14.44
Sorted by	Internationa	l Asset Rati	os	Sorted by	Internationa	l Asset Rati	os
	Global	Country	Industry	<u> </u>	Global	Country	Industry
Top Quartile	10.04	26.26	8.36	Top Quartile	13.22	14.72	15.20
Bottom Quartile	4.07	35.85	6.55	Bottom Quartile	9.97	17.52	12.80
Sorted by Ir	nternational	Income Ra	tios	Sorted by Ir	nternational	Income Ra	tios
	Global	Country	Industry		Global	Country	Industr
Top Quartile	10.99	25.58	7.35	Top Quartile	15.14	15.97	11.08
Bottom Quartile	4.08	34.85	6.85	Bottom Quartile	10.21	17.84	13.35
Sorted by Ti	raded/Non-T	Fraded Indu	stry	Sorted by T	raded/Non-	Fraded Indu	ıstry
	Global	Country	Industry		Global	Country	Industr
Traded	8.49	30.36	7.74	Traded	8.78	16.51	16.5
Non-Traded	5.11	34.41	6.16	Non-Traded	11.87	16.64	10.89
Sorted	by Global S	ales Betas		Sorted	by Global S	ales Betas	
	Global	Country	Industry		Global	Country	Industr
Top Quartile	7.25	33.58	4.92	Top Quartile	20.21	14.48	10.4
Bottom Quartile	7.68	25.57	9.62	Bottom Quartile	6.74	16.24	17.3
Sorted b	y Country S	Sales Betas		Sorted t	by Country S	Sales Betas	i
	Global	Country	Industry		Global	Country	Industr
Top Quartile	6.83	33.57	6.02	Top Quartile	9.93	30.60	9.2
Bottom Quartile	7.82	25.03	7.82	Bottom Quartile	11.66	5.61	20.93

Note: Table 1 shows the qualitative link between stock return and sales betas and the degree to which firms operate globally. The variance for stock returns (Panel A) and sales growth rates (Panel B) for each firm in our sample can be decomposed into the contributions from global, country- and industry-specific factors according to  $Var(R_{nl}) = (\beta_n^G)^2 + (\beta_{nc}^C)^2 + (\beta_{ni}^I)^2 + \sigma_n^2$ . The table shows simple averages across firms for these variance decompositions. The top and bottom quartiles represent the most and least international firms in our sample, sorting according to our different firm-level measures of international diversification: the international sales, international asset and international income ratios, the traded goods industry dummy and the sales betas.

Table 2. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification

	Global Stock Market Betas	Country Stock Market Betas
International Sales Ratio	0.191 (8.89)	-0.151 (-2.55)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.859	0.263
International Asset Ratio	0.297 (9.343)	-0.274 (-2.82)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.864	0.301
International Income Ratio	0.217 (14.426)	-0.138 (-1.44)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.897	0.167
Traded Goods Dummy	9.352 (5.468)	13.75 (2.471)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.609	0.234
Respective Sales Betas	0.768 (6.62)	0.722 (11.55)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.507	0.803

Note: Table 2 shows cross-sectional regression results of the stock market global and country betas on the full sample averages of the international sales ratio, international asset ratio and international income ratio, in addition to the traded goods industry dummy and the respective sales betas. All variables are measured in percent. T-ratios are computed using robust standard errors as in White (1980) and shown in parentheses. Because of the possibility of measurement error in the regressors, these regressions are performed on within-portfolio averages for N = 20 portfolios. We construct these portfolios by i) sorting firms according to the dependent variable, ii) constructing N portfolios containing n/N firms (where n is the total number of firms in the sample), iii) using as observations the N within-portfolio averages for the dependent and explanatory variables.

Table 3. Variance Decompositions of International Stock Returns Over Time (In percent)

		All Firms	<u> </u>	Top Q	uartile: In	t'l Sales	Bottom	Bottom Quartile: Int'l Sales			
	Global	Country	Industry	Global	Country	industry	Global	Country	Industry		
1985:1 to 1989:3	4.26	29.61	9.57	6.56	34.33	5.19	3.17	26.67	10.47		
1989:4 to 1993:7	2.02	41.97	4.27	3.39	30.56	4.05	1.17	45.16	4.41		
1993:8 to 1997:10	2.72	30.90	5.41	4.62	21.42	6.17	1.54	35.40	5.47		
1997:11 to 2002:02	16.49	29.46	8.73	24.17	21.34	8.22	10.98	31.98	9.15		

Note: Table 3 is constructed is the same manner as Table 1. It is based on an extended version of our model in which the variances of the global, country- and industry-specific factors are allowed to vary across exogenously specified sub-periods in our sample. The variance decomposition for each stock is now given by  $Var(R_{nt}) = (\beta_n^G)^2 \zeta_l^G + (\beta_{nc}^C)^2 \zeta_l^C + (\beta_{ni}^I)^2 \zeta_l^I + \sigma_n^2$ , where the index I denotes the sub-period and  $\zeta_l^G$ ,  $\zeta_l^C$  and  $\zeta_l^I$  scale the factor variances of the global, country- and industry-specific factors in the I'th sub-period relative to their variances in the first sub-period.

Table 4. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on the International Sales Ratio and the Respective Sales Betas Over Time

	Global Stock Market Betas								
	International Sales Ratio	Global Sales Betas							
1985:1 1989:3	0.123**	0.634**							
1989:4 - 1993:7	0.088**	0.430**							
1993:8 - 1997:10	0.079**	0.403**							
1997:11 - 2002:02	0.280**	1.440**							

Country Stock Market Betas										
7	International Sales Ratio	Country Sales Betas								
1985:1 - 1989:3	0.181**	0.794**								
1989:4 - 1993:7	-0.154*	1.050**								
1993:8 - 1997:10	-0.123**	0.628**								
1997:11 - 2002:02	-0.191**	0.911**								

Note: Table 4 is constructed analogously to Table 2. The only difference is that the international sales ratio now represents an average for the relevant period. All variables are measured in percent. T-ratios are computed using robust standard errors as in White (1980). \*\* denotes significance at the 5 percent level, \* denotes significance at the 10 percent level. Because of the possibility of measurement error in the regressors, the regressions for each subperiod are performed on the within-portfolio averages for N = 20 portfolios. We construct these portfolios by (i) sorting firms according to the dependent variable, (ii) constructing N portfolios containing n/N firms (where n is the total number of firms in the sample), (iii) using as observations the N within-portfolio averages for the dependent and explanatory variables. See the appendix for detailed results for each sub-period.

Table 5. Explaining the Changing Importance of Country Factors in International Stock Returns

	Int'i Sales Ratio	Global Sales Betas	Country Sales Betas	CA Open	CA Restrict	Trade Open
1989:4 - 1993:7	-0.0001	-1.394	-1.1163	-0.2722	0.0591	-0.0026*
1993:8 - 1997:10	-0.0114**	0.7543	1.0476	-0.2878**	-0.0337	0.0004
1997:11 - 2002:2	-0.0168**	-9.900**	3.8162	-0.0115	-0.0147	0.0033**

Note: Table 5 shows the slope coefficients for period by period bivariate cross-country regressions of the variance scale parameters for the country factors,  $\zeta_l^C$ , on full sample averages of firm-level and macroeconomic measures of openness (and a constant): country-level averages for the international sales ratio, country-level averages for the global and country sales betas, the capital account openness measure (CA Open) of Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2001) who compute the ratio of foreign assets and liabilities to GDP annually for each country in our sample, the Chinn and Ito (2002) measure of capital account restrictions (CA Restrict) that is based on the IMF's annual measure of capital account restrictions that takes a value of one if restrictions exist and zero otherwise, and the annual ratio of trade to GDP for each country in our sample from the World Bank's *World Development Indicators*. \*\* denotes significance at the 5 percent level, \* denotes significance at the 10 percent level. All variables are measured in percent. We use White (1980) robust standard errors to compute the T-ratios.

- 23 - APPENDIX I

#### ROBUSTNESS TESTS

Table 1. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Full Sample (January 1985–February 2002)

	Po	rtfolio-I	∠evel Reg	ressions	3				F	irm-Lev	el Regre	essions			
			Global S	Stock Mark							Global S	Stock Mark	cet Betas		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.191 (8.886)					0.126 (4.982)	0.217 (1.851)	International Sales	0.027 (11,916)					0.021 (9.383)	0.029 (4.399)
International Assets		0.297 (9.343)					-0.110 (-0.663)	International Assets		0.033 (9.329)				, ,	-0.011 (-1.568)
International Income			0,217 (14,426)				0.038 (0.474)	International Income			0.029 (10.594)				0.011 (2.174)
Traded/Non-Traded				9.352 (5.468)		3.368 (2.876)		Traded/Non-Traded				0.968 (8.989)		0.739 (7.192)	
Respective Sales Beta					0.768 (6.620)	0.056 (0.523)		Respective Sales Beta					0.040 (4.080)	0.036 (4.008)	
Adjusted R2	0.859	0.864	0.897	0.609	0.507	0.826	0.783	Adjusted R2	0.113	0.082	0.104	0.062	0.018	0.155	0.129
	(0)	(0)		Stock Mar		(12)	(14)		700	(0)		Stock Mar		(13)	(14)
	(8)	(9)	( 10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
International Sales	-0.151 (-2.549)					-0.091 (-3.618)		International Sales	-0.012 (-5.301)					-0.016 (-7.039)	-0.008 (-1.201)
International Assets		-0.274					-0.334	International Assets		-0.016					-0.013
International Income		(-2.821)	-0,138				(-1.933) 0.170	International Income		(-4.679)	-0.010				(-1.699) 0.005
Traded/Non-Traded			(-1,438)	13.750		2.952	(2.018)	Traded/Non-Traded			(-3.937)	0.158		0.342	(0.779)
Respective Sales Beta				(2.471)	0.722	(1.921) 0.529		Respective Sales Beta				(1.311)	0.097	(2.808) 0.098	
					(11.548)	(7.939)							(9.341)	(8.787)	
Adjusted R2	0.263	0.301	0.167	0.234	0.803	0.777	0.320	Adjusted R2	0.019	0.018	0.012	0.002	0.098	0.130	0.023
	Portfolio	-Level l	Regressio	ns Dem	eaned				Firm-l	Level Re	gression	s Demea	ned		
	(31.0-70-1		Global S	Stock Marl	ket Betas						Glebal S	Stock Mark	ket Betas		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.217 (13.684)					0.139 (6.599)	0,242 (2,106)	International Sales	0.033 (11.710)					0.025 (9.134)	0.032 (4.678)
International Assets	(**************************************	0.310				(0.00)	-0.087	International Assets	(1110)	0.036				()	-0.011
								International Assers		0.030					
International Income		(9.304)					(-0.597)	International Assets		(9.550)					(-1.446)
		(9.304)	0.227 (12,466)					International Income			0.030 (10.192)				(-1.446) 0.010 (1.884)
Traded/Non-Traded		(9.304)		8.527 (6.526)		2.848 (2.355)	(-0.597) 0.002					1.153 (11.158)		0.825 (8.197)	0.010
		(9.304)			0.877 (5.188)		(-0.597) 0.002	International Income					0.028 (2.657)		0.010
Traded/Non-Traded	0.895	0.873	(12,466) 0.870	0.719	(5.188) 0.377	(2.355) 0.090	(-0.597) 0.002	International Income Traded/Non-Traded	0.126					(8.197) 0.034	0.010
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta		0.873	(12,466) 0.870 Country	(6.526) 0.719 Stock Mar	(5.188) 0.377 rket Betas	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815) 0.846	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041) 0.763	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta		0.089	(10.192) 0.101 Country	(11.158) 0.008 Stock Mar	(2.657) 0.093 rket Betas	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597) 0.175	0.010 (1.884) 0.132
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	0.895		(12,466) 0.870	0.719	(5.188) 0.377	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815)	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041)	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	0.126	(9.550)	0.101	0.008	(2.657) 0.093	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597)	0.010 (1.884)
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales		0.873	(12,466) 0.870 Country	(6.526) 0.719 Stock Mar	(5.188) 0.377 rket Betas	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815) 0.846	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041) 0.763 (14) -0.154 (-1.189)	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales		0.089	(10.192) 0.101 Country	(11.158) 0.008 Stock Mar	(2.657) 0.093 rket Betas	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597) 0.175	0.010 (1.884) 0.132 (14) -0.010 (-1.745)
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(8)	0.873	(12,466)  0.870  Country: (10)	(6.526) 0.719 Stock Mar	(5.188) 0.377 rket Betas	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815) 0.846 (13) -0.077	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041) 0.763 (144) -0.154 (-1.189) -0.008 (-0.071)	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(8) -0.006	0.089	(10.192) 0.101 Country	(11.158) 0.008 Stock Mar	(2.657) 0.093 rket Betas	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597) 0.175 (13) -0.006	0.010 (1.884) 0.132 (14) -0.010
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(8)	0.873	(12,466) 0.870 Country	(6.526) 0.719 Stock Mar	(5.188) 0.377 rket Betas	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815) 0.846 (13) -0.077	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041) 0.763 (14) -0.154 (-1.189) -0.008	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(8) -0.006	(9.550) 0.089 (9)	(10.192) 0.101 Country	(11.158) 0.008 Stock Mar	(2.657) 0.093 rket Betas	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597) 0.175 (13) -0.006	0.010 (1.884) 0.132 (14) -0.010 (-1,745) -0.003
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(8)	0.873	0.870 Country: (10)	(6.526) 0.719 Stock Mar	(5.188) 0.377 rket Betas	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815) 0.846 (13) -0.077	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041) 0.763 -0.154 (-1.189) -0.008 (-0.071) 0.120 (1.151)	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(8) -0.006	(9.550) 0.089 (9)	0.101 Country (10)	(11.158) 0.008 Stock Mar	(2.657) 0.093 rket Betas	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597) 0.175 (13) -0.006	0.010 (1.884) 0.132 (14) -0.010 (-1.745) -0.003 (-0.479) 0.008
Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(8)	0.873	0.870 Country: (10)	(6.526) 0.719 Stock Mar (11)	(5.188) 0.377 rket Betas	(2.355) 0.090 (0.815) 0.846 (13) -0.077 (-1.579)	(-0.597) 0.002 (0.041) 0.763 -0.154 (-1.189) -0.008 (-0.071) 0.120 (1.151)	International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(8) -0.006	(9.550) 0.089 (9)	0.101 Country (10)	(11.158) 0.008 Stock Mar (11)	(2.657) 0.093 rket Betas	(8.197) 0.034 (3.597) 0.175 (13) -9.006 (-2.620)	0.010 (1.884) 0.132 (14) -0.010 (-1.745) -0.003 (-0.479) 0.008

- 24 - APPENDIX I

Table 2. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: First Subsample (January 1985–March 1989)

	Panel A	A: Portfo	lio-Leve	l Regress	ions				Pane	l B: Firm	-Level F	legressio	ns		
	THE RESERVE		Global	Stock Mar	ket Betas			-			Global	Stock Mari	et Betas		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.123					0.049	0.083	International Sales	0.017					0.012	0.023
	(6.617)					(2.880)			(9.071)					(6.035)	(2.158)
International Assets		0.221 (7.992)					-0.093 (-1.649)	International Assets		0.026 (6.507)					-0.032
International Income		(1.332)	0.172				0.133	International Income		(0.301)	0.020				(-2.655) 0.032
			(6.400)				(5.139)				(6.533)				(4.206)
Traded/Non-Traded				8.250		2.101		Traded/Non-Traded				0.586		0.568	
Respective Sales Beta				(4.607)	0.634	(1.890) 0.161		Respective Sales Beta				(7.017)	0.024	(5.584) 0.034	
respectate bales beta					(5.232)	(1.988)		respective saids beta					(3.166)	(4.399)	
Adjusted R2	0.708	0,720	0.736	0.552	0.420	0.666	0.696	Adjusted R2	0.080	0.071	0.079	0.039	0.011	0.131	0.136
			Country	Stock Ma	ket Betas						Country	Stock Mar	ket Betas		
	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
International Sales	0.181					0.070	-0.059	International Sales	0.008					0.010	0.010
tianimati tita	(4.051)	0.00				(2.523)	(-0.435)		(3.376)					(4.052)	(0.631)
International Assets		0.204 (1.631)					0.126 (0.603)	International Assets		0.006 (1.329)					-0.006
International Income		(1.031)	0.235				0.024	International Income		(1.327)	0.012				(-0,352) 0.002
			(4.329)				(0.207)				(3.995)				(0.245)
Traded/Non-Traded				-9.056		-0.285		Traded/Non-Traded				-0.317		-0.480	
Respective Sales Beta				(-2.292)	0.794	(-0.218) 0.426		Respective Sales Beta				(-3.179)	0.046	(-3.703) 0.049	
respective Sales Deta					(6.959)	(4.290)		respective sales beta					(5.643)	(5.089)	
Adjusted R2															
•	0.335 nel C: Pot	0.178 tfolio-Le	0.470 evel Regr	0.232 ressions l	0.630 Demeane	0.526 d	0.131	Adjusted R2	0.013 anel D: F	0.005 irm-Leve	0.022 of Regres	0.009 ssions De	0.033 meaned	0.063	0.011
•	nel C: Por	tfolio-Le	vel Regr	essions I Stock Mar	Demeane ket Betas	d			anel D: F	irm-Leve	ci Regres Giobal	ssions De	meaned		0.011
*			vel Regr	ressions l	Demeane		(7)				ci Regres	ssions De	meaned	(6)	(7)
Par	(1) 0.172	tfolio-Le	vel Regr	essions I Stock Mar	Demeane ket Betas	(6) 0.070	(7)		(1) 0.020	irm-Leve	ci Regres Giobal	ssions De	meaned		
Par International Sales	(1)	tfolio-Le	vel Regr	essions I Stock Mar	Demeane ket Betas	(6)	(7) 0.040 (0.603)	International Sales	ancl D: F	irm-Leve	ci Regres Giobal	ssions De	meaned	(6)	(7) 0.014 (1.775)
Par International Sales	(1) 0.172	(2) 0.233	vel Regr	essions I Stock Mar	Demeane ket Betas	(6) 0.070	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007	P	(1) 0.020	(2) 0.025	ci Regres Giobal	ssions De	meaned	(6)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014
Par international Sales international Assets	(1) 0.172	tfolio-Le	vel Regr	essions I Stock Mar	Demeane ket Betas	(6) 0.070	(7) 0.040 (0.603)	International Sales	(1) 0.020	irm-Leve	ci Regres Giobal	ssions De	meaned	(6)	(7) 0.014 (1.775)
Par International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.172	(2) 0.233	Global (3)	Stock Mari	Demeane ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120)	International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.020	(2) 0.025	Giobal (3)	Stock Mari (4)	meaned	(6) 0.014 (6.177)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589)
Par International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.172	(2) 0.233	Global (3)	Stock Mari (4)	Demeane ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104	International Sales International Assets	(1) 0.020	(2) 0.025	Global (3)	Stock Mari (4)	meaned	(6) 0.014 (6.177) 0.694	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0.172	(2) 0.233	Global (3)	Stock Mari	Demeane ket Betas (5)	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0.020	(2) 0.025	Global (3)	Stock Mari (4)	meaned ket Betas (5)	(6) 0.014 (6.177) 0.694 (7.416)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0.172 (11.232)	(2) 0.233 (5.326)	Global (3) 0.182 (8.845)	Stock Mari (4)	Demeane ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104	International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.020	(2) 0.025	Global (3)	Stock Mari (4)	meaned	(6) 0.014 (6.177) 0.694	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021
•	(1) 0.172	(2) 0.233	Global (3)	Stock Mari (4)	Demeane ket Betas (5)	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0.020	(2) 0.025	Global (3)	Stock Mari (4)	meaned ket Betas (5)	0.014 (6.177) 0.694 (7.416) 0.041	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0.172 (11.232)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726 Country	6.655 (6.135)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	anel D: F (1) 0.020 (8.932)	(2) 0.025 (5.861)	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072	Stock Mari (4)	0.023 (3.008) 0.010	0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151) 0.172	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021 (2.782)
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0.172 (11.232) 0.875	(2) 0.233 (5.326)	Global (3) 0.182 (8.845)	6.655 (6.135)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	(1) 0.020 (8.932)	(2) 0.025 (5.861)	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133)	0,812 (10,570)	0.023 (3.008) 0.010	0.014 (6.177) 0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021 (2.782)
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726 Country	6.655 (6.135)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861)	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072	0,812 (10,570) 0.084	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	0.694 (7.416) 0.044 (5.151) 0.172 (13)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	(1) 0.172 (11.232) 0.875	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726 Country	6.655 (6.135)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(1) 0.020 (8.932)	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072	0,812 (10,570) 0.084	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151) 0.172	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107  (14) 0.012 (1.393)
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726 Country	6.655 (6.135)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635  (14) 0.101 (1.341) -0.255	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062 (9)	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072	0,812 (10,570) 0.084	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	0.694 (7.416) 0.044 (5.151) 0.172 (13)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (1.789) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107  (14) 0.012 (1.393) -0.019
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Fraded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726 Country	6.655 (6.135)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072	0,812 (10,570) 0.084	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	0.694 (7.416) 0.044 (5.151) 0.172 (13)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107
Par international Sales international Assets international Income Fraded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Bela Adjusted R2 international Sales international Assets international Income	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726  Country (10)	6.655 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769 (13) -0.009 (-0.169)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635  (14) 0.101 (1.341) -(2.426)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062 (9)	Giobal (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072 Country (10)	0.812 (10,570) 0.084 Stock Mari	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151) 0.172 (13) 0.000 (0.142)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (-1.589) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107  (14) 0.012 (1.393) -0.019 (-2.147)
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726  Country (10)	6.655 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar (11)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769 (13) -0.009 (-0.169)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635  (14) 0.101 (1.341) -0.255 (-2.426)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062 (9)	Global (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072 Country (10)	0.812 (10,570) 0.084 Stock Mari (11)	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	(6) 0.014 (6.177) 0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151) 0.172 (13) 0.000 (0.142)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (1.789) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107  (14) 0.012 (1.393) -0.019 (-2.147) 0.011
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726  Country (10)	6.655 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas (12)	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769 (13) -0.009 (-0.169)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635  (14) 0.101 (1.341) -0.255 (-2.426)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062 (9)	Global (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072 Country (10)	0.812 (10,570) 0.084 Stock Mari	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151) 0.172 (13) 0.000 (0.142)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (1.789) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107  (14) 0.012 (1.393) -0.019 (-2.147) 0.011
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	0.875 (8)	(2) 0.233 (5.326) 0.657	Global (3)  0.182 (8.845)  0.726  Country (10)	6.655 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar (11)	0.484 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.070 (5.804) 2.349 (3.045) 0.128 (2.514) 0.769 (13) -0.009 (-0.169)	(7) 0.040 (0.603) -0.007 (-0.120) 0.104 (2.204)  0.635  (14) 0.101 (1.341) -0.255 (-2.426)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.020 (8.932) 0.092	(2) 0.025 (5.861) 0.062 (9)	Global (3) 0.020 (6.133) 0.072 Country (10)	0.812 (10,570) 0.084 Stock Mari (11)	0.023 (3.008) 0.010 ket Hetas	(6) 0.014 (6.177) 0.694 (7.416) 0.041 (5.151) 0.172 (13) 0.000 (0.142)	(7) 0.014 (1.775) -0.014 (1.789) 0.021 (2.782)  0.107  (14) 0.012 (1.393) -0.019 (-2.147) 0.011

- 25 - APPENDIX I

Table 3. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Second Subsample (April 1989–July 1993)

	ranei.	A: Portio	no-Leve	l Regress	nons			Pancl B: Firm-Level Regressions							
			Global	Stock Mar	ket Betas						Global S	Stock Marl	cet Betas		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.088 (6.983)					0,060 (4.041)	-0.025 (-0.873)	International Sales	0.012 (9.878)					0.010 (7.942)	0.011 (2.502
International Assets	()	0.114 (7.410)				()	0.089	International Assets	(312.0)	0.020 (9.315)				(11.51.2)	0.005
International Income		(21.129)	0.092 (8.587)				0.034 (1.305)	International Income		(51,510)	0.013 (8.042)				0.002
Traded/Non-Traded			(5.0-5.)	5.593 (4.607)		1.966 (2.292)	(,	Traded/Non-Traded			(0.0.2)	0.397 (7.017)		0.301 (5.237)	(0.700
Respective Sales Beta					0.430 (5.232)	-0.017 (-0.228)		Respective Sales Beta				<b>(</b> )	0.016 (3.166)	0.016 (2.982)	
Adjusted R2	0.731	0.784	0.822	0.552	0.420	0.695	0.706	Adjusted R2	0.090	0.115	0.093	0.039	0.011	0.118	0.138
				Stock Mar								Stock Mar			
	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
nternational Sales	-0,154 (-1,676)					-0.076 (-2.579)	0.156 (2.105)	International Sales	-0.017 (-5.352)					-0.025 (-7.692)	0.00 (1.54
nternational Assets		-0.255 (-4.241)					-0.409 (-5.002)	International Assets		-0.045 (-9.675)					-0.06 (-8.98
nternational Income			-0.125 (-1.706)				0.030 (0.414)	International Income			-0,021 (-6.246)				0.003
raded/Non-Traded				24.282 (5.920)		6.828 (3.625)		Traded/Non-Traded				0.812 (4.837)		1,256 (7,132)	
lespective Sales Beta					1.050	0.599		Respective Sales Beta				,	0.130	0.135	
					(8.941)	(6.942)							(8.884)	(8.023)	
	0.218 nel C: Por	0.474 tfolio-Le	0,223 vel Regi	0.613 ressions l	0.781	0.794	0.443	Adjusted R2	0.021 anel D; F	0.079 irm-Leve	0.035 el Regres	0.019 sions De	0.090	(8.023) 0.172	0.08
	nel C: Por	tfolio-Le	vel Regi	essions l	0.781 Demeane	0.794 d			anel D; F	irm-Leve	el Regres	sions De	0.090 meaned	0.172	
			vel Regi	essions l	0.781 Demeane	0.794	(7)				el Regres	sions De	0.090 meaned		
Par	nel C: Por	tfolio-Le	vel Regi	essions l	0.781 Demeane	0.794 d			anel D; F	irm-Leve	el Regres	sions De	0.090 meaned	(6) 0.011	(7) 0.01
Par nternational Sales	(1) 0,114	tfolio-Le	vel Regi	essions l	0.781 Demeane	0.794 d (6) 0.065	(7) 0.018	P	(1)	irm-Leve	el Regres	sions De	0.090 meaned	(6)	(7) 0.01 (3.31 0.00)
Par international Sales international Assets	(1) 0,114	(2) 0.154	vel Regi	essions l	0.781 Demeane	0.794 d (6) 0.065	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078	P International Sales	(1)	(2) 0.018	el Regres	sions De	0.090 meaned	(6) 0.011	(7) 0.01 (3.31 0.003 (0.460 0.00
Par international Sales international Assets	(1) 0,114	(2) 0.154	Global (3)	essions l	0.781 Demeane	0.794 d (6) 0.065	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003	International Sales	(1)	(2) 0.018	Global:	sions De	0.090 meaned	(6) 0.011	(7) 0.01 (3.31 0.00 (0.460 0.00
Par  Par  International Sales International Assets International Income  Paded/Non-Traded  Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0,114 (16,266)	(2) 0.154	Global (3)	Stock Mart (4)	0.781 Demeane	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056)	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003	International Sales International Assets International Income	(1)	(2) 0.018	Global:	Stock Mar (4)	0.090 meaned	(6) 0.011 (7.539)	(7) 0.01 (3.31 0.002 (0.460 0.001
Par international Sales international Assets international Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0,114	(2) 0.154	Global (3)	Stock Mart (4)	0.78) Demeane cel Belas (5)	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1)	(2) 0.018	Global:	Stock Mar (4)	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5)	0.172 ( 6 ) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018	(7) 9.01 (3.31 9.002 (0.460 0.003 (0.260
Par international Sales international Assels international Income raded/Non-Traded tespective Sales Beta	(1) 0,114 (16.266)	(2) 0.154 (13.480) 0.864	Global: (3) 0.108 (6.897) 0.714 Country	4.511 (6.135) 0.724	0.781 Demeane et Belas (5)  0.328 (5.642) 0.297 ket Belas	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657) 0.858	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) (0.014 (9.748)	(2) 0.018 (7.756)	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)  0.065 Country	0.550 (10.570) 0.084	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.172 (6) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018 (3.385) 0.159	(7, 0.01 (3.31 0.00 (0.460 0.00 (0.26)
Par international Assets international Income traded/Non-Traded despective Sales Beta djusted R2	(1) 0.114 (16.266) 0.897	(2) 0.154 (13.480)	Global (3) 0.108 (6.897)	4.511 (6.135)	0.781 Demeane (et Belas (5) 0.328 (5.642) 0.297	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657)	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) (0.014 (9.748) 0.103	(2) 0.018 (7.756)	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)	0.550 (10.570)	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010	0.172 (6) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018 (3.385)	(7, 0.01 (3.31 0.00 (0.460 0.00 (0.26)
Par international Assets international Income raded/Non-Traded espective Sales Beta djusted R2	(1) 0,114 (16.266)	(2) 0.154 (13.480) 0.864	Global: (3) 0.108 (6.897) 0.714 Country	4.511 (6.135) 0.724	0.781 Demeane et Belas (5)  0.328 (5.642) 0.297 ket Belas	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657) 0.858	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)  0.600	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) (0.014 (9.748)	(2) 0.018 (7.756) 0.088	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)  0.065 Country	0.550 (10.570) 0.084	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.172 (6) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018 (3.385) 0.159	(7, 0.01) (3.31) 0.00 (0.46) 0.00 (0.26) 0.11
Par international Assets international Income raded/Non-Traded espective Sales Beta djusted R2	(1) 0.114 (16.266) 0.897	(2) 0.154 (13.480) 0.864	Global: (3) 0.108 (6.897) 0.714 Country	4.511 (6.135) 0.724	0.781 Demeane et Belas (5)  0.328 (5.642) 0.297 ket Belas	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657) 0.858	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)  0.600	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	(1) 0.014 (9.748) 0.103	(2) 0.018 (7.756)	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)  0.065 Country	0.550 (10.570) 0.084	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.172 (6) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018 (3.385) 0.159	(7, 0.0) (3.31, 0.00) (0.460, 0.00) (0.26, 0.11,
Par international Assets international Income traded/Non-Traded despective Sales Beta idjusted R2	(1) 0.114 (16.266) 0.897	(2) 0.154 (13.480) 0.864 (9)	Global: (3) 0.108 (6.897) 0.714 Country	4.511 (6.135) 0.724	0.781 Demeane et Belas (5)  0.328 (5.642) 0.297 ket Belas	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657) 0.858	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)  0.600	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(1) 0.014 (9.748) 0.103	(2) 0.018 (7.756) 0.088	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)  0.065 Country	0.550 (10.570) 0.084	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.172 (6) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018 (3.385) 0.159	(77 0.01) (3.33 0.00 (0.46 0.00 (0.26 0.11 1 4-0.0 (-1.9) 0.00 (-1.33 0.01
Par international Sales international Assets international Income raded/Non-Traded tespective Sales Beta	(1) 0.114 (16.266) 0.897	(2) 0.154 (13.480) 0.864 (9)	Global: (3) 0.108 (6.897) 0.714 Country (10)	4.511 (6.135) 0.724	0.781 Demeane et Belas (5)  0.328 (5.642) 0.297 ket Belas	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657) 0.858	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)  0.600  (14) 0.005 (0.083) -0.012 (-0.116) 0.082	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(1) 0.014 (9.748) 0.103	(2) 0.018 (7.756) 0.088	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)  0.065  Country (10)	0.550 (10.570) 0.084 Stock Mar (11)	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.172 ( 6 ) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.148) 0.018 (3.385) 0.159 (13 ) -0.004 (-1.568)	(7) 0.91 (3.31 0.00 0.46 0.46 0.26 0.11 (14 -0.0 (-1.90 0.00 0.13 0.00
Par international Sales international Income 'raded/Non-Traded tespective Sales Beta adjusted R2 international Sales international Assets international Income	(1) 0.114 (16.266) 0.897	(2) 0.154 (13.480) 0.864 (9)	Global: (3) 0.108 (6.897) 0.714 Country (10)	4.511 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar (11)	0.781 Demeane et Belas (5)  0.328 (5.642) 0.297 ket Belas	0.794 d (6) 0.065 (6.056) 1.770 (3.536) 0.063 (1.657) 0.858 (13) -0.032 (-0.550)	(7) 0.018 (0.556) 0.078 (1.741) 0.003 (0.106)  0.600  (14) 0.005 (0.083) -0.012 (-0.116) 0.082	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.014 (9.748) 0.103	(2) 0.018 (7.756) 0.088	Global (3)  0.011 (6.394)  0.065  Country (10)	0.550 (10.570) 0.084 Stock Mar	0.090 meaned cet Betas (5) 0.016 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.172 ( 6 ) 0.011 (7.539) 0.439 (8.146) 0.018 (3.385) 0.159 (13) -0.004 (-1.568)	(7) 0.01 (3.31 0.00; (0.460 0.00 (0.26)

- 26 - APPENDIX I

Table 4. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Third Subsample (August 1993–October 1997)

	rane	A: Portfo	lio-Leve	l Regress	sions				Pane	l B: Firm	-Level F	cgressio	กร		
				Stock Mar		-						Stock Marl			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.079 (7.286)					0.063 (5,700)	-0,006 (-0,182)	International Sales	0.012 (10.820)					0.010 (9.122)	0.011 (3.232)
International Assets	` ′	0.118 (7.669)				,,	0.056 (1.868)	International Assets	(,	0.017 (10.225)				(>1122)	0.002
International Income		()	0.087 (9.609)				0.040 (1.627)	International Income		(13.22)	0.013 (9.836)				0.003
Traded/Non-Traded			(2.002)	5.251 (4.607)		1.581 (2.244)	(1.027)	Traded/Non-Traded			(3.836)	0.373 (7.017)		0.268	(1.074)
Respective Sales Beta				(4.007)	0.403 (5.232)	-0.027		Respective Sales Beta				(7.017)	0.015	(5.014)	
Adjusted R2	0.786	0.799	0.820	0.552	0.420	(-0.565) 0.765	0.750	Adjusted R2	0.107	0.110	0.108	0.039	(3.166) 0.011	(2.184) 0.129	0.141
			Country	Stock Mar	ket Betas						Country	Stock Mar	ket Betas		
	(B)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(II)	(12)	(13)	(14)
International Sales	-0.123					-0.067	0.310	International Sales	-0.015					-0.020	0.004
Francisco III	(-2.480)	0.045				(-4,435)	(3.337)		(-6.437)					(-8.579)	(0.609)
International Assets		-0.242 (-3.604)					-0.608 (-5.626)	International Assets		-0.026 (-7.660)					-0.026
International Income		(+3.004)	-0.156				0.013	International Income		(-7.000)	-0.018				(-3.847) -0.006
			(-2.969)				(0.174)				(-6.879)				(-1.106)
Traded/Non-Traded				22.158		5.481		Traded/Non-Traded				0.516		0.818	
Danasakina Calan Data				(11.034)	0.030	(5.055)		n don na				(4.095)		(6.274)	
Respective Sales Beta					0.628 (8,972)	0.357 (6.166)		Respective Sales Beta					0.107 (9.244)	0.113 (8.746)	
Adjusted R2	0.298	0.472	0.380	0.755	0.698	0.820	0.551	Adjusted R2	0.032	0.049	0.041	0.014	0.108	0.191	0.058
Par	nel C: Por	tfolio-Le	vel Regr	essions I	Denieane	d		P	anel D: F	irm-Leve	el Regres	sions Do	meaned		
Par	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas			P			Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas		<u> </u>
Par	(1)	tfolio-Le				d (6)	(7)	P	anel D: F	irm-Leve				(6)	(7)
	(1) 0,096		Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.062	0.029	International Sales	(1)		Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas		<u> </u>
International Sales	(1)	(2)	Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6)	0.029 (1.049)	International Sales	(1)	(2)	Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6)	(7) 0.014 (3,983)
International Sales	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.062	0.029 (1.049) 0.050		(1)	(2)	Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.012	(7) 0.014 (3,983) -0.000
International Sales	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.062	0.029 (1.049)	International Sales	(1)	(2)	Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.012	(7) 0.014 (3,983) -0.000 (-0.103)
International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global (3)	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670)	International Sales International Assets International Income	(1)	(2)	Global (3)	Stock Marl	ket Betas	(6) 0.012	(7) 0.014 (3,983) -0.000
International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global 3 (3)	(4) 4.235	ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018	International Sales	(1)	(2)	Global: (3)	Stock Mari (4)	ket Betas	(6) 0.012 (8.747)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global 3 (3)	Stock Marl	(5)	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1)	(2)	Global: (3)	Stock Marl	(5)	(6) 0.012 (8.747) 0.395 (7.914)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003
Par International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global 3 (3)	(4) 4.235	ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018	International Sales International Assets International Income	(1)	(2)	Global: (3)	Stock Mari (4)	ket Betas (5)	0.012 (8.747) 0.395 (7.914) 0.014	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0,096	(2)	Global 3 (3)	(4) 4.235	(5) 0.308	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1)	(2)	Global: (3)	Stock Mari (4)	(5)	(6) 0.012 (8.747) 0.395 (7.914)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0,096 (14.790) 0.891	0.137 (10.111)	Global (3) 0.098 (13.527) 0.865	4.235 (6.135) 0.724	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	0.015 (11.044)	0.018 (10.003)	Global (3) 0.014 (9.454) 0.109 Country	0.517 (10.570) 0.084	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0,096 (14.790) 0.891	(2) 0.137 (10.111)	Global : (3) 0.098 (13.527)	4.235 (6.135)	0.308 (5.642) 0.297	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	(1) 0.015 (11.044)	0.018 (10.003)	Global (3) 0.014 (9.454)	0.517 (10.570)	0.015 (3.008) 0.010	0.012 (8.747) 0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111)	Global (3) 0.098 (13.527) 0.865	4.235 (6.135) 0.724	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003)	Global (3) 0.014 (9.454) 0.109 Country	0.517 (10.570) 0.084	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(1) 0,096 (14.790) 0.891	0.137 (10.111) 0.889	Global (3) 0.098 (13.527) 0.865	4.235 (6.135) 0.724	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(1) 0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107	Global (3) 0.014 (9.454) 0.109 Country	0.517 (10.570) 0.084	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177	(7) 0.014 (1,983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471)
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111) 0.889 (9)	Global (3) 0.098 (13.527) 0.865	4.235 (6.135) 0.724	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517) 0.070	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107 (9)	Global (3) 0.014 (9.454) 0.109 Country	0.517 (10.570) 0.084	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471) 0.005
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111) 0.889	Global (3) 0.098 (13.527) 0.865	4.235 (6.135) 0.724	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517)	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107	Global (3) 0.014 (9.454) 0.109 Country	0.517 (10.570) 0.084	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177	(7) 0.014 (1,983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471)
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111) 0.889 (9)	Global (3)  0.098 (13.527)  0.865  Country (10)	4.235 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868 (13) -0.058 (-1.392)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517) 0.070 (1.012)	International Sales International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107 (9)	Global (3)  0.014 (9.454)  0.109  Country (10)	0.517 (10.570) 0.084	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177	(7) 0.014 (1,983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471) 0.005 (1.257)
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111) 0.889 (9)	Global (3)  0.098 (13.527)  0.865  Country (10)	4.235 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar (11)	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868 (13) -0.058 (-1.392)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517) 0.070 (1.012) 0.089	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107 (9)	Global (3)  0.014 (9.454)  0.109  Chuntry (10)	0.517 (10.570) 0.084 Stock Mar (11)	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177 (13) -0.003 (-1.734)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471) 0.005 (1.257) 0.005
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111) 0.889 (9)	Global (3)  0.098 (13.527)  0.865  Country (10)	4.235 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas (12)	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868 (13) -0.058 (-1.392)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517) 0.070 (1.012) 0.089	International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Assets International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107 (9)	Global (3)  0.014 (9.454)  0.109  Chuntry (10)	0.517 (10.570) 0.084 Stock Mar	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas (12)	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177 (13) -0.003 (-1.734)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471) 0.005 (1.257) 0.005
International Sales International Assets International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	(1) 0.096 (14.790) 0.891 (8)	0.137 (10.111) 0.889 (9)	Global (3)  0.098 (13.527)  0.865  Country (10)	4.235 (6.135) 0.724 Stock Mar (11)	0.308 (5.642) 0.297 ket Betas	(6) 0.062 (6.895) 1.272 (3.262) 0.063 (1.974) 0.868 (13) -0.058 (-1.392)	0.029 (1.049) 0.050 (1.670) 0.018 (0.728) 0.790 (14) -0.131 (-1.517) 0.070 (1.012) 0.089	International Sales International Income Traded/Non-Traded Respective Sales Beta Adjusted R2 International Sales International Assets International Income	0.015 (11.044) 0.128	0.018 (10.003) 0.107 (9)	Global (3)  0.014 (9.454)  0.109  Chuntry (10)	0.517 (10.570) 0.084 Stock Mar (11)	0.015 (3.008) 0.010 ket Betas	0.395 (7.914) 0.014 (2.952) 0.177 (13) -0.003 (-1.734)	(7) 0.014 (3.983) -0.000 (-0.103) 0.003 (0.972)  0.142  (14) -0.011 (-2.471) 0.005 (1.257) 0.005

- 27 - APPENDIX I

Table 5. Cross-Sectional Regressions of the Stock Market Betas on Firm-Level Measures of International Diversification: Fourth Subsample (November 1997–February 2002)

	Panel .	A: Portfo	lio-Leve	Regress	ions				Panc	B: Firm	1-Level I	Regressio	ns		
			Global	Stock Mar	ket Betas						Global	Stock Marl	ket Betas		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.280 (9.378)					0.211 (6.268)	0.250 (2.753)	International Sales	0.041 (10.879)					0.035 (9.125)	0.060 (6.057)
International Assets	, ,	0.443 (7.211)				, ,	-0.171 (-1,908)	International Assets	• /	0.044 (7.516)				,	-0.034 (-2.776)
International Income			0.373 (11.861)				0.131 (1.426)	International Income		, ,	0.044 (9.460)				0.012 (1.440)
Traded/Non-Traded				18.755 (4.607)		4.422 (1.436)		Traded/Non-Traded				1,333 (7.017)		0.878 (4.549)	, ,
Respective Sales Beta					1.440 (5.232)	-0.052 (-0.221)		Respective Sales Beta					0.053 (3.166)	0.040 (2.352)	
Adjusted R2	0.825	0.729	0.881	0.552	0.420	0.732	0.819	Adjusted R2	0.104	0.054	0.094	0.039	0.011	0.124	0.144
				Stock Ma		(18)			- (2)	(0)		Stock Man			
	(8)	(9)	(10)	$(\Pi)$	(12)	(13)	(14)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
International Sales	-0.191 (-5.604)					-0.099 (-5.740)	-0.200 (-2.396)	International Sales	-0.015 (-6.453)					-0.019 (-8.061)	-0.017 (-3.220)
International Assets	` ,	-0.270 (-3.212)					0.078 (0.502)	International Assets	,	-0.013 (-3.625)				(,	0.007
International Income		(-3.212)	-0.168 (-1.826)				0.063	International Income		(-3.623)	-0.009 (-3.342)				(0.976) 0.002 (0.385)
Traded/Non-Traded			(1.020)	13.827 (4.240)		3.897 (2.795)	(07122)	Traded/Non-Traded			(3.3.2)	0.198 (1.519)		0.455 (3.223)	(0.2007
Respective Sales Beta				(4.240)	0.911 (8.159)	0.381		Respective Sales Beta				(1.515)	0.088 (7.842)	0.094 (7.613)	
Adjusted R2	0.517	0.382	0.239	0.363	0.789	0.764	0.281	Adjusted R2	0.030	0.013	0.011	0.003	0.069	0.126	0.022
*** ( ** , .)		*********		Stock Mar				- 1				Stock Mar			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
International Sales	0.322 (12.468)					0.225 (6.905)	0.270 (3.657)	International Sales	0.050 (11.232)					0.039 (8.837)	0.061 (6.484)
International Assets	(/	0.518				(0.500)	-0.117	International Assets	()	0.048				(0.001)	-0.037
		(7.795)					(-1.092)			(7.645)					(-2.930)
International Income			0.386 (12.435)				0.082 (1.141)	International Income			0.046 (9.328)				0.015
Traded/Non-Traded			(12.433)	15.127 (6.135)		2.279 (1.161)	(1.141)	Traded/Non-Traded			(9.326)	1.846 (10.570)		1.310 (7.281)	(1.830)
Respective Sales Beta				(0.200)	1,100 (5,642)	0.316 (3.138)		Respective Sales Beta				(10,510)	0.053 (3.008)	0.061	
Adjusted R2	0.896	0.826	0.889	0.724	0.297	0.839	0.808	Adjusted R2	0.126	0.059	0.097	0,084	0.010	0.171	0.150
				Stock Mar								Stock Mar	rket Betas		
	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
International Sales	-0.118					-0.028	-0.128	International Sales	-0.004					-0.005	-0.006
International Assets	(-0.911)	-0.087				(-0.713)	(-1.281) -0.064	International Assets	(-1,645)	-0.004				(-1.996)	(-1.228) -0.005
		(-0.541)					(-0.542)	THE PART OF THE PA		(-1.281)					(+0.827)
International Income			0.031				0.192	International Income			0.000				0.008
Traded/Non-Traded			(0.300)	-0.441		0.098	(2.226)	Traded/Non-Traded			(0.170)	-0.042		0.051	(1.875)
Respective Sales Beta				(-0.052)	1.147	(0.033) 0.562		Respective Sales Beta				(-0.432)	0.028	(0.463) 0.035	
-					(5.131)	(3.724)		•					(3.340)	(3.696)	
Adjusted R2	0.121	0.080	0.056	0.053	0.440	0.333	0.177	Adjusted R2	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.011	0.021	0.008

## References

- Brooks, R., and M. Del Negro, 2002a, "The Rise in Comovement across National Stock Markets: Market Integration or IT Bubble?" Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Working Paper 2002-17a. Available on the web at <a href="http://www.frbatlanta.org/filelegacydocs/wp0217a.pdf">http://www.frbatlanta.org/filelegacydocs/wp0217a.pdf</a>.
- ———, 2002b, "International Stock Returns and Market Integration: A Regional Perspective," IMF Working Paper 02/202 (Washington: International Monetary Fund). Available on the web at <a href="http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2002/wp02202.pdf">http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2002/wp02202.pdf</a>.
- ———, 2002c, "International Diversification Strategies," Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Working Paper 2002-23. Available on the web at <a href="http://www.frbatlanta.org/filelegacydocs/wp0223.pdf">http://www.frbatlanta.org/filelegacydocs/wp0223.pdf</a>.
- Cavaglia, S., D. Cho, and B. Singer, 2001, "Risks of Sector Rotation Strategies," *Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 27 (Summer), pp. 35-44.
- Chinn, M. and H. Ito, 2002, "Capital Account Liberalization, Institutions and Financial Development Cross Country Evidence," NBER Working Paper No. 8967 (Cambridge, Massachusetts: National Bureau of Economic Research).
- Cho, D.C., C.S. Eun, and L.W. Senbet, 1986, "International Arbitrage Pricing Theory: An Empirical Investigation," *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 313–29.
- De Santis, Giorgio, and Bruno Gerard, 1997, "International Asset Pricing and Portfolio Diversification with Time-Varying Risk," *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 52, No. 5, pp. 1881–1912.
- Diermeier, J., and B. Solnik, 2001, "Global Pricing of Equity," *Financial Analysts Journal*, Vol. 57, No. 4, pp. 37–47.
- Dominguez, K., and L. Tesar, 2001, "Trade and Exposure," *American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings*," Vol. 91, 2 (May), pp. 367–70.
- Dumas, Bernard, and Bruno Solnik, 1995, "The World Price of Foreign Exchange Risk," *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 50, No. 2, pp. 445–79.
- Fama, Eugene F., and James D. MacBeth, 1973, "Risk, Return, and Equilibrium: Empirical Tests," *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 81, No. 3, pp. 607–36.
- Ferson, W., Harvey, C., 1994, "Sources of Risk and Expected Returns in Global Equity Markets," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 775–803.

- Forbes, K., and M. Chinn, 2003, "A Decomposition of Global Linkages in Financial Markets Over Time" (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology: unpublished).
- Griffin, J., and A. Karolyi, 1998, "Another Look at the Role of Industrial Structure of Markets for International Diversification Strategies," *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 50, pp. 351–73.
- ———, and R. Stulz, 2001, "International Competition and Exchange Rate Shocks: A Cross-Country Industry Analysis of Stock Returns," *Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 215–41.
- Hentschel, Ludger, and John Long, Jr., 2002, "Numeraire Portfolio Measures of the Size and Source of Gains from International Diversification," (University of Rochester, Simon School, unpublished manuscript).
- Heston, S., and G. Rouwenhorst, 1994, "Does Industrial Structure Explain the Benefits of International Diversification?" *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 36, pp. 3–27.
- ———, and R. Wessels, 1995, "The Structure of International Stock Returns and the Integration of Capital Markets," *Journal of Empirical Finance*, Vol. 2, pp. 173–97.
- Lane, P., and G. Milesi-Ferretti, 2001, "The External Wealth of Nations: Measures of Foreign Assets and Liabilities for Industrial and Developing Countries," *Journal of International Economics*, Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 263–294.
- Lehmann, B., and D.M. Modest, 1985, "The Empirical Foundations of Arbitrage Pricing Theory I: The Empirical Tests," NBER Working Paper No.1725.
- L'Her, J.F., O. Sy, and Y. Tnani, 2002, "Country, Industry and Risk Factor Loadings in Portfolio Management," *Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 70–79.
- Lombard, Thierry, Jacques Roulet, and Bruno Solnik, 1999, "Pricing of Domestic Versus Multinational Companies," *Financial Analyst Journal* (March-April), pp. 35–49.
- Marsh, T., and P. Pfleiderer, 1997, "The Role of Country and Industry Effects in Explaining Global Stock Returns" (unpublished University of California at Berkeley; and Stanford University, Palo Alto, California).
- Stockman, A.C., 1988, "Sectoral and National Aggregate Disturbances to Industrial Output in Seven European Countries," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 21, pp. 387–409.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 2000, "Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions and Development," *World Investment Report*.

White, Halbert, 1980, "A Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimator and a Direct Test for Heteroskedasticity," *Econometrica*, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp. 817–838.