

IMF Country Report No. 21/153

GERMANY

July 2021

2021 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION—PRESS RELEASE; STAFF REPORT; AND STATEMENT BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR GERMANY

Under Article IV of the IMF's Articles of Agreement, the IMF holds bilateral discussions with members, usually every year. In the context of the 2021 Article IV consultation with Germany, the following documents have been released and are included in this package:

- A **Press Release** summarizing the views of the Executive Board as expressed during its July 14, 2021 consideration of the staff report that concluded the Article IV consultation with Germany.
- The **Staff Report** prepared by a staff team of the IMF for the Executive Board's consideration on July 14, 2021, following discussions that ended on May 19, 2021, with the officials of Germany on economic developments and policies. Based on information available at the time of these discussions, the staff report was completed on June 22, 2021.
- An Informational Annex prepared by the IMF staff.
- A Statement by the Executive Director for Germany.

The IMF's transparency policy allows for the deletion of market-sensitive information and premature disclosure of the authorities' policy intentions in published staff reports and other documents.

Copies of this report are available to the public from

International Monetary Fund • Publication Services PO Box 92780 • Washington, D.C. 20090 Telephone: (202) 623-7430 • Fax: (202) 623-7201 E-mail: <u>publications@imf.org</u> Web: <u>http://www.imf.org</u> Price: \$18.00 per printed copy

International Monetary Fund Washington, D.C.



PR21/216

IMF Executive Board Concludes 2021 Article IV Consultation with Germany

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Washington, DC – **July 15, 2021:** On July 14, 2021, the Executive Board of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) concluded the Article IV consultation¹ with Germany.

Germany weathered the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic relatively well. The economy contracted by 4.8 percent in 2020, outperforming most European peers. But new waves of infections—marked by more transmissible virus variants—and associated lockdown measures compounded by supply-side shortages caused economic activity to contract again at the beginning of the year. Mass vaccinations were slow to start but have gathered pace. In 2020, Germany recorded its first fiscal deficit in eight years, reflecting unprecedented policy support to combat the pandemic. The current account surplus narrowed slightly relative to 2019, with the contraction in the goods trade balance largely offset by a commensurate decline in the services deficit and lower oil prices.

Fiscal and financial policies remain accommodative, and most measures supporting households and firms have been extended through 2021, enabled by the continued activation of the escape clause to the debt brake rule. The expansion of short-time work benefits (*"Kurzarbeit"*) has played a crucial role in preserving jobs and supporting domestic demand. Following a brief spike at the onset of the pandemic, credit growth eased through the remainder of 2020. German banks have so far weathered the COVID-19 shock relatively well, as the number of business and household insolvencies have remained subdued, aided by fiscal support measures and insolvency moratoria. However, the gradual unwinding of policy support could lead to rises in loan impairments and provisioning requirements, and large segments of the banking sector still struggle with persistently low profitability.

Staff expect growth to gather strength as vaccination becomes widely available and the economy reopens. However, the outlook remains highly uncertain, with further infection waves and mobility restrictions comprising the chief source of risks. Over the medium term, structural changes ushered in by the pandemic could compound longstanding challenges related to population aging, infrastructure gaps, digitalization, and the green energy transition.

Executive Board Assessment²

Directors commended the German authorities for their decisive policy actions, enabled by Germany's ample fiscal space, as well as their global efforts, via the COVAX initiative, to fight the COVID-19 pandemic. They noted that a robust recovery is expected in the second half of 2021 as mass vaccinations gather strength, although large uncertainties remain. In this

¹ Under Article IV of the IMF's Articles of Agreement, the IMF holds bilateral discussions with members, usually every year. A staff team visits the country, collects economic and financial information, and discusses with officials the country's economic developments and policies. On return to headquarters, the staff prepares a report, which forms the basis for discussion by the Executive Board.

² At the conclusion of the discussion, the Managing Director, as Chairman of the Board, summarizes the views of Executive Directors, and this summary is transmitted to the country's authorities. An explanation of any qualifiers used in summings up can be found here: <u>http://www.IMF.org/external/np/sec/misc/qualifiers.htm</u>.

context, Directors underscored that supportive policies should continue, and as the recovery strengthens the focus should shift to addressing long-standing structural challenges.

Directors emphasized that fiscal policy should remain supportive until there is clear evidence of a sustained recovery, while encouraging the frontloading of public investment. To facilitate post-crisis resource reallocation, they stressed that a carefully calibrated fiscal support withdrawal should be accompanied by well-targeted measures. Directors noted the merits of Germany's short-time work program (Kurzarbeit) to contain the impact on unemployment and support aggregate demand, but stressed the importance of additional measures targeted at groups hard hit by the pandemic and not covered by Kurzarbeit, to prevent widening inequality and deeper labor market scarring. Looking ahead, Directors called for Germany to use its fiscal space to scale up public investment to lift potential growth, facilitate structural transformation, and help address Germany's large external imbalances.

Directors supported the authorities' structural reform agenda to boost potential growth and support a green and digital transformation. They welcomed Germany's strong commitment to fighting climate change and further enhancing the multi-pronged climate action plan. A well-specified schedule of carbon prices over a longer-term horizon would enhance efficiency. Directors also noted that the pandemic has increased the urgency of the long-standing need for a digital transformation and greater innovation. They encouraged the government to accelerate the expansion of high-speed broadband networks, increase support for R&D, promote further venture capital, and improve the business environment.

Directors stressed the need to safeguard financial stability during the nascent recovery. In light of the risk of rising bankruptcies as support measures are phased out, they recommended continued targeted liquidity and solvency support for viable firms. Directors also highlighted the need for banks to improve their cost structures to address the chronic low profitability of Germany's banking sector. They welcomed the authorities' efforts to address remaining data gaps and stressed the need for closely monitoring the buildup of financial vulnerabilities in real estate markets. Directors looked forward to the recommendations from the ongoing 2022 FSAP.

			Project	ions
	2019	2020	2021	202
Output		(unadjus	sted)	
Real GDP growth (%)	0.6	-4.8	3.6	4.
Total domestic demand growth (%)	1.2	-4.1	3.1	4.
Output gap (% of potential GDP)	0.4	-2.9	-2.1	-0.
Employment				
Unemployment rate (%, ILO)	3.2	4.2	4.1	3.
Employment growth (%)	1.2	0.3	0.4	0.
Prices				
Inflation (%, headline)	1.4	0.4	2.6	1.
Inflation (%, core)	1.4	0.9	2.1	1.
General government finances 1/				
Fiscal balance (% of GDP)	1.5	-4.2	-7.2	-1.
Revenue (% of GDP)	46.7	46.8	46.2	46.
Expenditure (% of GDP)	45.2	51.1	53.2	47.
Public debt (% of GDP)	59.7	69.7	73.0	70.
Money and credit				
Broad money (M3) (end of year, % change) 2/	4.6	8.2		
Credit to private sector (% change)	5.4	4.9		
10-year government bond yield (%)	-0.2	-0.5		
Balance of payments				
Current account balance (% of GDP)	7.5	7.0	7.4	7
Trade balance (% of GDP)	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.
Exports of goods (% of GDP)	37.8	35.7	37.9	37.
Volume (% change)	0.6	-9.0	11.6	5.
Imports of goods (% of GDP)	31.5	30.0	31.5	31.
Volume (% change)	2.5	-5.4	10.1	6.
FDI balance (% of GDP)	2.2	0.0	0.9	1.
Reserves minus gold (billions of US\$)	59.2	64.0		
External Debt (% of GDP)	145.1	165.2		
Exchange rate				
REER (% change)	-1.6	1.3		
NEER (% change)	-1.0	2.4		
Real effective rate (2005=100) 3/	95.4	96.7		
Nominal effective rate $(2005=100) 4/$	101.4	103.8		

2/ Reflects Germany's contribution to M3 of the euro area.

3/ Real effective exchange rate, CPI based, all countries.4/ Nominal effective exchange rate, all countries.



GERMANY

STAFF REPORT FOR THE 2021 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION

June 22, 2021

KEY ISSUES

Germany's economy contracted by just under 5 percent in 2020, outperforming most European peers. But renewed waves of infections and associated lockdowns caused economic activity to plunge again in the first quarter of this year. While the pace of mass vaccination has picked up and the economy has started to reopen, the recovery path is beset with risks, particularly with respect to the progress of the pandemic and supply shortages in major industries. The authorities have maintained appropriately accommodative fiscal and financial policies, and most measures supporting households and firms have been extended through 2021.

Policies should aim at setting the economy on a sustained recovery path by reducing labor market scarring, protecting vulnerable sections of the population, and ensuring that viable firms remain in business. Looking further ahead, Germany should use its fiscal space to expand public investment, promote innovation and facilitate structural transformation of the economy, including digitalization and decarbonization. This would also help reduce large external imbalances.

Key Policy Recommendations

- Continue fiscal support for households and firms until there is clear evidence of a sustained recovery, while frontloading public investment.
- Maintain protective labor market policies—underpinned by the expanded Kurzarbeit—until there is evidence of a sustained recovery. Continue income support for more vulnerable population groups and reduce the labor tax wedge on lower incomes to support aggregate demand and ameliorate inequality.
- Further strengthen the multi-pronged climate action plan. A well-specified carbon price path over a longer time horizon combined with sector-specific feebates would enhance efficiency.
- Safeguard financial stability during the nascent recovery. Specify a gradual timetable for banks that find their capital reduced as a result of the crisis to rebuild buffers.

Approved By Mahmood Pradhan (EUR) and Kevin Fletcher (SPR)

The mission took place in a virtual format during May 7–19, 2021. The team comprised Mr. Aiyar (head), Mmes. Dao, Mineshima, and Mr. Caceres (all EUR), with Mr. Parry (FAD), Mr. Prasad and Ms. Oliva (both MCM) joining a subset of meetings. The mission met with State Secretary Schmidt, Bundesbank President Weidmann, officials from the Finance, Economy, Interior and Building, Environment, Labor and Social Affairs Ministries, the Bundesbank, BaFin, the Chancellery, the Federal Employment Agency, the ECB, EIOPA, as well as representatives from the banking sector, auto industry, employers' associations, trade union, credit rating agencies, and think tanks. Messrs. von Kleist and Merk (all OED) joined the meetings. Mses. Ordonez-Baritz, Chen, and Rubio (all EUR) assisted in preparing the report.

CONTENTS

CONTEXT	4
	5
OUTLOOK AND RISKS	8
POLICIES FOR A STRONG AND SUSTAINABLE RECOVERY	10
A. Fiscal Policy	10
B. Mitigating Climate Change	15
C. Labor Market Policies	19
D. Digitization and Innovation	22
E. Financial Sector Policies	25
F. Governance and Transparency	29
STAFF APPRAISAL	30
BOXES	
1. The Impact of Fiscal Expansion in Germany	13
2. The Climate Action Program (CAP) 2030	17
3. The Effectiveness of Kurzarbeit During the COVID-19 Pandemic	21
FIGURES	
1. Impact of 1 Percent of GDP Fiscal Expansion	14
2. Growth Developments	33
3. Prices and Labor Market	34
1 Fiscal Developments and Outlook	25

4. Fiscal Developments and Outlook	35
5. Balance of Payments	36
6 Credit Conditions and Asset Prices	37

7. Recent Developments in the German Banking Sector	_38
8. Housing Market Developments	_39
9. Structural Reforms	_40

TABLES

1. Selected Economic Indicators, 2018–22	41
2. General Government Operations, 2017–26	43
3. Medium Term Projections, 2017–26	44
4. Balance of Payments, 2017–26	45
5. International Investment Position, 2012–20	46
6. Core Financial Soundness Indicators for Banks, 2015–20	47
7. Additional Financial Soundness Indicators, 2015–20	49

ANNEXES

I. External Sector Assessment	_51
II. Risk Assessment Matrix	_53
III. Public Debt Sustainability Analysis	_56

CONTEXT

1. Germany is recovering from repeated waves of COVID-19 infections. After having managed the first wave of infections in the spring of 2020 relatively well, the country staged a strong—albeit partial—recovery in Q3. But a second and larger wave of infections spread in the last quarter of 2020 (Text Figure 1, left panel). Federal and state-level authorities gradually tightened containment measures as infections gathered pace, with a complete lockdown ordered through 2021 Q1. Non-essential businesses were closed, although manufacturing and construction were allowed to continue operations. The German parliament passed amendments to the Infection Protection Law allowing containment measures to be temporarily centralized rather than left to individual states and municipalities. Transport bans from high-risk regions were implemented, together with mandatory testing for travelers. As a result, mobility fell well below normal levels (Text Figure 1, right panel). More recently, a third wave of infections has been abating, allowing a gradual re-opening of the economy since May.



2. The mass vaccination effort has gained speed, but the path of the pandemic remains uncertain. Amid procurement delays at the EU level, and mixed messaging around the Astra-Zeneca vaccine, the vaccination campaign was rolled-out more slowly than in the U.S. and U.K., although the pace was in line with other large European countries. Vaccine supply and distribution has picked up markedly since the spring (Text Figure 2), and the authorities' goal is to cover the entire adult population by the end of summer 2021. However, the risk of disruptions to the vaccination program and the spread of



more transmissible variants of the virus continue to cloud the prospects of recovery.

RECENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS

3. An uneven and choppy quarterly GDP growth path in 2020 culminated in an annual contraction of 4.8 percent. An unprecedented fall in output in Q2 was followed by a rebound in Q3, driven by a strong recovery in both private consumption and exports. However, consumption contracted again in Q4 with the emergence of the second wave and renewed lockdowns while exports continued growing. Overall, private consumption contracted by over 6 percent in 2020, a post-war record. The divergence between domestic and foreign demand deepened further in Q1 2021, as exports were buoyed by a faster than expected economic recovery in key trading partners (especially China and the US), while consumption continued to be weighed down by pandemic-related mobility restrictions and the expiration of last year's temporary VAT cut. Overall, GDP contracted by 1.8 percent in 2021 Q1, with anemic consumption more than offsetting robust exports. Activity indicators suggest that the divergence between manufacturing and services is now gradually narrowing, as economic restrictions are lifted (Text Figure 3).







¹ See Box 1 and Online Annex in the Spring 2021 *Regional Economic Outlook Update* for Europe.

5. The economic contraction interrupted a decade-long decline in unemployment,

stalling wage growth and further slowing inflation. Pandemic related demand shocks opened up a sizeable negative output gap in 2020. The unemployment rate—cushioned by extensive use of the

short-time work program *Kurzarbeit* (see Paragraph 27)—rose modestly from 3.2 percent in 2019 to 4.2 percent in 2020. The economic slack slowed wage growth from 3 percent in 2019 to 0.6 percent in 2020. Headline inflation fell to an average of 0.4 percent in 2020, reflecting also sharply lower commodity prices, and even entered negative territory in H2 as a temporary VAT rate reduction took effect. Annual core inflation was similarly subdued at 0.9 percent (see Figure 3). So far this year unemployment has remained elevated, reflecting still weak macroeconomic conditions in Q1, and long-term unemployment has increased due to depressed



hiring rates. In contrast, headline and core inflation have been rising sharply due to higher commodity and food prices, and several one-off factors in 2021, including new consumption weights in the HICP basket, the introduction of carbon pricing surcharges on energy prices (Box 2), and the expiration of the VAT rate cut. Higher inflation in 2021 is also driven by a strong base effect as prices had dropped to record lows during the height of the pandemic in 2020. Producer price pressures have risen sharply in recent months, driven by lingering supply constraints amid a strong global demand rebound for commodities and intermediate inputs (Text Figure 5).

6. In 2020, Germany recorded its first fiscal deficit in eight years, reflecting unprecedented policy support to combat the COVID pandemic. Two fiscal packages were announced during the course of the year, comprising ramped-up public health spending, grants to firms, subsidies for the extended Kurzarbeit scheme, transfers to subnational governments, and additional public investment. The take-up of some measures, such as grants to firms and Kurzarbeit was lower than initially expected, due mainly to a smaller-than-forecast economic contraction and the liquidity buffers built up by firms at the outset of the pandemic. Nonetheless, the extraordinary fiscal measures reduced the structural balance to a deficit of 2.9 percent of GDP, an easing of 4.2 percent of GDP that was enabled by the activation of the escape clause of the constitutional debtbrake rule.² Public debt rose from under 60 percent of GDP at end-2019 to 70 percent of GDP in 2020.

7. The current account surplus narrowed slightly in 2020, and the external position is assessed as stronger than the level implied by medium-term fundamentals and desirable policies. The COVID-19 pandemic led to a significant disruption in cross-border flows of goods and

² The debt brake rule sets a ceiling on "structural" new borrowing, of 0.35 percent of GDP by the federal government and zero by the state governments.

services, particularly in the second quarter. The contraction in Germany's goods trade balance, however, was largely offset by a commensurate decline in the services deficit—associated with a sharp reduction in net tourism outflows—and lower oil prices. The current account recorded a surplus of 7 percent of GDP in 2020, which is a 0.5 percent of GDP decline from the previous year, and noticeably lower than its most recent peak (8.6 percent) in 2015. Overall, the external position in 2020 was assessed to be



between 2.4 and 4.4 percentage points of GDP higher than the estimated norm (see Annex I).

8. After rising at the onset of the pandemic, credit growth has eased, reflecting decreased new lending to non-financial corporates but stable lending to households. Much of the increased credit to firms at the onset of the crisis was used to boost liquidity buffers amid the uncertain economic environment (Text Figure 7). Although this precautionary demand for credit has eased, overall credit growth remains robust in historical context (Figure 6). Consequently, non-financial corporate debt ratios increased temporarily, but their leverage (debt to assets) remains relatively low in historical terms, despite the recent rise in the sector's overall indebtedness in relation to GDP (Text Figure 7). Since the onset of the pandemic, financial policies have been adjusted to support credit supply. In particular, the ECB and Bafin gave permission to use the capital conservation buffer—amounting to 2.5 percent of risk-weighted assets—while releasing the bank-specific Pillar II Guidance, allowing banks to use these buffers without requiring an imminent replenishment. At the same time, the countercyclical capital buffer (CCyB) was reduced to zero,³ deposits held with central banks were excluded from the leverage ratio, while the distribution of



³ In July 2019, Bafin announced the activation of the CCyB for the first time, increasing it from zero to 0.25 percent of risk-weighted assets.

profits in the form of dividends and share buybacks was restricted. While lending standards have tightened moderately, interest rates and lending rates remain low, reflecting accommodative monetary policy and financial conditions (Figure 6).

OUTLOOK AND RISKS

9. Staff's baseline forecast is for a robust recovery in the second half of the year as mass vaccination accelerates and lockdowns are phased out. While GDP contracted again in 2021Q1, pulled down by weakened private consumption, forward-looking indicators (manufacturing orders, business expectations) suggest continued growth in exports and an improved outlook for services sector in line with re-opening plans and the expected release of some pent-up savings. In addition, the ongoing recovery in the US and Asia should continue to boost German exports. As containment measures are lifted, domestic demand should rebound quickly as household debt is relatively low, labor market conditions remained resilient, and household incomes were broadly stable.

10. In the short term, a rebound in private consumption from H2 onward is expected to reinforce the positive export performance. The growing momentum of exports since 2020 Q4 has been strengthened by large policy support in the US and robust growth in both China and the U.S., which together account for over 16 percent of German exports (Text Figure 8). Growth is also likely to be spurred by investment in machinery and equipment (which tends to co-move with external demand) and by rapidly increasing capacity utilization. Although headwinds from supply chain bottlenecks have proved disruptive to industrial production since early 2021, these global supply bottlenecks are expected to ease as producers adjust to recovering demand. Since demand for housing remains strong, construction should continue to grow robustly. Overall, growth is projected to reach 3.6 percent in 2021. The recovery in demand will narrow the output gap considerably, although it is expected to remain negative until 2023. One-off factors in 2021 coupled with strengthening demand are expected to push headline inflation to 2.6 percent this year. The current account is forecast to rise on the back of strong goods exports and still subdued services imports, reaching 7.4 percent in 2021.



11. Over the medium term, the output level is expected to return to potential as crisisaffected demand and supply conditions normalize. However, as in previous recessions, some scarring effects on human and physical capital are likely, leaving output in 2025 almost 1 percent

below the level envisaged before the pandemic. This is not a negligible loss, but it is relatively small compared to other large European countries (Text Figure 9) and compared to the aftermath of previous recessions (see April 2021 WEO Chapter 2). The smaller scarring in Germany relative to European peers is largely due to smaller actual output and employment losses—and correspondingly smaller hysteresis effects—at the height of the crisis. Following the spike in 2021, headline and core inflation are projected to moderate in 2022, before picking up gradually over the medium term and reaching about 2 percent by 2026. Wage growth is also expected to pick up as demography-driven labor supply constraints become more binding over



the medium-term amid slowing productivity growth. As the pandemic recedes and global demand patterns normalize, the current account balance is expected to resume its modest gradual narrowing, supported by a realignment of price competitiveness and solid domestic demand.

12. There is unusually large uncertainty around the baseline forecast, with the balance of risks tilted to the downside. The main source of uncertainty remains the evolution of the pandemic, which will depend on epidemiological factors, the pace and reach of vaccination, and the success of, as well as adaptability to, containment measures, both mandated and voluntary. Staff sees the following major risks at different time horizons (see Annex II):

- In the short term, if the vaccine rollout fails to get ahead of infection dynamics, the authorities could be forced to prolong or re-impose costly lockdowns, delaying the recovery and deepening economic scarring. Ongoing supply shortages of intermediate inputs could last longer than expected, dampening the recovery in exports and investment, particularly for the automobile sector. On the other hand, domestic demand could rebound more swiftly due to the drawdown of pent-up savings. At the same time, external demand, exports, and the trade balance may recover more strongly than envisaged, driven by a sharper economic rebound and further policy support in key trading partners.
- Looking further ahead, failure to adapt to the post-COVID economy—which could be characterized by transformed ways of working and altered consumption preferences—coupled with lagging progress on long-standing structural challenges such as digitalization and dealing with the demographic transition, could weigh on potential growth. Germany's reliance on

exports amplifies the risk of eroding global market share if firms do not adapt nimbly to new technologies and consumer preferences.

Authorities' Views

13. The authorities shared staff's general assessment of the macroeconomic outlook but saw risks as broadly balanced at this juncture. They project a strong economic rebound in 2021H2, driven by continued robust external demand and rebounding domestic demand. As lockdown measures are lifted and vaccination becomes widespread, private consumption and investment are expected to recover, supported by stable household incomes and pent-up savings. The pre-crisis GDP level is expected to be attained by the end of 2021. The authorities pointed to the resilient labor market and so far, contained corporate bankruptcies, as indications that the extent of economic scarring would be small, at around 1 percent of GDP in the medium term. While concurring with staff on the various sources of risks in the near term, the authorities see risks as largely balanced. They pointed to the abnormally large amount of household savings accumulated so far as a major upside risk to the near-term forecast for domestic demand, and view the risk stemming from the epidemiological development as increasingly contained thanks to accelerating vaccinations. The authorities acknowledged that the current account surplus remains high, but emphasized that it is affected by many non-policy variables.

POLICIES FOR A STRONG AND SUSTAINABLE RECOVERY

Multi-pronged policy support has been crucial to cushion the shock to the economy and should be maintained until the recovery is well underway. If the recovery falters, additional measures should be implemented as fiscal space remains ample. Looking ahead, the phasing out of supportive policies should be carefully calibrated to the progress of the pandemic and the economic recovery, and accompanied by targeted measures to encourage post-crisis resource reallocation. Over the medium-term, Germany should focus on promoting a greener, smarter, and more inclusive economy; a structural transformation that lifts potential growth and reduces external imbalances.

A. Fiscal Policy

14. Fiscal policy is projected to remain appropriately expansionary in 2021, with a supplementary budget announced in March, and the escape clause to the debt brake rule remaining in effect. Faced with new infection waves and corresponding lockdowns, the government has extended several COVID-19 measures. Notably, the unused grants to firms from the 2020 budget have been reallocated to 2021 rather than being phased out. This is appropriate as the expiration of the insolvency moratoria at end-April may generate a need for further public support. The government has also announced a number of new measures, including: increased corporate tax

loss carry-back; ⁴	Text Table 1. Germany: COVID-19 Fiscal Packages						
additional support for	(Percent of GDP)						
firms, the self-employed,		2020	2021	2022	Total 1/		
basic income recipients.		Actual	Allocat	ion	% of 2020 GDP		
and the cultural sector;	Direct budget support	3.4	6.3	1.6	13.9		
another round of one-off	Total revenue Sales	0.8 0.0	0.9 0.0	0.6 0.0	3.3		
child benefits	VAT and import taxes	0.4	0.4	0.1			
(€150/child); an extension	Income and wealth tax Social contributions	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.2	0.5 0.0			
of the VAT cut on	Total expenditure	2.6	5.4	1.0	10.5		
restaurant services	Compensation of employees	0.1	0.0	0.0			
through end-2022;	Goods and services (e.g., vaccine, PPE) Social benefits (e.g., Kurzarbeit, unemployment benefits)	0.6 0.9	1.0 0.5	0.3 0.2			
increases in the	Subsidies (e.g., grants to firms) Other current transfers	0.6 0.1	2.9 0.3	0.2 0.0			
apprenticeship subsidy	Public investment Capital transfers	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.4	0.1 0.2			
(from June 1, 2021	Others	03	0.7	0.2			
through the end of the	1/ Including the amount that is expected to be disbursed bey	ond 2022		0.2			

2021/2022 academic year); and frontloading some investment projects.⁵ These policies—in conjunction with some measures already planned before the pandemic⁶—imply a more than 3 percent of GDP increase in the structural primary deficit in 2021 (Text Table 2). The continued expansionary fiscal stance is appropriate given the still sizable negative output gap and considerable uncertainties regarding the pace of recovery. Demand support at a time when interest rates remain at the zero lower bound is particularly effective as fiscal multipliers are relatively large.

Text Table 2. Germany: General Government Operations, 2019–26 (Percent of GDP)								
	2019	2020 2/	2021 Proj.	2022 Proj.	2023 Proj.	2024 Proj.	2025 Proj.	2026 Proj.
Headline Balance	1.5	-4.2	-7.2	-1.8	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5
Change form the previous year		-5.7	-3.0	5.5	1.3	0.4	0.5	0.0
Primary Structural Balance	2.1	-2.3	-5.7	-1.1	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.9
Implied fiscal impulse 1/		4.3	3.4	-4.6	-1.2	-0.3	-0.5	0.0
Structural Balance	1.3	-2.9	-6.2	-1.6	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5
Public Gross Debt (Maastricht definition)	59.7	69.7	73.0	70.9	69.3	67.3	64.7	62.3
Sources: Ministry of Finance, Bundesbank, Federal Statistical Office, and IMF staff estimates and projections. 1/ Negative of the difference between the primary structural balance in each year and that of the year before.								

2/ Data on fiscal balances are as of February 24, 2021.

⁴ In 2020, the applicable tax loss carry-back was increased to a maximum of €5 million. In February 2021, the maximum amount was further raised to €10 million.

 5 As part of the COVID-19 mitigation measures in 2020, the VAT rate for restaurants and catering services was lowered to 7 percent, from 19 percent, through end-June 2021. This measure has now been extended through end-2022.

⁶ From 2021 the solidarity surcharge will be phased out for the majority of taxpayers, and a basic pension will provide an additional payment to pensioners who had contributed to the social security system for at least 33 years with annual income less than 80 percent of the average wages throughout the entire period. Both measures will boost disposable income for low- and middleincome households.

15. The pace of withdrawal of policy support should be carefully calibrated to the

progress of the pandemic and the economic recovery. Adequate support should remain in place while the economy is still weak so as to minimize scarring effects. Given considerable uncertainty about the progress of the pandemic and the shape of the recovery, it is preferable to err on the side of doing too much rather than too little. If the recovery falters, additional measures should be implemented as fiscal space remains ample. In particular, support should continue for households and firms; and the social safety net should be kept flexible and generous, protecting the most vulnerable groups, such as marginal workers, the self-employed and women. Further frontloading public investment in 2022-23 would also be appropriate to help Germany close its digital and infrastructure gaps while helping to rebalance its external position.

16. Once the recovery firms up, policies should become more targeted and focus on

facilitating the necessary resource re-allocation. In this context, the government's decision to extend subsidies for firm-sponsored apprenticeships is welcome. The government can also consider additional measures to strengthen incentives for job search while reducing hiring costs for viable firms (e.g., hiring subsidies, job training).⁷ While encouraging the smooth exit of unviable firms, the authorities could maintain solvency support for viable firms through a variety of instruments, (see Ebeke et al., 2021).⁸ The pandemic—and associated changes to work practices and consumer preferences that are likely to persist well past the crisis—has also crystalized the need for strengthening Germany's digital and climate infrastructure (Sections B and D below). The authorities' plan to scale up targeted public investment in these areas is therefore appropriate.

17. Looking further ahead, Germany should use its ample fiscal space to lift potential growth, facilitate structural transformation, and reduce external imbalances. Fiscal policy should be deployed to address long-standing structural challenges such as boosting growth potential through greater physical and human capital investment (including a focus on life-long learning to support the structural changes after the pandemic); incentivizing innovation; bolstering the labor supply; and increasing disposable income for low-income households. Making progress towards these goals would also help with external rebalancing. Fiscal space remains substantial. The structural deficit is expected to shrink to about 1.6 percent of GDP in 2022 as COVID-19 measures gradually phase out, with the escape clause to the constitutional debt brake expected to remain activated. Over the medium term, the structural balance is expected to return to a surplus of about 0.5 percent. Public debt is projected to resume its downward trajectory from 2022 (Annex III). Therefore, there should be substantial fiscal resources available to encourage the needed structural transformation. Staff analysis suggests that a permanent 1 percent of GDP expansion of public investment from 2022 onwards would increase real GDP by more than 2 percent relative to the

⁷ A viable firm is one for which the present discounted value of future profits is greater than the liquidation value of current assets (<u>Diez et al., 2021</u>). Assessing viability is a complex task (see paragraph 40 for some details).

⁸ On December 1, 2020, the European Commission approved the "<u>Umbrella scheme</u>," under which the government can provide support to firms in the form of (i) subordinated loans, and (ii) recapitalization instruments, in particular equity instruments (i.e. acquisition of newly issued ordinary and preferred shares, or other forms of shareholding) and hybrid capital instruments (namely convertible bonds and silent participations).

baseline in the long run. This would more than compensate for the expected pandemic scarring while Germany's debt-to-GDP ratio would still trend down over time (Box 1).⁹

Box 1. The Impact of Fiscal Expansion in Germany

Germany's public debt is expected to decline rapidly over the medium term as the extraordinary fiscal support extended during the pandemic is phased out. Germany can use its ample fiscal space to lift its growth potential by expanding physical and human capital investment, incentivizing innovation, bolstering the labor supply, and increasing disposable income for low-income.

Using the Fund's Global Integrated Monetary and Fiscal model (GIMF), this Box simulates the macroeconomic implications of a fiscal expansion of 1 percent of GDP that is fully financed by debt.¹ The GIMF is a multi-region, forward-looking, dynamic stochastic general equilibrium model. The simulations consider a three-year temporary fiscal expansion over 2022-24, and a permanent expansion from 2022 onwards. Households and firms are assumed to have full information about the size and duration of fiscal expansions. The fiscal instruments considered are an increase in public investment and targeted transfers to liquidity-constrained households on the spending side, and a reduction in corporate tax and labor tax on the revenue side. For temporary expansions, the fiscal balance is assumed to revert back to its baseline after the shock, as the temporary measures phase out while general transfers are cut to pay for the increasing interest payments associated accumulating debt.

The results suggest that public investment has the largest and longest-lasting impact. While all four measures considered generally have a positive impact on GDP, the multiplier is largest for public investment, because it raises the marginal product of capital and labor, thus crowding-in private investment. Temporary reductions in labor or corporate taxes, and temporary targeted transfers do not have a large impact, because agents realize that the fiscal measures are temporary, and smooth their behavior accordingly. The current

account falls sharply for a permanent fiscal expansion—with the impact on domestic demand dominating the impact on export competitiveness provided by greater productivity—helping with external rebalancing. However, external rebalancing is short-lived if the fiscal expansion is temporary, since the improvement in the current account persists only as long as the increase in domestic demand. For all the scenarios considered, the debt-to-GDP ratio trends down, suggesting that concerns about public debt

Text Table 1.1 Real GDP Impact of 1% of GDP Fiscal Expansion in							
Germany							
(Percentage points deviations from the baseline)							
Temporary Permanent							
	Year 1 Peak Cumulative 1/ Year 1 Cumulative 2						
Germany							
Labor tax	0.2	0.3	1.5	0.3	6.3		
Corporate tax	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.3	2.3		
Public investment	0.9	1.1	8.2	1.0	15.0		
Targeted transfers	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	1.9		
Euro area exc. Germa	ny						
Labor tax	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.22		
Corporate tax	0.00	0.01	0.02	-0.07	0.06		
Public investment	0.18	0.19	0.39	0.04	0.53		
Targeted transfers	0.02	0.03	0.05	-0.02	0.09		
1/ 10-year cumulative impact of a 1% of GDP fiscal expansion for three years.							
2/ 10-year cumulative impact of a 1% of GDP permanent increase in fiscal expansion.							

should not stand in the way of even a permanently looser—and growth enhancing—fiscal stance.

The spillover effect on the rest of the euro area is generally positive but small. A fiscal expansion in Germany affects the rest of the euro area primarily through the trade channel (i.e., an increase in imports). As with the domestic impact, the spillover effects are strongest for public investment.

1/ Results are similar using the Fund's G20MOD.

⁹ The spillover effect on the rest of the euro area is generally positive but small.



18. Infrastructure governance reforms and additional federal government support could help overcome capacity constraints in implementing public investment. Municipalities' revenues have been hit hard by the COVID-19 crisis. The federal government has been providing sizable financial support to compensate for shortfalls, but it may be inadequate to make up the backlog of municipal investment in transport infrastructure and schools. The federal government should consider providing additional financing support, if needed, while also further streamlining planning processes, enhancing cooperation between agencies, and allowing for more attractive employment conditions for public sector planners. Staff welcome the introduction of the mandatory use of the e-procurement system, started in 2020, for all public supply and service contracts awarded by federal authorities and increasingly at the state (Länder) level. This should result in cost reductions to bidders and improvements in project quality. Streamlining Germany's decentralized, complex legal system for public procurement would also facilitate further efficiency gains.

Authorities' Views

19. The authorities broadly agreed with staff's assessment of fiscal policy and

recommendations in relation to managing the COVID-19 crisis. They emphasized that the COVID measures were timely, targeted, and transformative, aiming to save jobs and lives while facilitating economic recovery. The combined fiscal packages were among the largest in the world. The authorities agreed that public debt is sustainable, and that fiscal space remains available for additional support if needed. Over the medium term, the government is committed to returning to the debt brake rule. Germany's debt dynamics do not require fiscal surplus for a reduction of the debt ratio. However, the government points out that Germany's fiscal balance could return to surplus given the requirements of the national debt brake to pay down the debt accumulated to finance exceptional deficits during the pandemic, which exceeded the limits of the debt brake.

20. The government is ramping up public investment to support a green and digital transformation, while continuing efforts to alleviate execution bottlenecks. The COVID measures provide sizable financial relief for the municipalities. This, together with ongoing efforts to speed up planning and procurement through e.g., Partnerschaft Deutschland and the Bund/Länder Commission, has helped municipal governments continue to execute public investment during the pandemic. Within the context of Germany's Recovery and Resilience Plan (RRP) the government reinforces its efforts to further accelerate the implementation of public investment. Among others, the government is assessing remaining bottlenecks, with key findings to be published in 2022. To make public procurement during the COVID-19-pandemic smoother and easier, the federal government has adopted detailed guidelines for public contracts. The new nation-wide procurement statistics were launched in October 2020, which will enable analysis for strategic procurement and future legislation. An initial evaluation of the statistics is scheduled for the second half of 2021.

B. Mitigating Climate Change

21. Germany has made significant progress in reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, yet per capita emissions remain high. GHGs have fallen by 41 percent from 1990 levels. The reduction was driven largely by the energy and industry sectors, the largest two sectors in terms of

GHG emissions. Indeed, Germany's share of renewable energy in electricity generation is the highest among G20 countries (Text Figure 10, left panel). Meanwhile, the transport sector, the third-largest emission sector, has barely reduced its emissions from 1990 levels. In a business-as-usual scenario—with no new, or tightening of existing, mitigation policies—Germany is expected to remain among the top ten global emitters in 2030, both in terms of absolute and per capita carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions (Text Figure 10).



22. Germany is set to tighten its reduction targets for CO2 and other GHGs emissions.

Germany's Climate Change Act (CCA) 2019 stipulates nationwide legally binding targets that are in line with the targets adopted at the EU level, namely at least a 55 percent reduction in GHGs below 1990 levels by 2030 and net zero emissions by 2050. The CCA also sets legally binding emissions targets for six sectors that become stricter each year up to 2030 (Text Figure 11). Following a constitutional court <u>ruling</u> in May 2021, the cabinet has approved amendments to the CCA with stricter emissions



targets: a 65 percent reduction in GHGs below 1990 levels by 2030 and net zero emissions by 2045.¹⁰ The revised CCA, if approved by the parliament, will set an annual path for aggregate emissions through 2040 and revised annual sectoral targets through 2030.¹¹

¹⁰ The constitutional court ruled that the Climate Change Act 2019 violates the constitutional right of German citizens, especially of the youth, as emissions reduction targets are overly backloaded and not sufficiently well specified beyond 2030.

¹¹ The draft bill indicates that by 2032 the government must present a legislative proposal to set the annual reduction targets for the years 2041 to 2045.

23. A number of additional measures could enhance the cost-effectiveness and

acceptability of the mitigation strategy.¹² The elasticity of carbon emissions to carbon pricing differs greatly across sectors, with the sectors covered by the EU ETS being more elastic than those covered by the national ETS. This suggests that meeting the emissions targets solely with carbon pricing would require very high carbon prices in some sectors. Price-based measures, therefore, should be complemented with sectoral instruments and further stepped-up public investment in green infrastructure and technologies.

Box 2. The Climate Action Program (CAP) 2030

The Climate Action Program (CAP) 2030 contains multi-pronged policy measures to achieve emissions targets. The program includes four major components.

- Introduction of a national Emission Trading System (ETS). On January 1, 2021, a national ETS covering CO2 emissions from transportation and heating fuels became operational, with a price of €25/tonne of CO2. Carbon pricing is scheduled to increase to €55 by 2025 in a step-wise manner. From 2026 onwards, an emissions cap will be set, which will decline over time in line with 2030 emissions targets, but with an initial price range of €55 to €65 per tonne. The path of carbon prices can be amended once the parliament has approved the revised CCA. The national ETS supplements the EU ETS, which covers energy and industries. Revenue from carbon pricing will be re-invested in climate measures or returned to taxpayers.
- Measures to encourage GHG reductions in buildings, transportation, energy, agriculture, and industry. Policies include tax incentives for energy-efficient modernization of buildings, increasing the number of electric vehicles (EVs) and public charging points, expanding renewable energy generation and increasing its use in industry, phasing out coal, encouraging climate-friendly agriculture, and exploring options for carbon storage.
- **Compensation for households and firms for the expected price increase**. The renewable energy surcharge and electricity prices have been reduced, while tax relief for long-distance commuters and higher housing allowances have also been provided.
- **Monitoring and correction mechanism**. Each year, the government will assess progress towards the 2030 climate targets in individual sectors. If a particular sector is not complying with its statutory targets, the ministry with lead responsibility will present the climate cabinet, with a remedial action plan.¹

1/ As part of the <u>CAP2030</u>, the government set up a "climate cabinet" in April 2019, tasked with reviewing annually the effectiveness, efficiency, and targeting of climate measures.

- **Further strengthen carbon pricing**. A more well-specified schedule of carbon prices over a longer time horizon would provide a critical signal for ensuring that new investment is efficiently allocated to clean technologies. In particular, the domestic ETS could incorporate an automatically escalating price floor after the expiration of the price collar.
- **Reduce gaps in the marginal cost of abatement across sectors**. Higher carbon pricing in sectors with a relatively low cost of abatement, such as power and industry, could help reduce

¹² See Chen, R., A. Mineshima, S. Black, V. Mylonas, I. Parry, and D. Prihardini, "Enhancing Climate Mitigation Policy in Germany." IMF Working Paper, forthcoming.

aggregate emissions in an economically efficient way. In this context, at the EU level, Germany should push for a robust price floor under the EU ETS through reform of the Market Stability Reserve and extension of the ETS to transportation and buildings. Alternatively, carbon pricing could be strengthened by applying a domestic carbon surcharge to emissions covered by the EU ETS.

- Introduce feebates. Feebates apply a revenue-neutral, sliding scale of fees on products or activities with above average emission rates and a sliding scale of rebates on products or activities with below average emission rates. These could complement existing sectoral policies.
- Look for ways to frontload public investment in green infrastructure and further support green technologies. While the bulk of green investment will come from the private sector, the public sector has a catalytic role through infrastructure investment, providing co-funding for projects with large upfront investment costs, and sharing risks through insurance and guarantees. In this context, for example, upgrading infrastructure (e.g., the electricity grid system, charging stations for electric vehicles) can support the expansion of green energy supply and usages.

24. The government could consider enhancing measures to cushion the impact of higher carbon prices on households. Higher carbon prices affect households directly by raising the price of fuels and energy and indirectly through higher input prices for other consumption goods and services. The overall distributional impact of carbon price increases is estimated to be broadly neutral in Germany: while the direct effect on fuel prices is regressive, the indirect effect via other goods and services is expected to be progressive and thus to mitigate the distributional impact. The CAP 2030 already contains several measures to mitigate the adverse impact on households (see Box 2). If needed, these measures could be supplemented by reducing high social security contributions for lower-income earners, which would provide compensation to the neediest while also encouraging labor supply.

Authorities' Views

25. The authorities noted that climate protection is at the forefront of national policy and that further climate action measures are needed to meet the envisaged stricter emissions targets. The "Future Package (Zukunftspaket)" from the June 2020 stimulus program allocates €26.2 bn to the Energy and Climate Fund, and an additional €8 bn from the Energy and Climate Fund has been allocated for an immediate action program to meet the stricter emissions targets. The government indicated that achieving the climate targets solely with carbon pricing would be difficult, and advocated supporting sectoral measures, such as stricter regulations, especially where pricing measures are less effective. In this context, the government emphasized the importance of its support for green infrastructure and technologies. To cushion the impact of higher carbon prices on households, the government has committed to re-distributing revenues raised through selling emission rights. Although the current action plan does not contain income-based climate protection measures, the government emphasized that reducing costs for long-distance commuters, lowering the renewable energy surcharge, and increasing housing allowances would tend to benefit lower-

income households more. The government is not considering altering social security contributions as a distributional measure for the purpose of climate policy at this juncture.

C. Labor Market Policies

26. Germany's long-established job-retention scheme, Kurzarbeit, was made more flexible and generous in response to the crisis. As during the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), the scheme was adjusted from the onset of the pandemic to ease access for firms: the requirement to exhaust work-time accounts was waived, employers' social security contributions on reduced hours were waived, and the threshold for participation was lowered. For workers, benefits were made more generous by providing higher replacement rates on lost income. Take-up of Kurzarbeit benefits increased sharply (see Figure 3) across most sectors of the economy, reflecting the sheer magnitude and reach of the pandemic shock, as well as the significant program expansion.

27. Staff analysis suggests that Kurzarbeit was crucial in keeping unemployment in check and supporting aggregate demand. Comparing data on Kurzarbeit take-up across the 16 German states against state-specific exposure to the COVID shock suggests that absent the increase in take-up, the unemployment rate would have been almost 3 percentage point higher on average during Q2 2020, at the height of the crisis (Text Figure 12, left panel). The extent of the additional increase in unemployment would have been particularly pronounced in states with a large share of employment in contact-intensive sectors. By providing substantial income support and helping with job retention, the expansion of Kurzarbeit also boosted disposable income and reduced the need for precautionary saving. This, in turn, played an important role in supporting private consumption and stabilizing aggregate demand. Without the expansion of Kurzarbeit, the growth of retail trade turnover (a proxy for private consumption) in April-May would have declined by over 20 percentage points instead of only 1.1 percentage points on average (Text Figure 12, right panel).¹³



¹³ See Box 3 and Aiyar and Dao (2021), forthcoming, for details.

28. The parameters of the KA program will be normalized in stepwise fashion over the course of 2021; an appropriate strategy under the baseline. Workers will receive benefits under the expanded program parameters until end-2021, but these expanded benefits only apply to those starting Kurzarbeit before end-September 2021. Full reimbursement of employers' social security contributions on reduced hours will only be granted until end-September 2021, decreasing to half thereafter. This pace of policy normalization is appropriate under the baseline assumption of a robust recovery starting in Q2 and strengthening through the remainder of 2021. Given the uncertainty over whether new infections will lead to more prolonged lockdowns, policymakers should stand ready to extend the expanded Kurzarbeit program beyond this year to limit job

destruction and support domestic demand if such downside risks materialize. As the recovery takes hold, a normalization of Kurzarbeit parameters will be important so as not to inhibit labor reallocation from shrinking to growing firms and industries. Staff analysis suggests that an expansion of shorttime work could be associated with larger misallocation of labor across industries if the underlying need for reallocation is relatively high.

29. Marginal workers and the self-employed are bearing the brunt of job and income losses during the pandemic and will require additional policy support to withstand the income shock and re-integrate into the labor market.

Marginally employed workers, 60 percent of whom are women, make up only 18 percent of total employment but account for almost 74 percent of the jobs lost through Q3 2020 (see Text Figure 13).¹⁴ At the same time, they--and the selfemployed--do not have access to Kurzarbeit and thus suffered the largest income losses even as aggregate household disposable income did not decline (Text Figure 14). The expanded access to the basic income currently in place should therefore be maintained until the job market has recovered sustainably for these most vulnerable groups of workers.¹⁵ To facilitate their transition back into post-pandemic jobs and help with



¹⁴ These numbers include workers who hold marginal employment in addition to a regular one.

¹⁵ Access to basic income is temporarily <u>made more flexible</u> primarily by waiving asset means testing and lifting limits to eligible rent and utility costs for benefit recipients.

Box 3. The Effectiveness of Kurzarbeit During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Germany's short-time work program, Kurzarbeit (KA), with over 60-year track record, is the world's oldest and best known job retention scheme. The main objective of Kurzarbeit is to facilitate employment adjustment during a temporary downturn along the intensive margin, i.e. a reduction in hours per worker, instead of layoffs. The government temporarily subsidizes employers' wage payments for lost hours of work, helping the firm retain its workers, bridge its liquidity shortage, and provide income support to workers. Importantly, amid steep recessions, key parameters of the KA program are relaxed to encourage take-up and stabilize the labor market. The discretionary expansion of KA occurred faster during the COVID-19 crisis than during the Great Recession. Eligibility criteria were relaxed, and benefits were enhanced for workers and employers as early as March 2021 (see 2020 Art. IV SR). The number of workers under KA accelerated to a record 6 million in May, with the uptake widely distributed across sectors.

Staff analysis of the effectiveness of the program during the pandemic exploits regional variation in economic performance, sectoral composition and KA eligibility.^{1/} Using monthly state-level variation in unemployment dynamics and KA take-up, combined with a shift-share measure of state-level exposure to the pandemic shock, Aiyar and Dao (2021) estimate the impact of the program on unemployment. Identification is complicated by the fact that KA take-up is highly endogenous to regional labor demand conditions; hence an instrumental variable is created that captures the pre-existing variation in program eligibility across states. The same instrumental variables approach is then used to estimate the impact of KA on state-level retail trade turnover. This provides novel evidence of the impact of the short-time work scheme on domestic demand.



The results suggest that the expanded KA scheme contributed substantially and significantly to containing unemployment and stabilizing domestic demand. Absent an increase in KA take-up, the unemployment rate during the trough of the crisis, i.e. 2020Q2, would have been on average 2.9 percentage points higher across German states, and as much as 4 percentage points higher in the most affected states. Similarly, without KA, retail trade turnover would have been on average 15 percent lower in 2020Q2, and the contraction in turnover would have been on average more than three times as large in April 2020 during the first lockdown (see Text Figure 12).

1/ Aiyar, S. and M. Dao (forthcoming), "The effectiveness of job-retention schemes: Covid-19 evidence from the German states", IMF Working Paper.

structural transformation from increased automation (see <u>April 2021 WEO Chapter 3</u>), workers should be able to benefit from appropriate training programs and job search assistance. Finally, marginally employed workers are predominantly low-income earners. The ongoing pandemic is therefore disproportionately affecting low-income workers, exacerbating underlying inequality

trends (see IMF, 2019; Dao, 2020). Targeted policies will be needed to redress these dynamics. For example, a reduced labor tax wedge on lower incomes would help ameliorate income inequality and support aggregate demand given the high marginal propensity to consume among lower income households. Reduced social security contributions for low-income workers would also spur hiring of workers most at risk of long-term unemployment.

Authorities views

30. The authorities credited the expanded Kurzarbeit program with stabilizing the labor market and domestic demand amid the large output contraction. They saw the main strength of the program lying in its over 60-year-old track record, as well as its adaptability. They highlighted that unemployment and short-time work increased by much less during subsequent waves of infections and lockdowns compared to the first wave, suggesting some learning effects. The authorities are aware of the potentially adverse side-effects of prolonged Kurzarbeit on post-crisis resource reallocation but saw the envisaged pace of normalization as appropriate, with the benefit of saving jobs currently still outweighing any risk for misallocation. They acknowledged the disproportionate job and income losses suffered by groups not covered by Kurzarbeit, but highlighted the expanded access to the basic income and a special program for the self-employed ("Neustarthilfe") as providing an essential safety net.

31. Going forward, young graduates, students and apprentices are viewed as most in need of re-integration support. While Kurzarbeit was an effective tool to contain a surge in job separation rates, hiring rates have seen a strong decline during the pandemic. Absent a strong recovery in hiring rates, the employment and career prospects of young labor market entrants and apprentices will be particularly affected. However, the authorities expect hiring to pick up as the economy recovers under the baseline scenario. Additional hiring subsidies would only be needed should downside risks to the macroeconomic outlook materialize. They saw merit in considering staff's recommendation of lowering the labor tax wedge on low income earners as a policy to address structural challenges that go beyond the crisis response.

D. Digitization and Innovation

32. The pandemic has highlighted the urgency of a digital transformation. Germany is a world leader in technology and engineering, but trails peers on various metrics of information and communications technology (ICT). The share of high-speed (>100 Mbps) subscriptions is low in Germany compared to peers (Text Figure 15, left panel), especially in rural areas. Germany's mobile broadband subscriptions are also low, due to higher prices for faster 4G data packages relative to comparator countries and still limited 4G coverage.¹⁶ Furthermore, German firms are laggards in adopting key ICT tools required to create value with data (Text Figure 15, right panel). These gaps in

¹⁶ In May 2020, German consumers paid around PPP USD 34 for a 10 GB data plan, while consumers paid PPP USD 22 in Spain, PPP USD 24 in France, PPP USD 27 in Italy, and PPP USD 29 in Sweden (OECD 2020).





33. To expand connectivity, enhance the diffusion of ICT tools, and promote effective use of data by firms that hold important potential for innovation, Germany should:

- Improve access to high-speed broadband networks, particularly in rural areas. To this end, shortening administrative approval times for communication network deployment (particularly in relation to rights of way required to build infrastructure) and improving coordination among public authorities could accelerate the disbursement of public funds.
- Carefully monitor competitive dynamics in the fixed broadband market, and foster competition
 and investment in the connectivity of multi-dwelling buildings. For the mobile market, promote
 competition, for example through facilitating new entrants to a market currently dominated by
 three players.
- Increase ICT training for teachers to ensure effective use of ICTs at schools. Introduce computers
 and programming earlier in the school curriculum to enhance students' digital skills and
 engagement (<u>OECD 2020</u>).

34. Germany's declining productivity growth calls for policy measures to promote

innovation. Policies that foster business dynamism have become even more important in the context of the COVID-19 crisis. This includes policies to facilitate reallocation and technology diffusion, improve access to finance, especially for young firms and for investment in intangible assets, while expediting the restructuring of established firms and the exit of nonviable firms. In addition, digital government can facilitate firm creation by reducing administrative costs.

Raise the cap for R&D tax incentives. Germany introduced R&D tax incentives in 2020, subsidizing 25 percent of up to €2 million R&D expenditure per year, limited to €15 million in total (direct and tax) support per firm. As part of the COVID-19 recovery package, the cap has been increased to €4 million per firm through end-2025. While this measure is expected to

benefit SMEs, further raising the cap could help incentivize R&D at larger "Mittelstand" firms. To spur private investment, the government has recently introduced a faster depreciation schedule for digital goods.

 Promote venture capital (VC). VC investment in Germany grew by 19 percent per year between 2014 and 2019, and some German cities have become hot spots for start-up funding in Europe, notably Berlin (OECD 2020). However, Germany continues to trail many peers, especially for later stage funding (Text Figure 16). The government should further explore ways to encourage later stage (scaleup) capital, especially promoting the participation of institutional investors in venture capital markets. The creation of



an EU-wide Capital Market Union would enhance arm's-length cross-border finance using tradable instruments, allowing firms to tap into a broader investor base and improving young firms' access to venture capital.¹⁷

 Reduce administrative red tape and lower compliance cost. Cumbersome procedures to start businesses and high compliance cost are among the key challenges to entrepreneurship (see 2018 Article IV Staff Report). A third Bureaucracy Relief Act was adopted in 2019, but more can be done to reduce red tape and legal compliance costs. Completing the roll-out of e-Government for the center and states by end-2022, as planned, would also help reduce businesses' administrative burden.¹⁸

Authorities' Views

35. The authorities shared staff's view that providing support for innovation and facilitating private investment are essential, and highlighted a range of ongoing supportive measures. The appropriateness of the ceiling for R&D tax incentives is to be assessed within a broad evaluation in 2025. The government fully recognizes the importance of R&D, while indicating that tax incentives are just one element in addition to numerous targeted as well as generic (technology-neutral) support measures. To further support start-ups at the growth stage, the government will provide €10 bn through KfW for an investment fund for technologies of the future ("Future Fund (Zukunftsfonds)"). Together with private and public partners, this fund is expected to

¹⁷ See <u>Bhatia et al, 2019.</u>

¹⁸ Germany ranks 24th in the EU for digital public services, well below the EU average (European Commission, 2019). Under the Online Access Act in 2017, the digitization of 575 services at the federal and Laender levels should be completed by end-2022.

facilitate at least €30 bn in venture capital for start-ups in Germany. Meanwhile, the second Open Data Act and Data Use Act, which are expected to come in force in 2021, will improve the availability of public-sector data in machine-readable formats in a timely manner, supporting the development of key technologies, such as Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence.

36. The authorities acknowledged the increased urgency of digitalization and highlighted a number of measures taken. The fixed broadband and high-speed mobile networks are expanding. For the mobile network, a newly-created state-owned company, "Mobile Infrastructure mbH," is expected to facilitate the closure of remaining "white spots" by administering existing funding programs, accelerating approval process, and supporting municipalities in the search for new cell towers. The authorities are monitoring competitiveness dynamics in the fixed broadband and mobile network markets. A fourth player is set to enter the mobile market, and will be allowed to use the infrastructure platforms developed by incumbents. The implementation of eGovernment is proceeding, and 315 out of 575 proposed services are already available online. To accelerate the process, especially the integration of existing ICT systems developed at the Länder level, an additional €3 bn has been allocated. Digitalization of schools is a key priority, highlighted also in the RRP, for which the government has earmarked €1.5 bn.

E. Financial Sector Policies

37. German banks have so far weathered the COVID shock relatively well, but their capital could diminish significantly if the economic recovery falters. Staff analysis suggests the aggregate CET1 capital ratio of large German banks could decline going forward as insolvencies pick-up (see paragraph 39).¹⁹ Even though capital buffers remain sufficient to absorb this hit without triggering any regulatory capital shortfall in the banking system, capital erosion could curb new lending just when it is most needed to support the economic recovery. While most capital relief measures (e.g. reduction of the counter-cyclical capital buffer and permission to use the capital conservation buffer) have been extended through at least year-end, it is not clear how long banks will have to rebuild any buffers that are depleted. The authorities should maintain a multi-pronged policy approach to safeguard financial stability during the nascent recovery. First, borrower support (e.g., grants to firms, loan guarantees, Kurzarbeit, tax deferrals) should remain in place until there is good evidence of a sustained recovery. Second, clear supervisory guidance should be issued allowing banks to build back capital buffers gradually to preserve lending capacity, coupled with restrictions on dividend payouts and share buybacks until the recovery is well underway.

38. The crisis has exacerbated long-standing profitability problems in the financial sector, underscoring the urgent need for innovation in business models. As policy support is unwound, rising loan impairments and provisions will add to the pre-existing challenge of low profitability during a prolonged period of very low interest rates. Saving and co-operative banks stand most

¹⁹ Based on a stress test of 26 large German banks; part of a broader analysis of the pandemic's impact on European banks in <u>Aiyar and others (2021)</u>. The initial capital position is as of end-2019.

GERMANY

exposed to a weak economic environment and low interest rates due to their exposure to domestic SMEs and reliance on retail deposits (Text Figure 17). However, they entered the pandemic with higher capital buffers than commercial banks and in the pre-pandemic years, had been able to expand lending volumes and non-interest income in response to the pressure from low interest rates. Commercial banks and Landesbanken, on the other hand, are more exposed to rising funding costs as they rely more heavily on hybrid capital and wholesale funding. Even though the pressure points vary, all banks need to streamline operations to improve



their cost structures, including through consolidation and greater use of digital technologies, and enhance non-interest revenues (e.g. fees and commissions). Completing the financial architecture of the EU—including finalizing the European Deposit Insurance Scheme (EDIS) and the Capital Market Union (CMU)—would facilitate cross-border financial flows and remove impediments to crossborder bank consolidation. Insurance sector profitability has also been compressed by low interest rates and relatively flat yield curves. In this environment, there is relatively limited scope for reallocation on the asset side, particularly since German insurers have a relatively low home bias compared to peers. On the product side, insurers have started to sell products without guarantees, and there has been a shift towards 'hybrid' and 'unit-linked' products.

39. To date bankruptcies and financial losses have been limited, but lingering risks warrant close monitoring. Aided by borrower support measures and insolvency moratoria

introduced in response to the crisis, the number of both business and household insolvencies remained near record lows at end-2020. But bankruptcies, concentrated in hard-hit sectors, have already started to pick up visibly since early this year, and are expected to rise further in the wake of the insolvency moratorium's expiry (Text Figure 18). At the same time, supervisors should closely monitor asset quality and challenge banks' own credit risk assessments as support measures expire. While the lifting of the insolvency moratorium is necessary to facilitate a post-crisis reallocation of resources,



it should be accompanied by adequate liquidity and solvency support targeted at viable firms. Insolvency procedures should be geared towards facilitating efficient restructuring or liquidation where appropriate (see <u>IMF, 2021</u>). The recent transposition into national law of the EU Directive on Preventive Restructuring Frameworks provides a new mechanism to further reduce disruptive insolvencies, allowing companies that are currently in distress but can still demonstrate a sound business model to avoid insolvency. This is a welcome step towards facilitating timely restructuring to avoid costly bankruptcies and loss of firm-specific human capital.

40. Going forward, solvency support for viable firms could become increasingly necessary, but needs to be well-targeted and its design tailored to different firm types. To safeguard financial stability, short-term liquidity support, e.g., in the form of loan guarantees, should remain available as long as the recovery remains fragile. At the same time, support programs will need to adapt to evolving circumstances. As more firms experience capital depletion resulting from the revenue shock and increased borrowing to tide over liquidity needs, solvency support could become increasingly necessary. Such support will need to be carefully designed to cater to different types and sizes of firms and to minimize risks to taxpayer money (see Kammer and Papi, 2021). For micro firms where information asymmetries are typically the largest, grants are often the only feasible option to effectively strengthen the equity positions, and viability assessments would need to rely on backward-looking indicators that reflect pre-pandemic financial health. The EU now allows conversion of publicly guaranteed loans into grants and has prolonged the State Aid temporary framework to end-2021.²⁰ For larger SMEs, in addition to grants, solvency support could take the form of hybrid equity, coupled with government incentives for private investors participation, while viability assessment should rely on a mix of forward and backward-looking indicators. Finally, for large publicly-listed firms, especially those of strategic importance, equity injections by the government could be warranted and viability assessments should incorporate market views of longterm profitability prospects.

41. Vulnerabilities in real estate markets call for close monitoring and addressing

remaining data gaps. The COVID-19 pandemic has elevated risks related to the real estate market,

which was marked by significant price increases in the years prior to the crisis. Commercial Real Estate (CRE) remains susceptible to lower demand following behavioral changes engendered by the pandemic, increasing vulnerabilities of German banks which are among Europe's most exposed to CRE. Residential real estate prices have been rising rapidly over the past decade, especially in major cities (Text Figure 19, Figure 8). However, there is little evidence that this trend has been either exacerbated or reversed by the pandemic. Although household indebtedness remains



²⁰ Germany has not yet transposed this rule into a law.

relatively low, the continued build-up of vulnerabilities in real estate lending warrants close monitoring. The lack of granular data—which hinders a full assessment of potential risks to financial stability—should be quickly remedied. The authorities took an important step in this direction recently, providing a legal framework for the Bundesbank for more comprehensive data collection on residential real estate loans. An assessment of the adequacy of supervisory data should be conducted following initial data collection, and any remaining gaps promptly closed. Germany should also expand its macroprudential toolkit for real estate lending, including income-based instruments such as debt-to-income or debt-service-to-income caps, while recognizing that the CRE sector is characterized by considerable heterogeneity in financing structures.

42. The oversight of nonbank operations should be strengthened. The recent Wirecard scandal underscored the need for reforms to Germany's auditing framework and accounting enforcement. In December 2020, the cabinet approved a draft law that aims to combat accounting fraud by strengthening BaFin's investigative powers over financial statements and outsourced financial activities, while reducing procedural delays by discontinuing the "two-stage" framework.²¹ The law also tightens audit regulation to strengthen the independence of auditors in relation to corporate clients and increases auditor's maximum civil liabilities for breaching fiduciary duties. Information exchanges between BaFin and the Auditor Oversight Body—the government entity responsible for auditor supervision—will also be mandated. In addition, a range of measures has been taken to enhance AML/CFT enforcement. However, better demarcation of the regulatory perimeter of nonbank operators, particularly in terms of financial reporting and AML/CFT activities, is still needed.

43. The 2022 FSAP for Germany is underway and will carry out a comprehensive analysis of the financial sector. Findings and recommendations from the FSAP will be presented in conjunction with the 2022 Article IV for Germany.

Authorities' Views

44. The authorities view the German banking sector as resilient but agreed that rising loan impairments stemming from a potential surge in insolvencies remain a key risk. They stressed that most banks' capital and liquidity buffers remain ample, and that NPL ratios are still among the lowest in Europe. Negative changes in valuation of financial assets reduced bank profits in 2020, but buoyant trading activities in 2020H2 and strong borrower support measures, especially for SMEs, helped stabilize profits over the course of 2020. Going forward, they view existing facilities for solvency support to firms (Wirtschaftsstabilisierungsfonds, state-level equity participation funds, grants, short-time work allowance, federal and state-level guarantees for bank loans) as likely to be sufficient, with take-up remaining comparatively low. The authorities agreed that, with the expiration of the insolvency moratoria, potentially growing credit risks from rising corporate insolvencies

²¹ Under the "two-stage" framework, two entities were in charge: the Financial Reporting Enforcement Panel ("DPR") at the first stage, and BaFin at the second stage. The DPR was a private-sector entity bringing together national employers' associations, trade unions, and industry associations of banks, insurers, and accountants, among other members. BaFin was only empowered to step in when the DPR failed to resolve a problem, resulting in long delays.

warrant close monitoring. The same applies to vulnerabilities in residential real estate lending, given the robust price and credit dynamics and continued overvaluations in major cities.

45. The authorities highlighted recent legislations to reduce data gaps, address auditing shortcomings, and strengthen oversight of nonbanks. They view the data collection process, kickstarted with the February 2021 statutory order providing the legal framework for the Bundesbank to collect data—from banks and non-banks—on residential real estate loans, as a key step in closing existing data gaps. While acknowledging that no loan-by-loan data will be collected, the authorities judge that data are sufficiently granular for a fuller assessment of real estate lending risks. The German parliament passed a bill to strengthen financial market integrity ("Finanzmarktintegritätsstärkungsgesetz, FISG"), which includes reforms to financial reporting enforcement, reinforces the independence of auditors (in relation to audited companies), and strengthens Bafin supervisory activities and powers. The Ministry of Finance (BMF) is preparing a Parliamentary report evaluating the legal basis for the use of existing instruments (e.g., LTV cap and amortization requirement) which also covers the question of expanding the toolbox to incomebased instruments. The authorities have also undertaken several measures to enhance the effectiveness of AML/CFT supervision in the financial sector in Germany, including through initiatives at the European level, such as the creation of a Europe-wide AML/CFT database.

F. Governance and Transparency

46. The extraordinary policy measures and public resources deployed to combat the crisis warrant high governance standards and careful monitoring. To support the economy while safeguarding public resources, all support schemes should be implemented in a transparent manner (April 2021 Fiscal Monitor). Germany's fiscal support schemes rely on institutions and programs that have long-standing track records and established governance standards (see 125, 2020 Article IV Staff Report). Nevertheless, a number of fraudulent claims have been identified, especially with grants provided during the first lockdown period. The government has been reporting the usage of various programs in a transparent and timely manner.

47. Germany has maintained a leading role in detecting, investigating, and prosecuting foreign bribery cases. The 2021 follow-up report of the OECD Working Group on Bribery in International Business Transactions (WGB)²² recognized that Germany remained one of the highest enforcers of the OECD's Anti-Bribery Convention, having sanctioned 378 individuals and 21 companies in 78 foreign bribery cases since 1999. The WGB also acknowledged that Germany has developed tools to improve the authorities' internal collection of case information.

48. The OECD WGB encouraged the authorities to continue efforts to strengthen enforcement against legal persons involved in foreign bribe cases. The WGB noted that Germany's enforcement of its corporate liability regime remained low. This is due to the

²² Information relating to supply-side corruption in this section draws on the WGB's <u>Phase 4 Report of Germany</u> (2018) and the WGB's Summary of and Conclusions on the <u>Phase 4 Written Follow-Up Report of Germany</u> (2021).

discrepancies in the prosecutorial approach to holding natural, as opposed to legal, persons liable across Länder; a fragmented investigative approach; and the heterogenous use of forfeiture orders. The WGB encouraged Germany to adopt the draft Corporate Liability Act as it would improve the investigation and prosecution of legal persons. In addition to legal reforms, the WGB recommended that Germany enhance its effort to foster experience and knowledge sharing across the Länder to ensure a consistent approach in foreign bribery cases, notably concerning corporate liability and the use of non-trial resolutions. The WGB also noted that Germany has yet to amend its legislation to provide clear and comprehensive protection for public- and private-sector whistleblowers. Germany should also ensure that regional courts' specialized economic chambers have the same jurisdiction over foreign bribery cases as for commercial bribery cases, as proposed by a government bill in January 2021. Fund staff agrees with these recommendations and urges the authorities to move forward with implementation.

Authorities' Views

49. The authorities highlighted recent efforts in limiting frauds and implementing key recommendations of the WGB. Frauds related to the COVID measures were concentrated in the Länder's grant programs, especially during the first lockdown period. Drawing lessons from the experience, the authorities have tightened requirements to confirm identity of recipients and expanded audits. Germany welcomes the recommendations by the OECD WGB, which aim at strengthening the legal framework for enforcing the OECD Anti Bribery Convention in Germany even further. Hence, the German Government has already taken concrete steps to address the recommendations, in particular by submitting a comprehensive draft Corporate Liability Act to parliament (Bundestag) in September 2020.

STAFF APPRAISAL

50. The German economy is emerging from recurrent waves of COVID-19 infections and associated lockdowns. GDP fell by just under 5 percent in 2020, a smaller contraction than in most European peers. Subsequently a new wave of infections, together with a global shortage of intermediate inputs, held back economic activity in the first half of this year. The authorities have maintained appropriately accommodative fiscal and financial policies, and most measures supporting households and firms have been extended through 2021. The expanded Kurzarbeit program has helped Germany contain the pandemic's impact on unemployment and support aggregate demand. The current account surplus narrowed slightly in 2020 but the external position is assessed as stronger than the level implied by medium-term fundamentals and desirable policies.

51. A rebound is expected in the second half of the year, but the outlook remains highly uncertain, with the balance of risks tilted to the downside. Growth is expected to gather strength as vaccinations become widely available and lockdowns are phased out. Forward-looking indicators suggest a continued pick-up in exports and an improved outlook for services sector activity, in line with the expected re-opening of the economy and the release of some pent-up savings. However, if the vaccine rollout fails to durably outpace new infections—including mutant

variants—lockdowns may need to be prolonged or reimposed, delaying the recovery and amplifying economic scarring. On the other hand, domestic demand could rebound more swiftly due to the release of pent-up savings, and exports may grow more strongly than envisaged, driven by a sharper economic rebound in key trading partners.

52. The pace of withdrawing fiscal support should be dictated by progress on containing the pandemic and revitalizing the economy. Given considerable uncertainty about the dynamics of the pandemic, it is preferable to err on the side of doing too much so as to minimize scarring effects, rather than too little. Support for households and firms should be continued until there is clear evidence of a sustained recovery, while frontloading public investment to the extent possible. Additional measures should be implemented if the recovery falters. Public debt remains sustainable and fiscal space is ample. As the recovery firms up, a carefully calibrated withdrawal of support should be accompanied by targeted measures to facilitate post-crisis resource re-allocation.

53. Looking ahead, Germany should use its fiscal space to lift potential growth and facilitate structural transformation. Fiscal policy should be deployed to address long-standing structural challenges such as boosting Germany's growth potential through greater physical and human capital investment; incentivizing innovation; bolstering labor supply; and increasing disposable income for low-income households. Making progress towards these goals would also help reduce still large external imbalances.

54. Labor market policies—underpinned by Kurzarbeit—should remain protective until there is evidence of a sustained recovery, while continued support is needed for more vulnerable population groups. The expanded Kurzarbeit has helped Germany contain the impact on unemployment and support aggregate demand. As the recovery takes hold, a normalization of Kurzarbeit parameters will be important so as not to inhibit labor reallocation to growing firms and industries. Job search assistance and appropriate training programs should be made available to workers to facilitate their transition into post-pandemic jobs. Expanded access to the basic income program currently in place should be maintained until the job market has recovered sustainably for workers not covered by Kurzarbeit. To arrest widening inequality, the government could consider reducing social security contributions on lower incomes, which would also spur hiring and labor supply.

55. Several measures could be considered to further strengthen Germany's climate action plan. A more well-specified schedule of carbon prices over a longer time horizon would provide a critical signal for ensuring that new investment is efficiently allocated to clean technologies. Higher carbon pricing in sectors with a relatively low cost of abatement could help reduce aggregate emissions in an economically efficient way. Introducing feebates could reinforce mitigation incentives at the sectoral level. Price-based measures will need to be complemented with government investment in green infrastructure and technologies. To mitigate the potential adverse impact of higher carbon prices on households, existing measures could be complemented by additional relief targeted at lower-income earners.

56. The pandemic has increased the urgency of the long-standing need for a digital

transformation and increased innovation. Changes to ways of working and consuming will likely persist well past the pandemic, underlining the importance of improved connectivity and greater diffusion of ICT tools, including in schools. To accelerate the provision of high-speed broadband networks, the government should carefully monitor competitive dynamics in internet and mobile markets and facilitate new entrants if warranted. Germany should consider further raising the cap for R&D tax incentives, as a complement to the recently introduced faster depreciation schedule for digital goods. Promoting venture capital, reducing administrative red tape, and lowering compliance costs for businesses would help raise investment in promising new technologies.

57. Financial stability should be safeguarded during the nascent recovery. Bankruptcies and financial losses have been contained through early 2021, aided by various borrower support measures and insolvency moratoria. However, bankruptcies have started rising as some of these measures are phased out. Insolvency procedures should facilitate efficient restructuring or liquidation where appropriate, while targeted liquidity and solvency support for viable firms in the form of grants, loan guarantees, and equity support should remain available. To mitigate the risk that bank lending will be curtailed when it is needed most, the authorities should specify an appropriate timetable for banks that find their capital reduced as a result of the crisis to rebuild buffers.

58. The banking sector should accelerate plans to bolster its chronic low profitability.

Banks need to improve their cost structures, including through greater use of digital technologies and consolidation, and enhance non-interest revenues (e.g., fees and commissions). Supporting reforms to the financial architecture at the European level—including the creation of a common deposit insurance scheme—would spur greater cross-border financial flows and remove impediments to cross-border bank consolidation.

59. Vulnerabilities in real estate markets should be closely monitored, and remaining data

gaps filled. Commercial Real Estate (CRE) remains susceptible to lower demand following behavioral changes engendered by the pandemic. The rapid increase in residential real estate prices in recent years warrants vigilance, although the risk is mitigated by the relatively low level of household indebtedness. The authorities should complete as soon as possible the ongoing process of closing data gaps, to allow for a full assessment of potential risks to financial stability. Germany should also consider expanding its macroprudential toolkit for real estate lending, including incomebased instruments such as debt-to-income or debt-service-to-income caps.

60. It is recommended that the next Article IV consultation take place on the regular 12-month cycle.


1/National Accounts Concepts.

2/PMI shows the final estimates. Manufacturing PMI indicates the Overall Manufacturing PMI, which is a composite index based on a weighted combination of new orders, output employment, suppliers' delivery times, and stocks of materials purchased.



34 INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND





The decline in direct and portfolio investments in 2020 was offset by an increase in financial derivative and other investment flows.

The CPI-based REER appreciated through early 2021 with the strenghtning of the Euro, mirroring the U.S. dollar weaknening, while the ULC-based REER depreciated at the same time.

10

8

6

4

2

0

-2

-4

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

-10

-20

-30

0

REER 1/

Sources: Bundesbank, DOTS, GDS, Haver Analytics, IMF *World Economic Outlook*, and IMF staff calculations. 1/ The ULC-based REER is measured using ULC statistics for the manufacturing sector in Germany and 37 trading partners, using the OECD System of Unit Labor Cost Indicators. Note: EA5= Euro area economies (Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain) with high borrowing spreads during the 2010-11 sovereign debt crisis.

INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

37

1/ Leverage ratio is defined as common equity net of intangibles as a percent of total assets net of intangibles.

At the same time, population aging will start weighing on Germany's growth potential.

...but Germany trails peers in the use of digital government ...

Use of Digital Government 1/

1/ OECD Digital Government Index, which takes a value between 0 and 1 with 1 being more advanced in digital government.

Easing financing for young innovative firms, cutting red tape, and promoting e-government can increase Germany's productivity.

Sources: Destatis; OECD; PISA (2018); Sorbe, Gal, Nicoletti, and Timiliotis, "DIGITAL DIVIDEND: POLICIES TO HARNESS THE PRODUCTIVITY POTENTIAL OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES" (OECD Economic Policy Paper No. 26, February 2019); and World Intellectual Property Organization, and IMF staff calculations.

				Projectior	ns
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
National accounts	(Perce	ent change,	working-da	ay adjusted)	
GDP	1.3	0.6	-5.1	3.6	4.2
Private consumption	1.5	1.6	-6.2	1.0	7.0
Public consumption	1.2	2.7	3.7	2.6	-0.3
Gross fixed investment	3.6	2.5	-3.8	5.2	4.5
Construction	2.7	3.8	1.5	4.6	3.9
Machinery and equipment	4.5	0.7	-12.6	8.2	5.5
Final domestic demand	1.9	2.1	-3.5	2.3	4.7
Inventory accumulation 1/	-0.1	-0.7	-0.7	0.8	0.0
Total domestic demand	1.8	1.3	-4.3	3.1	4.7
Exports of goods and services	2.5	1.0	-10.2	9.6	5.4
Imports of goods and services	3.8	2.6	-9.0	9.2	6.7
Foreign balance 1/	-0.4	-0.6	-1.1	0.7	-0.3
	(F	Percent cha	nge, non-ao	djusted)	
GDP	1.3	0.6	-4.8	3.6	4.1
Private consumption	1.5	1.6	-6.0	1.2	6.8
Public consumption	1.2	2.7	3.7	2.6	-0.4
Gross fixed investment	3.5	2.5	-2.7	4.8	4.4
Construction	2.6	3.8	2.3	4.5	3.8
Machinery and equipment	4.4	0.5	-11.6	8.2	5.4
Final domestic demand	1.9	2.0	-3.1	2.3	4.6
Inventory accumulation 1/	-0.1	-0.7	-0.9	0.8	0.0
Total domestic demand	1.8	1.2	-4.1	3.1	4.6
Exports of goods and services	2.3	1.0	-9.4	9.6	5.3
Imports of goods and services	3.6	2.6	-8.4	9.2	6.6
Foreign balance 1/	-0.4	-0.6	-0.9	0.7	-0.3
Output gap (percent of potential GDP)	1.2	0.4	-2.9	-2.1	-0.3
Unemployment		()	Percent)		
Unemployment rate 2/	3.4	3.2	4.2	4.1	3.7
Unemployment rate 3/	3.2	2.9	4.0		
Prices and incomes		(Perc	ent change))	
GDP deflator	1.7	2.2	1.6	2.3	1.4
Consumer price index (harmonized)	1.9	1.4	0.4	2.6	1.2
Consumer price index (harmonized), core	1.5	1.4	0.9	2.1	1.5
Compensation per employee (total economy)	2.9	3.0	0.6	1.5	2.4
Unit labor cost (total economy)	3.0	3.3	4.6	-1.1	-0.8
Real disposable income 4/	1.9	1.5	0.0	-0.4	2.6
Household saving ratio (percent)	10.9	10.9	16.2	14.8	11.3

Table 1. Germany: Selected Economic Indicators, 2018–22

1/ Contribution to GDP growth.

2/ ILO definition.

3/ National Accounts Concepts.

4/ Deflated by national accounts deflator for private consumption; not SWDA.

			_	Projecti	ons		
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022		
Public finances		(Perc	ent of GDP)			
General government							
Overall balance 5/	1.8	1.5	-4.2	-7.2	-1.8		
Structural balance	1.3	1.3	-2.9	-6.2	-1.6		
General government debt	61.8	59.7	69.7	73.0	70.9		
Federal government							
Overall balance 5/	0.6	0.7	-2.6	-1.1	0.3		
Balance of payments	(Percent of GDP)						
Current account	7.9	7.5	7.0	7.4	7.3		
Trade balance 6/	6.7	6.3	5.7	6.4	6.4		
Services balance	-0.5	-0.6	0.0	-0.4	-0.5		
Primary income balance	3.1	3.2	2.8	2.9	2.9		
Secondary income balance	-1.5	-1.4	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
Monetary data		(Perc	ent change:)			
Money and quasi-money (M3) 7/ 8/	4.5	4.6	8.2				
Credit to private sector 7/	4.9	5.4	4.9				
Interest rates		(Period av	erage in pe	ercent)			
Three-month interbank rate 7/	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4				
Yield on ten-year government bonds 7/	0.4	-0.2	-0.5				
Exchange rates							
Euro per US\$	0.85	0.89	0.88				
Nominal effective rate (2005=100) 9/	102.5	101.4	103.8				
Real effective rate (2005=100) 10/	97.0	95.4	96.7				
Memorandum Items:							
Nominal GDP (billions of euros)	3356.4	3449.1	3336.2	3536.1	3731.		
Population growth (percent)	0.3	0.2	0.1				
GDP per capita (thousands of euros)	40.5	41.5	40.1				

Sources: Deutsche Bundesbank, Federal Statistical Office, IMF staff estimates and projections.

5/ Net lending/borrowing.

6/ Excluding supplementary trade items.

7/ Data refer to end of December.

8/ Data reflect Germany's contribution to M3 of the euro area.

9/ Nominal effective exchange rate, all countries.

10/ Real effective exchange rate, CPI based, all countries.

Table 2. Ge	ermany	: Genei	ral Gov	vernme	nt Ope	rations	, 2017-	-26		
		(P	ercent	of GDP)					
							Projectio	ons		
	2017	2018	2019	2020 1/	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Revenue	45.6	46.3	46.7	46.8	46.2	46.3	46.5	46.4	46.4	46.4
Taxes	23.5	23.9	24.0	23.2	22.7	23.2	23.3	23.3	23.4	23.4
Indirect taxes	11.1	11.1	11.2	10.8	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.2
Direct taxes	12.5	12.8	12.8	12.3	11.8	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2
Social contributions	16.9	17.1	17.3	18.2	18.0	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6
Grants	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1
Other current revenue	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3
Expense	44.2	44.5	45.2	51.1	53.2	47.9	46.8	46.3	45.9	45.9
Compensation of employees	7.7	7.7	7.9	8.5	8.1	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Goods and services	5.2	5.2	5.3	6.1	6.5	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.4
Interest	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Subsidies	0.8	0.9	0.9	2.1	3.5	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Social benefits	24.0	24.0	24.5	27.1	26.7	26.4	25.9	25.4	25.2	25.2
Social benefits in kind	8.5	8.5	8.7	9.3	9.2	9.2	9.0	8.9	8.8	8.8
Social transfers	15.5	15.5	15.8	17.8	17.5	17.2	16.9	16.5	16.4	16.4
Pensions	8.9	8.9	9.1	9.8	9.6	9.6	9.4	9.3	9.3	9.3
Child benefits	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7
Unemployment benefits	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.9	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3
Other social transfers	4.7	4.8	4.9	5.2	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.2	5.0	5.0
Other expense	5.5	5.8	5.8	6.6	7.8	6.1	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Gross public investment	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
Net acquisition of nonfinancial assets	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Net lending/borrowing	1.4	1.8	1.5	-4.2	-7.2	-1.8	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5
Primary balance	2.4	2.8	2.3	-3.5	-6.7	-1.3	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.9
Memorandum items:										
Structural balance	1.1	1.3	1.3	-2.9	-6.2	-1.6	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5
Change in structural balance	-0.1	0.1	0.0	-4.2	-3.3	4.6	1.2	0.3	0.5	0.0
Structural primary balance	2.2	2.2	2.1	-2.3	-5.7	-1.1	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.9
Change in structural primary balance	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	-4.3	-3.4	4.6	1.2	0.3	0.5	0.0
Public gross debt (Maastricht definition)	65.1	61.8	59.7	69.7	73.0	70.9	69.3	67.3	64.7	62.3

r Constal Covernment Operations 2017 26

Sources: Bundesbank, Federal Statistical Office, Ministry of Finance, and IMF staff estimates and projections. 1/ Data on fiscal balances and their components are as of February 24, 2021.

					Projections						
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
Real sector		(Perc	entage cha	nge unless	otherwise	indicated, v	vorking-da	y adjusted)			
Real GDP	2.9	1.3	0.6	-5.1	3.6	4.2	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.1	
Total domestic demand	2.9	1.8	1.3	-4.3	3.1	4.7	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.2	
Private consumption	1.8	1.5	1.6	-6.2	1.0	7.0	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.2	
Households saving ratio (in percent)	10.6	10.9	10.9	16.2	14.8	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.1	
Foreign balance (contribution to growth)	0.2	-0.4	-0.6	-1.1	0.7	-0.3	0.2	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	
	(Percentage change unless otherwise indicated, non-adjusted)										
Real GDP	2.6	1.3	0.6	-4.8	3.6	4.1	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.1	
Total domestic demand	2.7	1.8	1.2	-4.1	3.1	4.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	
Private consumption	1.5	1.5	1.6	-6.0	1.2	6.8	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.2	
Households saving ratio (in percent)	10.6	10.9	10.9	16.2	14.8	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.1	
Foreign balance (contribution to growth)	0.1	-0.4	-0.6	-0.9	0.7	-0.3	0.2	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	
Output gap (percent of potential GDP)	1.0	1.2	0.4	-2.9	-2.1	-0.3	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
			(Per	centage cha	ange unless	otherwise	indicated)				
Employment (millions of persons)	41.5	41.7	42.2	42.3	42.5	42.7	42.8	42.8	42.7	42.6	
Labor productivity (per employed person)	1.2	-0.1	-0.3	-3.8	2.7	3.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	
Consumer prices	1.7	1.9	1.4	0.4	2.6	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	
Consumer prices (core)	1.6	1.5	1.4	0.9	2.1	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.8	2.0	
Compensation per employee	2.5	2.9	3.0	0.6	1.5	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.7	
External sector					(Percent of	GDP)					
Current account balance	7.8	7.9	7.5	7.0	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	6.7	
Trade balance (goods and services)	7.1	6.2	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.4	
Net international investment position	56.3	63.1	71.9	76.2	78.8	81.1	85.4	89.7	93.5	97.2	
General government											
Overall balance	1.4	1.8	1.5	-4.2	-7.2	-1.8	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	
Gross debt	65.1	61.8	59.7	69.7	73.0	70.9	69.3	67.3	64.7	62.3	

GERMAN	Y
--------	---

T	Table 4. C	German	y: Balaı	nce of F	aymen	ts, 2017	7–26 1/			
			(Perce	ent of G	DP)					
							Projectio	ons		
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Current account	7.8	7.9	7.5	7.0	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	6.7
Trade balance	7.1	6.2	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.4
Trade in goods	7.8	6.7	6.3	5.7	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.3	6.1	6.0
Exports	38.6	38.5	37.8	35.7	37.9	37.5	37.6	37.8	37.8	37.7
Imports	30.7	31.8	31.5	30.0	31.5	31.1	31.3	31.5	31.7	31.8
Trade in services	-0.7	-0.5	-0.6	0.0	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
Exports	8.7	8.9	9.1	8.2	7.8	8.1	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.8
Imports	9.4	9.5	9.7	8.1	8.2	8.6	8.8	9.1	9.2	9.3
Primary income balance	2.3	3.1	3.2	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9
Secondary income balance	-1.6	-1.5	-1.4	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5
Capital and Financial Account	8.4	7.4	5.9	6.8	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	6.7
Capital account	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Financial account	8.5	7.3	5.9	6.9	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.1	6.9	6.7
Direct Investment	1.0	0.6	2.2	0.0	0.9	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.8
Abroad	4.0	4.6	4.0	2.9	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.5
Domestic	3.0	4.0	1.7	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7
Portfolio investment balance	6.2	4.6	2.1	1.3	3.0	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.2
Financial derivatives	0.3	0.7	0.7	3.0	1.1	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8
Other financial transactions	0.9	1.5	0.9	2.7	2.4	3.2	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
Change in reserve assets	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net errors and omissions	0.8	-0.5	-1.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Sources: Bundesbank, Federal Statistical Office, IMF Statistics Department, and IMF staff estimates.

1/ Based on Balance of Payments Manual 6.

Table 5. Germany: Interna	tional	Invest	ment	Positio	on, 20	12-20	1/		
(F	Percent	of GD	P)						
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Assets	266.0	247.1	261.7	260.8	264.2	259.7	257.7	274.9	308.3
Direct investment	53.3	54.0	56.2	59.4	60.3	61.1	62.9	66.1	70.3
Portfolio investment	76.2	79.5	86.5	88.2	90.1	91.2	86.9	96.9	106.6
Equity and investment fund shares	20.6	23.7	26.4	28.9	30.5	33.7	30.5	37.2	42.2
Debt securities	55.6	55.8	60.1	59.3	59.6	57.5	56.4	59.7	64.3
Financial derivatives (other than reserves) and employee stock options	34.8	22.4	27.0	22.0	19.5	14.6	12.7	18.1	25.1
Other investment	94.9	86.1	86.6	85.9	88.7	87.6	90.0	88.0	99.7
Reserve assets	6.9	5.1	5.4	5.3	5.6	5.1	5.2	5.8	6.6
Liabilities	237.3	212.5	221.0	214.2	212.9	203.4	194.6	203.0	232.0
Direct investment	40.0	41.3	41.3	42.2	42.9	43.9	45.6	46.8	51.7
Portfolio investment	92.7	87.7	90.3	87.1	83.3	78.3	68.5	72.6	81.3
Equity and investment fund shares	19.4	22.2	21.4	22.2	21.8	22.7	17.0	19.1	19.4
Debt securities	73.4	65.4	68.9	64.9	61.5	55.5	51.5	53.5	62.0
Financial derivatives (other than reserves) and employee stock options	34.5	22.0	27.8	22.5	20.4	15.0	13.3	19.1	25.4
Other investment	70.0	61.6	61.5	62.4	66.2	66.2	67.3	64.5	73.6
Net International Investment Position	28.7	34.7	40.8	46.6	51.4	56.3	63.1	71.9	76.2
Direct investment	13.3	12.7	14.8	17.2	17.4	17.3	17.3	19.3	18.6
Portfolio investment	-16.5	-8.1	-3.8	1.1	6.7	12.9	18.4	24.3	25.2
Financial derivatives (other than reserves) and employee stock options	0.2	0.4	-0.8	-0.5	-0.9	-0.4	-0.6	-0.9	-0.3
Other investment	24.8	24.6	25.1	23.6	22.6	21.5	22.7	23.5	26.2

1/ Based on Balance of Payments Manual 6.

Table 6. Germany: Core Financial Se	oundness Ind	icators	for Ban	ks, 201	5–20	
(P	ercent)					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Capital adequacy						
Regulatory capital to risk-weighted assets	18.3	18.8	19.4	18.9	18.6	19.2
Commercial banks	17.3	17.9	18.8	18.1	18.3	19.8
Landesbanken	19.4	21.4	22.3	20.2	20.0	19.9
Savings banks	16.7	16.9	17.4	17.6	17.3	17.6
Credit cooperatives	17.6	17.7	17.6	17.5	17.1	17.2
Regulatory Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets	15.7	16.3	16.9	16.6	16.5	17.2
Commercial banks	15.5	16.0	16.7	16.0	16.4	17.6
Landesbanken	15.6	16.6	17.5	15.6	15.7	15.8
Savings banks	14.8	15.2	15.8	16.2	16.1	16.4
Credit cooperatives	14.1	14.5	14.8	15.0	14.9	15.4
Asset composition and quality						
Sectoral distribution of loans to total loans						
Loan to households	29.0	28.5	28.6	29.1	29.5	28.4
Commercial banks	22.2	20.9	20.8	21.4	22.2	21.1
Landesbanken	5.5	5.4	5.0	4.2	4.0	3.8
Savings banks	58.2	57.8	57.1	55.3	54.5	51.6
Credit cooperatives	68.8	68.2	67.0	66.0	64.7	61.5
Loans to non-financial corporations	15.2	14.9	15.1	15.7	16.1	15.4
Commercial banks	12.0	11.0	11.4	12.6	13.1	11.9
Landesbanken	23.5	24.1	23.3	22.2	21.9	20.9
Savings banks	22.4	23.1	24.0	25.1	25.2	24.2
Credit cooperatives	16.8	17.4	18.3	19.0	19.6	19.6
NPLs to gross loans	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.7
Commercial banks	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	2.1
Landesbanken	4.5	3.6	3.2	1.7	0.9	0.9
Savings banks	1.9	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.5
Credit cooperatives	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.7
NPLs net of provisions to capital	17.4	14.7	11.9	9.1	6.8	6.2
Commercial banks	6.9	9.2	5.5	6.1	3.0	9.3
Landesbanken	42.2	30.7	30.1	10.6	4.9	5.9
Savings banks	19.7	16.3	13.6	11.9	10.4	3.0
Credit cooperatives	19.5	17.3	15.9	14.4	12.5	5.2

(Percent)									
(2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Earnings and profitability									
Return on average assets (after-tax)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0				
Commercial banks	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.5				
Landesbanken	0.1	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.1				
Savings banks	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4				
Credit cooperatives	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6				
Return on average equity (after-tax)	4.0	4.3	4.1	2.4	-0.4				
Commercial banks	2.2	3.2	2.8	1.5	-9.0				
Landesbanken	1.9	-2.0	1.0	-3.9	1.6				
Savings banks	6.5	7.4	6.7	4.8	4.8				
Credit cooperatives	7.4	8.4	7.1	5.5	6.6				
Interest margin to gross income	75.0	71.2	69.5	72.3	69.5				
Commercial banks	67.0	63.4	60.7	67.8	61.8				
Landesbanken	82.5	74.9	73.9	74.2	73.0				
Savings banks	78.2	76.4	73.9	71.7	71.4				
Credit cooperatives	78.4	76.5	75.3	74.6	73.5				
Trading income to gross income	2.9	2.4	4.5	2.9	2.1				
Commercial banks	5.3	2.6	8.0	4.9	3.2				
Landesbanken	5.4	10.2	11.5	8.8	6.4				
Savings banks	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Credit cooperatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Noninterest expenses to gross income	70.4	69.3	71.9	73.1	76.0				
Commercial banks	75.6	74.3	79.4	79.3	84.9				
Landesbanken	69.1	63.6	72.5	76.6	78.5				
Savings banks	68.9	67.8	67.1	68.3	71.4				
Credit cooperatives	66.6	66.6	65.7	66.2	67.2				
Liquidity									
Liquid assets to total short-term liabilities	146.5	146.6	151.3	151.7	161.2	169.6			
Commercial banks	128.4	127.9	131.4	140.3	147.4	157.4			
Landesbanken	139.2	146.4	150.8	126.0	152.6	178.4			
Savings banks	246.3	253.7	263.6	198.6	186.0	187.2			
Credit cooperatives	241.7	246.9	242.2	162.2	169.9	158.6			
Sensitivity to market risk									
Net open positions in FX to capital	4.6	4.0	3.7	3.2	3.7	3.4			
Commercial banks	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.4			
Landesbanken	10.6	6.4	4.0	3.1	2.6	3.2			
Savings banks	4.8	4.4	4.3	3.5	4.0	3.6			
Credit cooperatives	7.9	7.9	8.2	7.4	7.6	7.4			

Source: Deutsche Bundesbank. The authorities provide annual data only and disseminate them once a year.

Table 7. Germany: Additional Financi	al Soundness	Indica	tors, 2	015–20)	
(Percent, unless othe	erwise indicate	d)				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Deposit-taking institutions						
Capital to assets	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.5	6.3	5.9
Commercial banks	5.2	5.1	5.6	5.7	5.5	4.8
Landesbanken	5.4	5.7	5.4	5.0	4.8	3.7
Savings banks	8.3	8.6	9.0	9.1	9.1	8.7
Credit cooperatives	7.7	7.9	8.2	8.3	8.4	8.2
Geographical distribution of loans to total loans						
Germany	75.9	76.6	78.7	78.0	77.6	79.8
EU-member countries	15.1	14.0	12.6	13.2	14.2	9.4
Others	9.0	9.4	8.7	8.8	8.2	10.9
EX loans to total loans	11.4	11.2	9.8	9.7	9.4	7.6
Personnel expenses to noninterest expenses	51.1	50.3	50.4	50.2	49.3	
Commercial banks	42.8	42.7	42.5	41.5	40.8	
Landeshanken	50.6	45.1	46.0	50.4	49.0	
Savings banks	63.1	62.6	63.3	62.2	61.7	
Credit cooperatives	60.3	60.0	597	59.0	573	
Trading and fas income to total income	25.0	28.8	30.5	27.7	30.5	
Commercial hanks	33.0	36.6	39.3	32.2	38.2	
	17.5	25.1	26.1	25.8	27.0	
Landesbanken	21.9	22.1	20.1	29.0	27.0	
Savings banks	21.0	23.0 22 E	20.1	20.5	20.0	
Credit cooperatives	21.0	23.5	24.7	25.4	20.5	
Funding						
Customer deposits to total (non-interbank) loans	85.0	82.1	80.6	81.8	82.1	82.2
Commercial banks	101.7	90.5	84.9	88.3	91.1	83.6
Landesbanken	43.7	39.8	40.0	32.8	35.8	42.6
Savings banks	109.5	109.5	108.0	107.5	105.1	105.9
Credit cooperatives	116.9	117.7	116.2	115.2	112.8	114.7
Deposits/total assets	65.8	66.8	68.8	69.1	66.5	67.9
Commercial banks	66.2	68.5	72.9	73.3	67.7	68.5
Landesbanken	58.6	58.4	60.3	59.9	57.5	60.0
Savings banks	86.6	86.5	86.2	85.9	86.2	86.9
Credit cooperatives	87.1	87.2	87.1	87.1	87.2	87.6
Interbank assets/total assets	33.7	34.9	36.2	35.6	33.9	35.5
Commercial banks	36.4	39.3	41.0	39.4	35.6	37.3
Landesbanken	30.8	30.7	35.5	38.2	36.2	36.9
Savings banks	18.2	17.9	17.3	17.7	17.9	20.9
Credit cooperatives	21.6	21.2	20.4	20.2	20.3	22.4
Interbank liabilities/total assets	21.6	21.0	21.0	21.2	20.1	22.1
	21.0	21.9	21.9	21.2	20.1	22.1
Commercial banks	23.9	26.0	20.8	25.3	23.1	25.5
Landesbanken	28.1	27.0	27.5	30.8	27.8	31.1
Savings banks	11.9	11.1	10.7	10.2	10.3	11.6
Credit cooperatives	12.7	12.3	12.6	12.4	12.4	13.9
Loans/assets	41.1	41.6	42.8	44.3	43.3	41.6
Commercial banks	29.3	29.7	32.0	34.7	32.8	30.8
Landesbanken	43.9	46.1	44.9	44.6	43.9	42.6
Savings banks	65.1	65.5	66.1	66.0	66.2	63.7
Credit cooperatives	61.8	62.0	62.6	63.1	63.5	61.8
Securities holdings/assets	18.5	17.4	16.7	16.2	15.3	14.1
Commercial banks	12.6	11.9	11.3	10.7	9.7	8.6
Landesbanken	19.9	18.2	16.9	15.7	15.1	13.3
Savings banks	25.2	24.6	23.7	23.2	22.2	20.8
Credit cooperatives	26.9	26.8	26.0	25.4	24.2	23.3
Spread between highest and lowest interbank rates 1/	8 QU	3 5 1	413		494	59 2
Spread between reference loan and donosit rates 1/	201	280	260	 212	-+ J.+ 225	202
Spread between reference toan and deposit rates 2/	501	200	200	242	223	200

Fable 7. Germany: Additional Fig	nancial Soundness Indicators,	2015–20 (concluded)
----------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------

(Percent, unless otherwise indicated)

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Insurance sector						
Solvency ratio, Life	159.3	343.7	393.7	461.2	386.8	373.6
Solvency ratio, Non-life (without reinsurance and health insurance)	311.1	285.5	291.8	288.8	285.1	277.4
Return on average equity, Life 3/	3.1	2.2	3.6	5.4	4.8	
Return on average equity, Non-life 3/ (without reinsurance and health insurance)	3.3	3.9	4.6	4.1	3.9	
Market liquidity						
Average bid-ask spread in the securities market (government bills)	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.004
Corporate sector						
Total debt to equity 4/	90.0	91.0	83.9	101.2	96.3	98.0
Earnings to interest and principal expenses 4/ 5/	1583.1	1875.1	2137.5	2330.2	2278.9	2217.5
Number of applications for protection from creditors 4/ 6/	13056	12056	11967	11434	11434	10566
Households						
Household debt to GDP 4/	52.4	52.5	51.9	52.4	53.5	56.9
Household debt service and principal payments to income 4/ 5/	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.9
Real estate markets						
Real estate prices, new dwellings 7/	92.3	100.0	109.7	118.9	127.4	134.3
Real estate prices, resale 7/	91.7	100.0	108.7	118.0	125.3	133.2
Real estate prices, new and resale 7/	91.8	100.0	108.8	118.2	125.6	133.4
Real estate prices, long time series 8/	117.1	126.0	133.7	142.5	150.8	161.9
Real estate prices, commercial property 9/	129.5	139.8	154.6	163.1	171.7	166.4
Residential real estate loans to total loans	19.2	18.5	18.6	19.4	20.4	19.9
Commercial real estate loans to total loans	5.8	5.6	5.6	5.9	6.3	6.2

Source: Deutsche Bundesbank. The authorities provide annual data only and disseminate them once a year.

1/ Spread between highest and lowest three month money market rates as reported by Frankfurt banks (basis points). The value for 2018 is missing due to the methodology in Q4 2018.

2/ Spread in basis points.

3/ Profits after tax devided by equity.

4/ Indicator compiled according to definitions of the Compilation Guide on FSIs.

5/ Excluding principal payments.

6/ Resident enterprises that filed for bankruptcy.

7/ Residential property price index (yearly average, 2016 = 100); source: Bundesbank calculations based on price data provided by bulwiengesa AG for 127 towns and cities, weighted by transactions.

8/ Residential property price index (yearly average, 2010 = 100, long time series); source: Bundesbank calculations based on varying data providers (until 2005: bulwiengesa AG, from 2006 onwards: vdpResearch, from 2014 onwards: Federal Statistical Office); varying composition of regions and housing types.

9/ Commercial property price index (office and retail property, yearly average, 2010 = 100), source: capital growth data provided by bulwiengesa AG for 127 towns and cities; separate indices are calculated for office property and retail property.

Annex I. External Sector Assessment

Overall Assessment: *The external position in 2020 was stronger than the level implied by medium-term fundamentals and desirable policies. The assessment accounts for certain transitory factors owing to the COVID-19 crisis impact on global trade flows.* The current account surplus is projected to return to pre-pandemic levels as the current shock recedes—with the recovery in the goods trade surplus more than offsetting the lower services balance—and to resume its modest gradual narrowing over the medium term, supported by a gradual realignment of price competitiveness and solid domestic demand. As Germany is part of the euro area, the nominal exchange rate does not flexibly adjust to the country's external position, but stronger wage growth relative to euro area trading partners is expected to contribute to realigning price competitiveness within the monetary union. However, the projected adjustment is partial, and additional policy actions will be necessary for external rebalancing.

Potential Policy Responses: Policies aimed at promoting investment and diminishing excess saving would support external rebalancing and a further reduction of the current account balance towards its norm. In particular, the sizeable fiscal stimulus in response to the COVID crisis is a welcome use of Germany's ample fiscal space. In the near term, policies should continue mitigating the outbreak, while supporting households and businesses in a way that minimizes economic scarring effects and facilitates a swift recovery. If imbalances and policy distortions that existed prior to the COVID-19 outbreak persist in the medium term, a growth-oriented fiscal policy, with greater public sector investment in areas such as, digitization, infrastructure and climate mitigation, would help crowd in private investment, promote potential growth and make the economy more resilient. Structural reforms to foster entrepreneurship (for example, by expanding access to venture capital, and stronger tax incentives for research and development) would also stimulate investment and reduce external imbalances. Additional tax relief for lower-income households, boosting their purchasing power, and pension reforms prolonging working lives would help reduce excess saving and ameliorate external imbalances.

Background. Ge	ermany's positive NIIP r	eached 76 percent o	f GDP by end-2020, more than				
doubling its leve	doubling its level over the last five years. The net rise in foreign assets over this period has,						
however, still fal	nowever, still fallen short of the accumulation of CA surpluses. The NIIP of financial corporations						
other than mon	etary financial institutio	ns is large and positi	ve (65 percent of GDP), whereas that				
of the general g	overnment is large and	negative (26 percen	t of GDP), partly reflecting Germany's				
safe-haven stati	us. The NIIP is expected	to exceed 80 percen	t of German GDP by 2022, as the				
projected CA su	rplus remains large thro	ough the medium ter	m but is expected to be partly offset				
by valuation cha	anges. Foreign assets ar	e well diversified by	instrument. The stock of Germany's				
TARGET2 claims	on the Eurosystem has	increased during the	e pandemic and associated				
quantitative eas	ing (QE) operations of t	he ECB, exceeding €	1.1 trillion at the end of 2020 (32				
percent of GDP)							
Assessment. W	ith continued implemen	itation of QE measur	es by the ECB, Germany's exposure to				
the Eurosystem	remains large.						
NIIP: 76.2	Gross Assets: 308.3	Debt Assets: 183.4	Gross Liab.: 232.0 Debt Liab.: 165.2				
Background. The	CA surplus has widene	d significantly since a	2001, peaking at 8.6 percent of GDP				
in 2015 and falli	ng gradually since then	. At 7.0 percent of G	DP in 2020, the CA surplus narrowed				
slightly from 20	19, despite an improvec	balance on oil and	gas as well as services (driven in turn				
by a sharp fall in	nglobal oil prices and in	n outbound tourism).	. The bulk of the CA surplus reflects				
the large saving	-investment surplus of I	households. The savi	ng investment balance of the				
government is e	expected to turn strongly	y negative due to th	e unprecedented fiscal stimulus, while				
the NFC balance	e is also projected to be	negative due to low	er profits.				
Assessment Th	e cyclically adjusted CA	halance is estimated	d by the FBA model to reach				
6.9 percent of G	DP Staff accesses the C	Λ norm at 2 to Λ nor	cent of GDP with a midpoint				
0.35 percent of							
	THE ADOVE THE 2 6 DOLC						
	Background. Ge doubling its leve however, still fal other than mone of the general g safe-haven statu projected CA su by valuation cha TARGET2 claims quantitative easi percent of GDP) Assessment. Wi the Eurosystem NIIP: 76.2 Background. The in 2015 and falli slightly from 20' by a sharp fall in the large saving government is e the NFC balance Assessment. Th 6.9 percent of G	 Background. Germany's positive NIIP r doubling its level over the last five year however, still fallen short of the accumu other than monetary financial institutio of the general government is large and safe-haven status. The NIIP is expected projected CA surplus remains large through valuation changes. Foreign assets an TARGET2 claims on the Eurosystem has quantitative easing (QE) operations of the percent of GDP). Assessment. With continued implement the Eurosystem remains large. NIIP: 76.2 Gross Assets: 308.3 Background. The CA surplus has widened in 2015 and falling gradually since them slightly from 2019, despite an improved by a sharp fall in global oil prices and in the large saving-investment surplus of government is expected to turn strongle the NFC balance is also projected to be Assessment. The cyclically adjusted CA 6.9 percent of GDP. 	 Background. Germany's positive NIIP reached 76 percent of doubling its level over the last five years. The net rise in fore however, still fallen short of the accumulation of CA surplus other than monetary financial institutions is large and positi of the general government is large and negative (26 percen safe-haven status. The NIIP is expected to exceed 80 percen projected CA surplus remains large through the medium tere by valuation changes. Foreign assets are well diversified by TARGET2 claims on the Eurosystem has increased during the quantitative easing (QE) operations of the ECB, exceeding € percent of GDP). Assessment. With continued implementation of QE measure the Eurosystem remains large. NIIP: 76.2 Gross Assets: 308.3 Debt Assets: 183.4 Background. The CA surplus has widened significantly since 3 in 2015 and falling gradually since then. At 7.0 percent of G slightly from 2019, despite an improved balance on oil and by a sharp fall in global oil prices and in outbound tourism), the large saving-investment surplus of households. The saving overnment is expected to turn strongly negative due to the NFC balance is also projected to be negative due to low 				

	scale immigration on national savings. Staff also assesses the cyclically adjusted CA balance to be 0.6 percent of GDP lower than estimated by the model to account for the temporary sharp drop in outbound travel (-0.7 percent of GDP) and in the volume of oil trade associated with the pandemic (-0.1 percent of GDP), partially offset by larger net imports of medical goods (0.2 percent of GDP). Taking these factors into account, staff assesses the 2020 CA gap to be in the range of 2.4 to 4.4 percent of GDP with a midpoint of 3.4 percent of GDP. ¹								
2020 (% GDP)	Actual CA: 7.0	Cycl. Adj. CA: 6.9	EBA Norm: 2.6	EBA Gap: 4.4	COVID-19 Adj.: -0.6	Other Adj.: -0.35	Staff CA Gap: 3.4		
Real Exchange Rate	Background 2019, reflecti partners—no Assessment. of about 0.4) T an overvaluat REER to be u	 Background. The yearly average CPI-based REER appreciated by 1.3 percent in 2020 relative to 2019, reflecting primarily the appreciation of the euro against the currencies of key trading partners—notably the US dollar. Assessment. The staff CA gap implies a REER gap of -9.2 percent in 2020 (applying an estimated elasticity of about 0.4) The EBA REER Level and Index models suggest an undervaluation of 15.5 percent and an overvaluation of 5.5 percent, respectively.² Consistent with the staff CA gap, staff assesses the PEEP to be undervalued in the range of 4.2 to 14.2 percent with a midpoint of 0.2 percent. 							
Capital and Financial Accounts: Flows and Policy Measures	Background capital and fi outflows shra positive but o Assessment. risks.	In 2020, net d nancial accour ank due to incr declined due to Safe-haven st	lerivatives and o hts balance. Rev eased foreign p b higher inflows atus and the sti	other investmer versing a long-s ourchases of do s. rength of Germa	nt outflows com tanding trend, n mestic debt. Ne any's current ext	orised the bulk et portfolio inv t FDI outflows r ernal position l	of the estment emained limit		
FX Intervention and Reserves Level	Background Assessment. The currency	. The euro has Reserves held floats freely.	the status of g by euro area c	lobal reserve cu ountries are typ	rrency. vically low relativ	e to standard r	netrics.		
¹ For Germany, the bulk of the EBA-estimated gap for 2020 reflects the regression's residual rather than gaps in the policy variables included in the EBA model. ² The EBA REER Index model has an unusually poor fit for Germany.									

² The EBA REER Index model has an unusually poor fit for Germany.

	Source of Risks	Relative Likelihood	Impact	Policy Response
G	lobal conjunctural and structural i	risks		
•	 Unexpected shifts in COVID- 19 pandemic. Asynchronous progress. Limited access to, and longer- than-expected deployment of, vaccines in some countries— combined with dwindling policy space—prompt a reassessment of their growth prospects (for some Emerging and Frontier Markets triggering capital outflows, depreciation and inflation pressures, and debt dofaults) 	М	M/H External demand is lower than expected in some trade partners.	 Maintain and intensify if needed public health measures, in particular large-scale testing and contact tracing, and measures to expand mass vaccination as rapidly as possible. Extend COVID-19 fiscal measures, with additional support for bouseholds
•	Prolonged pandemic . The disease proves harder to eradicate (e.g., due to new virus strains, short effectiveness of vaccines, or widespread unwillingness to take them), requiring costly containment efforts and prompting persistent behavioral changes rendering many activities unviable. For countries with policy space, prolonged support—while needed to cushion the economy— exacerbates stretched asset valuations, fueling financial vulnerabilities. For those with limited space, especially EMs, policy support is insufficient	M	M/H Demand in contact- intensive services remains low for longer amid dwindling support for continued large fiscal measures.	 Carefully calibrate capital relief measures to the progress of the pandemic, in order not to impede bank lending.
•	Faster containment . Pandemic is contained faster than expected due to the rapid production and distribution of vaccines, boosting confidence and economic activity.	М	M/H	

Annex II. Risk Assessment Matrix¹

¹ The Risk Assessment Matrix (RAM) shows events that could materially alter the baseline path. The relative likelihood is the staff's subjective assessment of the risks surrounding the baseline ("low" is meant to indicate a probability below 10 percent, "medium" a probability between 10 and 30 percent, and "high" a probability between 30 and 50 percent). The RAM reflects staff views on the source of risks and overall level of concern as of the time of discussions with the authorities. Non-mutually exclusive risks may interact and materialize jointly. The conjunctural shocks and scenario highlight risks that may materialize over a shorter horizon (between 12 to 18 months) given the current baseline. Structural risks are those that are likely to remain salient over a longer horizon.

	Source of Risks	Relative Likelihood	Impact	Policy Response
11.	Accelerating de- globalization. Despite renewed efforts to reach multilateral solutions to existing tensions, geopolitical competition leads to further fragmentation. Reshoring and less trade reduce potential growth.	М	H With its high degree of trade openness, Germany is especially susceptible to fluctuations in global demand.	 Continue support for the multilateral rules-based trading system, trade liberalization, and free trade agreements. Let automatic stabilizers fully operate.
111.	Intensified geopolitical tensions and security risks. (Geo)political tensions in selected countries/regions cause socio-economic and political disruption, disorderly migration, higher commodity prices (if supply is disrupted), and lower confidence.	Н	H Loss of social cohesion, amplifying the negative impact on labor markets and firms.	 Extend temporary support for the most vulnerable groups (through a strengthened social safety net).
IV.	Cyber-attacks on critical infrastructure, institutions, and financial systems trigger systemic financial instability or widespread disruptions in socio-economic activities and remote work arrangements.	Μ	H With increased remote work and digitalization, Germany's economic activity would be severely disrupted, and confidence weakened by cyber-attacks.	 Strengthen governance and risk management of large public and private organizations, including in the financial system, and scale up investment in cyber resilience.
Re	gional Risks			
V.	A shift in market sentiment against some high-debt euro area countries. Policy slippages with weak growth outturns in some high-debt euro area countries could raise concerns over debt sustainability, while disregard for the common fiscal rules and rising yields test the euro area policy framework in the medium term.	Н	M A rise in sovereign yields may have knock-on effects on the broader financial sector and affect German banks.	 The authorities should ensure that banks' liquidity and capital buffers are adequate, and engage in contingency planning.
	Desper scorrige of	N4/I	N4/11	
vi.	corporate balance sheets and labor markets. The downturn and structural changes triggered by the crisis leads to waves of bankruptcies despite temporary liquidity support. Job losses in affected firms/sectors become permanent.	IVI/L	Loss of firm-specific human capital triggered by a rise in unemployment. Adverse spillovers to other sectors through lower incomes and intermediate input demand. Further pressure on bank capital adequacy triggering credit tightening.	 Stand ready to implement further policy support measures for firms and workers if needed. Maintain the flow of credit by making sure financial policies are adequately targeted and effectively deployed (e.g., loan guarantees, grants, equity support).

	Source of Risks	Relative Likelihood	Impact	Policy Response
VII.	Key sectors fail to adjust in a timely fashion to technological change and digitalization. Lack of progress in adapting to the technological and digital revolution, as well as pandemic-related change in production and consumption preferences, could undermine Germany's position as an innovation leader.	М	H Loss of competitiveness and shrinking market shares for Germany's key export products (automobiles and machinery) threaten the country's growth model, increasing structural unemployment and lowering potential growth.	 Greater public investment in digitalization, helping crowd- in private investment and boost digital infrastructure. Ensure that the energy transition (from coal to green energy) proceeds as planned. Provide incentives for electric vehicle ownership, including public upgrades to e- mobility.
VIII.	Increase in the share of "zombie" firms . Prolonged untargeted policy support could prevent exit of fundamentally unviable firms.	M/L	M/H Distortion of competition lowers productivity and further slows the adjustment to technological change.	 Phase out policy support as the recovery gains hold. Shift to targeted support to encourage resource reallocation toward growing sectors.
IX.	Faster economic rebound in Germany's trading partners . Demand acceleration in key trading partners due in part to additional policy support—combined with increased demand for German goods, as global consumers substitute services spending for goods consumption —could potentially boost Germany's net exports.	М	Н	 Shift to targeted support to encourage resource reallocation toward growing sectors.

Annex III. Public Debt Sustainability Analysis

On the back of the sharp economic contraction and extraordinary COVID-19 fiscal support measures, Germany's public debt rose by around 10 percent of GDP to 70 percent of GDP in 2020, after falling below 60 percent mark 2019. Due to the temporary nature of the COVID-19 policy measures and the expected economic recovery, Germany's public debt sustainability will not be jeopardized. The debt ratio is projected to fall to 62 percent of GDP over the medium term. A negative growth shock and a combined macro-fiscal shock represent the largest risk to the debt outlook. However, in both cases, debt would return to a downward trajectory after the shock.

A. Baseline Scenario

1. Macroeconomic assumptions. Real GDP is projected to grow by 3.6 percent in 2021, after shrinking by 4.8 percent in 2020. Growth will converge to its potential over the medium run, estimated at 1.1 percent per year, and inflation—measured by the GDP deflator—will reach around 2 percent. Sovereign interest rates remain low and are currently negative up to a 12-year maturity. Average interest rates on public debt are expected to continue falling, from 1.1 percent in 2020 to 0.8 percent in 2026.¹

2. Germany's temporarily high level of public debt warrants use of the high scrutiny framework. Public gross debt in 2021 is expected to be above the indicative DSA threshold (60 percent of GDP) for high scrutiny. Debt is projected to increase further in 2021, reaching 73 percent of GDP, reflecting continued extraordinary fiscal support to combat the economic fallout from and support recovery. Due to the temporary nature of the policy measures and the expected economic recovery, the debt ratio will fall back to 62 percent of GDP by 2026. Estimated gross financing needs will decline from 25 percent of GDP in 2021 to below 7 percent of GDP in 2026.

3. The realism of baseline assumptions. Previous forecasts of macro-fiscal variables have been conservative. The median forecast error for real GDP growth during 2011–19 is close to zero. The median forecast error for inflation (GDP deflator) is 0.33 percent, suggesting that the staff underestimated inflation in the past (particularly post-2010). The median forecast bias for the primary balance is 0.53 percent of GDP, relatively conservative for surveillance countries.

4. The projected fiscal adjustment is feasible. The maximum 3-year adjustment of the cyclically-adjusted primary balance (CAPB) lies in the top quartile of historical and cross-country experience. However, this adjustment mainly reflects the phasing-out of the sizable and temporary fiscal measures adopted in response to the pandemic.

¹ The interest rate on new borrowing is derived from forecasts of the real interest rate and inflation, and it does not necessarily match market-based interest rate forecasts. Using market-based forecasts would make little difference to the debt sustainability analysis.

B. Shocks and Stress Tests Through the Medium Term

5. Germany's government debt should remain below the elevated level of 2021 over the medium term under plausible macro-fiscal shocks, while gross financing needs should fall below 10 percent of GDP. Under all considered macro-fiscal stress tests, both the debt-to-GDP ratio and gross financing needs either continue to drop or return to a downward path after the shock. Temporary shocks to real GDP growth or a combined macro-fiscal shock would drive a temporary increase in debt. At the same time, gross financing needs would continue to decrease throughout the projection period. Given the historical variability of growth, Germany's debt dynamics are most sensitive to growth shocks (detailed results below).

List of Shocks and Stress Tests²

- Growth shock. Under this scenario, real output growth rates are lower than in the baseline by one standard deviation over 2022–23 (i.e., by 2.4 percentage points). The assumed decline in growth leads to lower inflation (0.25 percentage points per 1 percentage point decrease in GDP growth), and the interest rate on new debt is assumed to increase 25 basis points for every 1 percent of GDP worsening of the primary balance. Debt would peak at 78 percent of GDP in 2023 in this case, but decline to 70 percent of GDP by 2026.
- **Primary balance shock**. This scenario examines the effect of a dual shock of lower revenues and a rise in the interest rate, leading to a cumulative 3.8 percent deterioration in the primary balance over 2022–26 (half of the planned fiscal adjustment is assumed to materialize). The shock would result in a modest deterioration of debt dynamics.
- **Interest rate shock**. This scenario assumes an increase of 314 basis points in debt servicing costs throughout the forecast horizon, mimicking the historical maximum interest rate experienced since 2010. The effect on public debt and gross financing needs would also be relatively modest.
- **Additional stress test**. Combined macro-fiscal shock. This test combines shocks to growth, the interest rate, and the primary balance; while avoiding double-counting the effects of individual shocks. The impact on debt dynamics is slightly worse than that of a growth shock.
- Additional stress test. Contingent fiscal shock. This scenario assumes a cumulative 3 percent of GDP (about 100 billion euros) additional fiscal cost for public guarantees called over 2022–23. This assumes that contracted guarantees will double from the level of end-2020, and about one-third of the guarantees contracted will be called. While a sizable shock, the impact on debt ratio is relatively limited, with debt-to-GDP continuing to fall rapidly.

² Given that virtually all outstanding sovereign debt is denominated in euros, the scenario of a real exchange rate shock would not have a relevant effect on debt and is therefore not discussed.

Figure A1. Germany: Public Sector Debt Sustainability Analysis (DSA)—Baseline Scenario

(in percent of GDP unless otherwise indicated)

Debt, Economic and Market Indicators ¹	1/
---	----

	Actual				Projections						As of May	26, 2021	
	2010-2018 2/	2019	2020	_	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Sovereigr	n Spreads	
Nominal gross public debt	74.1	59.7	69.7		73.0	70.9	69.3	67.3	64.7	62.3	EMBIG (b	p) 3/	0
Public gross financing needs	15.0	10.7	18.4		25.1	15.7	11.0	8.7	6.4	6.6	5Y CDS (b	p)	11
Real GDP growth (in percent)	2.1	0.6	-4.8		3.6	4.1	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.1	Ratings	Foreign	Local
Inflation (GDP deflator, in percent)	1.5	2.2	1.6		2.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.1	2.1	Moody's	Aaa	Aaa
Nominal GDP growth (in percent)	3.6	2.8	-3.3		6.0	5.5	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.1	S&Ps	AAA	AAA
Effective interest rate (in percent) 4/	2.3	1.3	1.1		0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	Fitch	AAA	AAA
10-year bond yield	1.3	-0.2	-0.5		-0.3	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7			

Contribution to Changes in Public Debt

	A	ctual							Projec	tions		
20)10-2018	2019	2020	• •	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	cumulative	debt-stabilizing
Change in gross public sector debt	-1.3	-2.1	10.0		3.3	-2.0	-1.7	-2.0	-2.6	-2.4	-7.4	primary
Identified debt-creating flows	-2.3	-3.0	9.4		3.5	-1.9	-1.5	-1.8	-2.4	-2.3	-6.4	balance ^{9/}
Primary deficit	-1.4	-2.1	3.7		6.9	1.4	0.1	-0.3	-0.8	-0.8	6.6	-1.5
Primary (noninterest) revenue and grants	44.7	46.5	46.6		46.0	46.2	46.4	46.2	46.3	46.3	277.3	
Primary (noninterest) expenditure	43.3	44.4	50.4		52.9	47.6	46.5	45.9	45.5	45.5	283.9	
Automatic debt dynamics 5/	-0.9	-0.9	2.7		-3.4	-3.3	-1.6	-1.5	-1.6	-1.5	-13.0	
Interest rate/growth differential 6/	-0.9	-0.9	2.7		-3.4	-3.3	-1.6	-1.5	-1.6	-1.5	-13.0	
Of which: real interest rate	0.6	-0.5	-0.3		-1.1	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.9	-0.8	-4.5	
Of which: real GDP growth	-1.5	-0.3	2.9		-2.3	-2.8	-1.1	-0.9	-0.7	-0.7	-8.6	
Exchange rate depreciation 7/	0.0	0.0	0.0									
Other identified debt-creating flows	0.0	0.0	3.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Privatization/Drawdown of Deposits (negative)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Contingent liabilities	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Please specify (2) (e.g., ESM and Euroarea loans	0.0	0.0	3.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Residual, including asset changes ^{8/}	1.1	0.8	0.6		-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-1.0	

Source: IMF staff.

1/ Public sector is defined as general government.

2/ Based on available data.

3/ Long-term bond spread over German bonds.

4/ Defined as interest payments divided by debt stock (excluding guarantees) at the end of previous year.

5/ Derived as [(r - $\pi(1+g) - g + ae(1+r)]/(1+g+\pi+g\pi)$) times previous period debt ratio, with r = interest rate; $\pi =$ growth rate of GDP deflator; g = real GDP growth rate;

a = share of foreign-currency denominated debt; and e = nominal exchange rate depreciation (measured by increase in local currency value of U.S. dollar).

6/ The real interest rate contribution is derived from the numerator in footnote 5 as r - π (1+g) and the real growth contribution as -g.

7/ The exchange rate contribution is derived from the numerator in footnote 5 as ae(1+r).

8/ Includes asset changes and interest revenues (if any). For projections, includes exchange rate changes during the projection period.

9/ Assumes that key variables (real GDP growth, real interest rate, and other identified debt-creating flows) remain at the level of the last projection year.

3/ Not applicable for Germany, as it meets neither the positive output gap criterion nor the private credit growth criterion.
4/ Data cover annual obervations from 1990 to 2011 for advanced and emerging economies with debt greater than 60 percent of GDP. Percent of sample on vertical axis.

2/ The cell is highlighted in green if gross financing needs benchmark of 20% is not exceeded under the specific shock or baseline, yellow if exceeded under specific shock but not baseline, red if benchmark is exceeded under baseline, white if stress test is not relevant.

3/ The cell is highlighted in green if country value is less than the lower risk-assessment benchmark, red if country value exceeds the upper risk-assessment benchmark, yellow if country value is between the lower and upper risk-assessment benchmarks. If data are unavailable or indicator is not relevant, cell is white. Lower and upper risk-assessment benchmarks are:

400 and 600 basis points for bond spreads; 17 and 25 percent of GDP for external financing requirement; 1 and 1.5 percent for change in the share of short-term debt; 30 and 45 percent for the public debt held by non-residents.

4/ Long-term bond spread over German bonds, an average over the last 3 months, 25-Feb-21 through 26-May-21.

5/ External financing requirement is defined as the sum of current account deficit, amortization of medium and long-term total external debt, and short-term total external debt at the end of previous period.

GERMANY

June 22, 2021

STAFF REPORT FOR THE 2021 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION— INFORMATIONAL ANNEX

Prepared By	European Department	
		1
CONTENTS		
FUND RELATIONS	2	2
STATISTICAL ISSUES	4	ŀ

FUND RELATIONS

(As of June 3, 2021; unless specified otherwise)

Mission: May 7–19, 2021 by video conference. The concluding statement of the mission is available at <u>https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2021/05/20/mcs-52021-Germany-</u> <u>Concluding-Statement-of-the-2021-Article-IV-Mission</u>.

Staff team: Mr. Aiyar (head), Mr. Caceres, Mses. Dao, Mineshima (all EUR), Mr. Parry (FAD), Mr. Prasad, and Ms. Oliva (both MCM).

Country interlocutors: State Secretary of the Federal Ministry of Finance Schmidt, Bundesbank President Weidmann, officials from the Federal Chancellor's office, the Finance, Economic Affairs, Environment, Buildings and Interior, and Labor Ministries, the Bundesbank, the BaFin, the Federal Office for Employment, the ECB (SSM), the EIOPA, representatives from the automotive industry, social partners, banks, and think tanks.

Fund relations: The previous Article IV consultation discussions took place during November 2020 and the staff report was discussed by the Executive Board on January 13, 2021. The Executive Board's assessment and staff report are available at <u>https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2021/01/15/Germany-2020-Article-IV-Consultation-Press-Release-Staff-Report-and-Statement-by-the-50020</u>.

Membership Status: Joined August 14, 1952; Article VIII.

General Resources Account:	SDR Million	Percent of Quota
Quota	26,634.40	100.00
IMF's Holdings of Currency	20,432.84	76.72
Reserve Tranche Position	6,224.31	23.37
Lending to the Fund		
New Arrangements to Borrow 368.69		
SDR Department:	SDR Million	Percent of Allocation
Net cumulative allocation	12,059.17	100.00
Holdings	11,850.83	98.27
SDR Department: Net cumulative allocation Holdings	SDR Million 12,059.17 11,850.83	Percent of Allocation 100.00 98.27

Outstanding Purchases and Loans: None

Latest Financial Commitments: None

Overdue Obligations and Projected Payments to Fund^{1/} (SDR Million; based on existing use of resources and present holdings of SDRs):

		<u> </u>	<u>orthcomin</u>	<u>g</u>			
	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025		
Principal							
Charges/Interest	0.08	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31		
Total	0.08	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31		
1/ When a member has overdue financial obligations outstanding for more than							

three months, the amount of such arrears will be shown in this section.

Exchange Rate Arrangement

Germany's currency is the euro, which floats freely and independently against other currencies.

Germany is an Article VIII member and maintains an exchange system free of restrictions on payments and transfers for current international transactions. It maintains measures adopted for security reasons, which have been notified to the Fund for approval in accordance with the procedures of Decision 144 and does so solely for the preservation of national or international security.

Anti-Money Laundering/Combating the Financing of Terrorism (AML/CFT)

Germany was last assessed against the previous AML/CFT standard in 2009/10, with a follow-up in 2014. Since then, the legal and organizational framework for AML/CFT has been comprehensively restructured, including to transpose the EU's fifth Money Laundering Directive (5AMLD). A revised and restructured Anti-Money Laundering Law (Geldwäschegesetz) came into effect on June 26, 2017. At the same time, the authorities introduced a Transparency Register in June 2017, to hold information on the ultimate beneficial owners of legal persons (e.g., companies, partnerships) and arrangements (trusts). The register is accessible to competent authorities, and more broadly to the general public provided that a legitimate interest can be stated. In September 2019, the Anti-Financial Crime Alliance (AFCA) was established as the German AML public-private partnership, where BaFin is a public-sector representative on the Board alongside the Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU) and the Federal Criminal Police Office (BKA). In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, increased emphasis has been placed on digital communication and digital data exchange with the institutions. The vast majority of on-site inspections since 2020 have thus been conducted as "remote audits."

The AML/CFT strategy for the nonfinancial sector comprises better prioritization, improved risk orientation, and enhanced cooperation between key players. To enhance coordination between Laender governments—which are in charge of AML/CFT supervision for the nonfinancial sector—and the federal government, Laender governments have been tasked to create "coordination offices." Faced with the Wirecard incidence, a new regular expert-group meeting on AML/CFT ("Expertenkreis") has been created, which takes place twice a month to exchange information between BaFin and the FIU at the working level. Moreover, BaFin and the FIU established a task force to conduct in-depth analysis on the money laundering-anomalies in the Wirecard case. The ongoing FATF mutual evaluations focus on the effectiveness of AML/CFT systems (i.e., preventive measures, investigation and prosecution), as well as adjustments to the framework (e.g., measures to improve accessibility to beneficial ownership information). Germany's next FATF assessment is due to be adopted in June 2022.

STATISTICAL ISSUES

(As of June 3, 2021)

I. Assessment of Data Adequacy for Surveillance

General: The economic database is generally comprehensive and of high quality, and data provision is adequate for surveillance.

National Accounts: Germany adopted the *European System of Accounts 2010 (ESA2010)* in September 2014, with GDP calculated both annually and quarterly on a current and chained volume basis. Germany has received multiple derogations from *ESA2010* requirements, most of which were scheduled to be addressed by 2020. A direct source for quarterly changes in inventories, which is an important indicator of changes in GDP over the business cycle, is lacking. Extrapolations of changes in inventories are based on the difference between the monthly production index and turnover index in manufacturing.

Government Finance Statistics: Comprehensive data reporting systems support the accuracy and reliability of the government finance statistics. However, these data are based on cash accounting systems, although documentation exists to explain the differences between the general government data in the ESA2010 (noncash) classification and the general cash data on an administrative basis; Germany publishes—through Eurostat—general government revenue, expenditure, and balances on a noncash/accrual basis on a quarterly basis (*ESA2010*) and these data are presented in a *GFSM 2014* format in International Financial Statistics, albeit with delay. Germany submits annual data for publication in the *Government Financial Statistics Yearbook*, in *GFSM 2014* format. Monthly data are disseminated on a cash basis.

Monetary and Financial Statistics: The ECB reporting framework is used for monetary statistics and data are reported to the IMF through a "gateway" arrangement with the ECB. The arrangement provides an efficient transmission of monetary statistics to the IMF and for publication in the *IFS*. Monetary statistics for Germany published in the *IFS* cover data on central bank and other depository corporations (ODCs) using euro area-wide residency criterion. Data based on national residency criterion is also published as memorandum items. Germany reports data on some series and indicators of the Financial Access Survey (FAS), including the two indicators adopted by the UN to monitor Target 8.10 of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Financial Sector Surveillance: Germany participates in the IMF's Coordinated Direct Investment Survey (CDIS), Coordinated Portfolio Investment Survey (CPIS) and financial soundness indicators (FSIs) databases. The German authorities compiled a comprehensive set of FSI data and metadata. Of the 40 FSIs, Germany reports all except net foreign exchange exposure to equity (I31). Former FSI ratios on nonperforming loans have been switched from annual to quarterly periodicity. The quarterly data stemming from the harmonized FINREP reporting is due to the EBA ITS on reporting. The former use of data provided by the annual accounts has been suspended in line with the periodicity concept of the SDDS plus.

External Sector Statistics: The Bundesbank compiles the balance of payments in close cooperation with the Federal Statistical Office. Balance of payments, International Investment Position statistics, and related cross-border statistics are compiled according to the sixth edition of the *Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual (BPM6*), and the legal requirements of the ECB and Eurostat.

II. Data Standard	ls and Quality
Adherent to the Special Data Dissemination Standard Plus (SDDS Plus) since February 2015.	Data ROSC from 2006 is available.
Implementing G-20 DGI recommendations : Currently disseminates a residential property price index and a commercial property price index.	

Table of Common Indicators Required for Surveillance					
(As of June 3, 2021)					
	Date of Latest Observation	Date Received	Frequency of Data ⁷	Frequency of Reporting ⁸	Frequency of Publication ⁸
Exchange Rates	Jun 3, 2021	Jun 3, 2021	D	D	D
International Reserve Assets and Reserve Liabilities of the Monetary Authorities ¹	Apr 2021	May 2021	М	Μ	М
Reserve/Base Money ²	Apr 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
Broad Money ²	Apr 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
Central Bank Balance Sheet	Apr 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Banking System	Apr 2021	May 2021	М	Μ	М
Interest Rates ³	May 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
Consumer Price Index	May 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
Revenue, Expenditure, Balance and Composition of Financing ⁴ — General Government ⁵	Q1:2021	May 2021	Q	Q	Q
Stocks of General Government and Government-Guaranteed Debt ⁶	2020	Apr 2021	A	A	A
External Current Account Balance	Mar 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
Exports and Imports of Goods and Services	Mar 2021	May 2021	М	М	М
GDP/GNP	Q1:2021	May 2021	Q	Q	Q
Gross External Debt	Q4:2020	Mar 2021	Q	Q	Q
International Investment Position ⁷	Q4:2020	Mar 2021	Q	Q	Q

¹ Includes reserve assets pledged or otherwise encumbered as well as net derivative positions.

² Pertains to contribution to EMU aggregate.

³ Both market-based and officially determined, including discount rates, money market rates, rates on treasury bills, notes, and bonds.

⁴ Foreign, domestic bank, and domestic nonbank financing.

⁵ The general government consists of the central government (budgetary funds, extra budgetary funds, and social security funds) and state and local governments.

⁶ Including currency and maturity composition.

⁷ Includes external gross financial asset and liability positions vis-à-vis nonresidents.

⁸ Daily (D); weekly (W); monthly (M); quarterly (Q); annually (A); irregular (I); and not available (NA).
Statement by Mr. von Kleist, Executive Director for Germany, Mr. Merk, Alternate Executive Director, and Mr. Krahnke, Advisor to Executive Director July 14, 2021

On behalf of our authorities, we would like to thank staff for the substantive, insightful and constructive discussions, and the well-written and well-balanced report. We appreciate the high-quality analysis, which rightly focuses on the most pressing challenges posed by the COVID-19 crisis and the necessary policies to set the economy on a path to a sustained recovery.

Our authorities largely concur with staff's key findings and recommendations. Continuous efforts to fully overcome the pandemic and to sustainably stabilize the economy remain top priorities. Furthermore, with its recent draft of the 2022 federal budget and the fiscal plan to 2025, the government announces fiscal measures that will continue to provide financial assistance to firms and households, while also promoting a strong, green, and inclusive recovery. Germany is undertaking a broad-based public sector investment initiative focused on education and research, digital infrastructure, transport infrastructure and, overarchingly, the climate-friendly transformation of the economy. The government also continues to be committed to improve inclusiveness, *inter alia* through a lower labor tax wedge in particular on low-income earners, assistance for job transition as well as further measures to address gender inequities in the labor market.

Renewed surges in infections and, consequently, reimposed mobility and contact restrictions from late last year up until spring this year have taken a toll on economic activity, albeit to a lesser extent than during previous waves. In the first half of 2021, the vaccination rollout has been gaining speed and restrictive measures have successfully brought down new infections, ultimately enabling the gradual lifting of restrictions since May. Against this backdrop, our authorities project a strong economic rebound in the second half of the year, driven by continued strong external demand and rebounding domestic demand. At the same time, the spread of more transmissible variants of the virus continues to pose risks to the recovery.

Crisis Response

Overall, the German economy has weathered the COVID-19 crisis fairly well so far owing to effective government action. The unprecedented policy support has stabilized household income and limited economic scarring by safeguarding viable jobs and companies. The extraordinary fiscal measures comprised higher public health spending, grants as well as easier access to loans and tax relief measures like deferrals to firms, subsidies for the extended *Kurzarbeitergeld*, transfers from Federal to subnational governments, a temporary VAT rate cut,

and additional public investment. Due to sound fiscal policy in pre-crisis times the overall debt level is still relatively low compared to other countries or to the peak during the last crisis.

The government is committed to keep up appropriate policy support until the pandemic is fully under control and the economic recovery is firmly underway. At the same time, our authorities continue to monitor the situation carefully and stand ready to employ additional measures if needed. The government is guided by the objectives of protecting Germany's well-diversified and highly competitive economic structures and preserving high-quality jobs. The recently announced draft 2022 budget sets aside EUR 10 billion in precautionary funding to cover unanticipated extra pandemic-induced costs. Another EUR 7 billion is dedicated in 2022 to ensure full financing for business assistance programs and a special fund for cultural events.

A central pillar of the comprehensive policy package that was put in place during the crisis is the enhancement of the short-time work allowance (*Kurzarbeitergeld*). Staff's analysis confirms the effectiveness of this tested tool: The *Kurzarbeitergeld* has not only substantially and significantly contained unemployment but has also stabilized disposable income and, therefore, domestic demand. At the same time, it is worth highlighting that this measure complemented an existing set of well-developed and extensive automatic stabilizers embedded in Germany's tax and social security system, playing an important role in swiftly mitigating the adverse impact of the shock. That being said, our authorities acknowledge that marginal and self-employed workers seem to have been bearing the brunt of job and income losses during the pandemic. Against this background, access to the basic income support for jobseekers and a special program for the self-employed ("Neustarthilfe") was expanded to provide a further safety net for these groups.

In addition to a wide range of fiscal measures, Germany has taken a full set of macroprudential, regulatory and supervisory measures to ensure financial stability and cushion the negative economic effects of the pandemic. These measures have helped to prevent the crisis from spreading to the banking sector and have bolstered financial stability. The occurrence of insolvencies was very low in 2020 due to support measures for companies, exceptional borrower support and fiscal measures, and continues to be limited so far in 2021. However, our authorities agree that these developments warrant close monitoring. At the same time, our authorities view existing facilities for solvency support to firms as likely to be sufficient, with take-up having remained comparatively low.

Beyond tackling the economic fallout from COVID-19 domestically, Germany has also been a strong advocate of advancing global public health by effectively containing the virus globally. The view that "this pandemic is not going to be over anywhere until it is over everywhere" and that only a strong and decisive multilateral approach will enable the global community to overcome this pandemic, has guided Germany's national and international

2

response to the current crisis: Germany has significantly expanded health care spending not only domestically but also internationally, to speed up vaccine development and production and to help achieve a fair distribution of vaccines across the world. To this end, Germany is strongly committed to the ACT Accelerator and the COVAX initiative. Germany is currently among the largest donors to these initiatives, with contributions totaling EUR 2.2 billion; in total, Team Europe has contributed USD 4.8 billion so far. The German government is also stepping up to take its share of international responsibility for development cooperation, humanitarian aid and international climate action and has included roughly EUR 2.4 billion in additional funding for these purposes in the draft 2022 budget.

"Policies for a Strong and Sustainable Recovery"

To sustainably emerge from the crisis with full strength, the German government is continuing to pursue decisive fiscal policy action with a view to expand public investment, promote innovation and facilitate a structural transformation of the economy, including digitalization and decarbonization. To this end, the federal draft 2022 budget provides for EUR 51.8 billion in investment spending. The medium-term fiscal plan envisages government investment levels to remain continuously high, at about EUR 51 billion per year until 2025, demonstrating a firm commitment to reinforce Germany's position as an attractive place to do business. As also outlined by staff in its analysis in Box 1, envisaged additional public investment will have a long-lasting impact on GDP and help to lift Germany's growth potential by expanding physical and human capital investment (*inter alia* by crowding-in private investment) and incentivizing innovation.

While this will also be conducive to external rebalancing, our authorities agree with staff's assessment that the spillover effects of a fiscal expansion in Germany on the rest of the euro area are likely to be limited. In this context, we note that staff assesses Germany's external position in 2020 to be stronger than the level implied by medium-term fundamentals and desirable policies. Our authorities acknowledge that the current account surplus remains high but emphasize that it is affected by many non-policy variables, including demographic change and non-domestic policy influences, including very strong international demand for German goods and euro area monetary policies. Moreover, the EBA model underlying staff's assessment continues to perform rather poorly for Germany, with the bulk of the EBA-estimated gap for 2020 reflecting the regression's residual rather than gaps in the policy variables included in the EBA model.

Decisive climate action is one of the government's key priorities, which is also reflected in the recent approval of amendments to Germany's Climate Change Act (CCA) stipulating tighter emission targets and setting the goal of reaching greenhouse gas neutrality already by 2045. Hence, targeted investment in research and infrastructure to accelerate the green

3

transformation, as recommended by staff, constitutes a significant part of the above-mentioned investment initiative. In the past two years, Germany has earmarked over EUR 80 billion for climate policy measures. A new immediate action program for 2022 was passed alongside the amendments to the CCA and will add roughly another EUR 8 billion to this amount. This will *inter alia* contribute to strong investment in decarbonizing the housing sector, a comprehensive charging infrastructure for electric vehicles, an extension of (local) public transport, and the promotion of hydrogen research and development as part of the National Hydrogen Strategy.

Our government concurs with staff that achieving emission targets will require multipronged policy measures that accompany green investments. Against this background, a market-based mechanism for pricing the CO2 emissions from fuels is being introduced. The carbon pricing system aims to be socially fair and burden-neutral to the economy. Revenues raised through selling emission rights will be redistributed to citizens and companies either directly or indirectly to avoid hardships and to further increase incentives for investments in climate-friendly technologies. Potential adjustments to the mechanism will be reevaluated in the future, with a view to reliably reach the climate targets, also by the development of a specified carbon price path. At the same time, our government holds the view that a carbon price path in line with the climate goals needs to be complemented by supporting sectoral measures, such as stricter regulations and targeted funding measures, as well as public investments. Our authorities take note of staff's recommendation to introduce feebates, however, in practice, these measures might prove to be rather difficult to implement, also due to political economy factors.

Regarding the distributional impact of the crisis, our authorities broadly share staff's assessment that marginally employed workers have been particularly affected, exacerbating risks of rising inequalities. Moreover, staff's analysis rightly highlights that marginally employed workers are predominantly low-income earners. The government will closely monitor these developments and—absent a strong recovery in hiring rates—provide reintegration support if needed. Moreover, the government has expanded access to the basic income support for jobseekers. The rate of social security contributions will be capped at 40 percent in 2021. The government's draft budget 2022 encompasses an additional cap in 2022. It aims to enhance social cohesion and to avoid excessive cost burdens to the insured and their employers.

The government also agrees with staff on the need to support low- and middle-income earners more generally. In this regard, the near-complete lifting of the solidarity surcharge, the increase of child benefits and the increase in individual tax allowances represent a significant tax relief to these households.

Staff rightly accentuates that the pandemic has highlighted the urgency of a digital transformation and the need to further promote innovation, foster private investment, and

facilitate structural transformation of the economy. To this end, the government has embarked on a range of supportive measures, including R&D tax incentives, additional financial support for start-ups at the growth stage, as well as legislative measures aimed at supporting the development of key technologies, such as Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence. Moreover, a number of measures have been undertaken to expand fixed-broadband and highspeed mobile networks and accelerate the digitalization of schools and public services ("eGovernment").

Financial Sector

The German financial sector has weathered the COVID shock relatively well so far, benefiting from resilience built up before the crisis, including strong capital and liquidity buffers, lessons-learnt from the previous financial crisis. Also, and in addition to a wide range of fiscal measures, Germany has taken a comprehensive set of macroprudential, regulatory and supervisory measures which helped to ensure financial stability.

During the pandemic, the profitability of German banks has continued to be relatively low, also due to the prolonged period of very low interest rates. However, this also reflects the highly competitive banking market that provides substantial benefits to firms and households. While staff's view is that smaller banks will likely suffer more losses than large banks owing to their high exposures to SMEs, our authorities note that the effects of the pandemic on bank groups and individual banks is still highly uncertain. To ensure that banks have the capacity to absorb losses and to support the economy through lending, supervisors have been calling on banks to refrain from—or at least limit—dividends and share buy-backs. These measures—in conjunction with the full release of the countercyclical capital buffer—have helped to prevent the crisis from spreading to the banking sector and have moderated the negative economic effects of the pandemic. At the current stage, most banks' capital and liquidity buffers remain ample, and NPL ratios are still among the lowest in Europe. This notwithstanding, and considering the high degree of uncertainty, it will be of the essence to continue to closely monitor risks to the stability of the banking sector and have as a whole, including risks stemming from an expected increase in insolvencies and an accompanied rise in loan impairments.

While the pandemic has elevated vulnerabilities in the real estate market, our authorities do not see pronounced risks to financial stability from the housing market. Households do not appear to be overly indebted by historical standards and there continues to be no indication of substantially deteriorating lending standards. At the same time, developments in real estate and associated lending activities need to be monitored closely. In this context, our authorities have just initiated a data collection process on residential real estate loans which represents a key step to close existing data gaps. The upcoming FSAP will provide an opportunity to discuss any possible needs for real estate-specific extensions of the macroprudential toolkit in Germany.

5

The Wirecard scandal pointed to a need for reforms to Germany's auditing framework and accounting enforcement. The German parliament thus recently passed a bill to strengthen financial market integrity. The bill legislates reforms to accounting enforcement, reinforces the independence of auditors (in relation to audited companies), and strengthens supervisory activities and powers of the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin).