

# What role for privacy-preserving computation for inter-institutional data sharing?

#### Fabio Ricciato

Unit A5 – Methodology; Innovation in Official Statistics Eurostat - European Commission

G20 DGI-2 Workshop on Recommendation II.20 "Promotion of Data Sharing" 24-25 March 2021

# How to deliver information in B from data in A?





# Strategy #2: compute locally at source (only for single source)



## Strategy #3: Trusted Third Party (TTP)



# Strategy #4: privacy-preserving computation (PPC) infrastructure



#### **TTP vs PPC:** delegating control vs. sharing control



Commission

https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cros/system/files/sji190584.pdf

## **Privacy-preserving computation technologies**

- *Privacy-preserving computation technologies* 
  - Secure Multi-Party Computing, Secret Sharing (software)
  - Homomorphic Encryption (software)
  - Trusted Execution Environment (hardware)
  - Different combinations of the above ...
  - ... possibly integrated with distributed ledger technologies
- PPC technologies have been maturing quickly in the last decade, now ready for deployment
- PPC infrastructure := combination of <u>technological</u> and <u>organisational</u> measures
- Privacy-preserving computation-as-a-service?



# Which strategy to prefer?

- Costs vs benefits
  - All four strategies have different benefits and costs, strengths and limitations, ... each strategy entails a different *trust model*
  - Preferred strategy (legally, technically) depends on scenario
- Advantages of PPC
  - Flexible configurations of ex-ante and ex-post controls for different stakeholders
  - Allows each participating institution to **stay in control** of each computation instance (shared, non-exclusive control)
  - Extends naturally to multiple input parties (next slide)
- Potential limitations
  - Computational scalability (depending on technology)
  - Interactive analysis / data exploration may be difficult



#### **PPC with two multiple input parties**



#### **PPC-as-a-service?**



# Legal aspects

- Receiving institutions need anyway a legal basis/mandate to acquire final information y
  - may be less critical than legal basis/mandate to acquire full input data x
- PPC technologies enable a paradigm shift
  - Let only the desired (output) information y flow, not all (input) data x
  - From "sharing data" to "sharing control" on computation
  - Data processing gets strictly bound to specific method f()
    → the object of discourse shifts from "access to data x" to "processing of data x with method f()"
- PPC and GDPR
  - Enable tight application of GDPR principles "data minimization", "purpose limitation", "storage limitation", "integrity and confidentiality"
  - Related open issue: encryption/secret sharing qualify as anonymization or pseudonymization ???



#### **Examples of queries for microdata**



## **For follow-up**

- Trusted Smart Statistics: Motivations and Principles
  <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cros/system/files/sji190584.pdf</u>
- Trusted Smart Statistics: How new data will change official statistics <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2020.7</u>
- Trusted Smart Surveys: a possible application of Privacy Enhancing Technologies in Official Statistics <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cros/system/files/</u> <u>main ricciato sis2020 v4c noita.pdf</u>
- Towards a reference architecture for Trusted Smart Surveys
  <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cros/system/files/</u>
  <u>tssurveys\_ipp\_ricciato\_v4.pdf</u>





# Thanks for your attention

Fabio.Ricciato@ec.europa.eu